



Vol. II. Pt II.



*Proceedings*

OF

THE COUNCIL OF REGENCY

Raj Marwar

JODHPUR

---

From July 1920 to end of December 1920.



# HEADS OF INDEX.

	PAGE.
AUDIT OFFICE ... ..	1
ARREARS - PLATE REGIME ... ..	1
BUDGET RECEIPTS, EXPENDITURE, RE-APPROPRIATION AND EXTRA GRANTS ... ..	1
BAKIAH, LOANS AND WRITING OF LOANS ... ..	2
CUSTOMS ... ..	2
CENSUS ... ..	2
COURT OF WARDS AND HAJIAH ... ..	3
DEOHRIES ... ..	3
EDUCATION ... ..	3
ELECTRICAL AND MECHANICAL DEPARTMENT (INCLUDING AERATED WATER FACTORY) FLOUR MILLS ETC. ... ..	4
EXCISE ... ..	5
FOREST ... ..	5
FORT ... ..	5
GARDEN ... ..	5
GENERAL ... ..	6
GIFTS, CHARITY, DONATIONS, SUBSCRIPTION, GRANT-IN AID, CONTRIBUTION, GRANTS, REWARDS, REMISSIONS, COMPENSATIONS, SAROPAO, FAZIMS, FICLES, PARWANAS, SANADS, AND DASFOORS ... ..	10
HAWALA AND SETTLEMENT ... ..	11
HAKUMAT ... ..	11
INCREMENTS, PROMOTIONS, REDUCTIONS, FINES, SUSPENSION OF PAYS AND ALLOWANCES ... ..	12
JAIL ... ..	13
JUDICIAL EXTRADITION ... ..	13
JUDICIAL CRIMINAL ... ..	13
JUDICIAL-CIVIL (ADOPTION, UZARDARIES, BHUGLAWA, SUCCESSION) AND RESUMPTION OR RES-TORATION OF VILLAGES BY THE DARBAR ... ..	14
JAGIR BAKI ... ..	16
KARKHANAJATS. { SHIKARKHANA, GARAGE, STABLES, FILKHANA, GOWKHANA, SHUTAR-KHANA, ARMOURY, FARAKHANA, NAKKARKHANA, KAPRON-KA-KOTHAR, JAWAHIR KHANA, MENAGERIE, HORSE AND MULE STUDS, AND KITCHENS ... ..	16
LEAVE, APPOINTMENT, CONFIRMATIONS, TRANSFERS, RELIEFS, DISMISSALS, TERMINATION, BREAK AND CONDONEMENT OF SERVICES, SUSPENSIONS, RESIGNATION, RETENTION OF LIEN AND SERVICES ... ..	17
MEDICAL ... ..	19
MINT ... ..	19
MINES ... ..	19
MILITARY FORCES { SARDAR RISALA, SARDAR INFANTRY, ARTILLERY, PERGANA FORCES AND STATE BAND. ... ..	19
MUNICIPALITY ... ..	20
MARRIAGE OF SHRI SURAJ KUNWAR BAHU LAL JAHIBA ... ..	21
POLITICAL ... ..	21
PRESS ... ..	22
POLICE AND CRIMINAL TRIBES ... ..	22
PUBLIC WORKS ... ..	22
RAILWAY AND WORK-SHOP ... ..	25
RAJASTHAN ... ..	26
REKH, HUKAMNAMA, CHAKRI, NAZRANA, AND OTHER LAGS ... ..	27
STIPENDS, SCHOLARSHIPS MAINTENANCE ALLOWANCES, RELIEF FUNDS, PENSIONS, GRATUITY, PENSUS, REMUNERATIONS, COMPASSIONATE ALLOWANCES AND ALLOWANCES ... ..	27
STAMPS AND REGISTRATIONS ... ..	29
SALT ... ..	29
STATIONERY ... ..	30
SARDAR MUSEUM ... ..	30
TREASURY AND PAYMENT ... ..	30
VICEROY'S VISIT ... ..	31
WAR ... ..	31





# INDEX.

COUNCIL RESOLUTION  
No. DATE.

SUBJECT.

PAGE.

## AUDIT OFFICE.

4	10th August 1920	...	Regarding certain amendments to Rule 14 (b) of the Marwar Leave Rules	...	...	348
7	19th October 1920	...	Settlement of claim of Messrs Rai Badri Das and Sons of Calcutta	...	...	470
8	17th December 1920	...	Sanction for the extra staff in the Audit Office	...	...	554

## Arrears of late regime.

4	31st August 1920	...	Regarding five bills of the House-hold Comptroller sent by the Electrical and Mechanical Department to be charged to the head "arrears of late regime" as requested by the House-hold Comptroller	...	...	391
7	19th October 1920	...	Settlement of the claim of Messrs Rai Badri Das Bahadur and Sons of Calcutta	...	...	470
12	19th October 1920	...	Payment of some bills for certain utensils purchased by His late Highness	...	...	471

## Budget receipts, Expenditure, Reappropriation and extra grants.

3	14th July 1920	...	Sanction for the budget of the Kurki Thikana	...	...	284
6	14th July 1920	...	Sanction for extra grant for payment of the bill of Messrs Hoar and Co. Bombay for clothes made for Maharaj Ajit Singhji Sahib	...	...	285
3	20th July 1920	...	Sanction to an excess expenditure in the Authorization Rolls of the Pipar Bilara Light Railway	...	...	296
2	24th July 1920	...	Sanction for an extra grant for payment of B. R. K. Hanuvat Singhji's pay	...	...	298
9	28th July 1920	...	Sanction for an extra grant for repairs at the Fort	...	...	307
1	31st July 1920	...	Extra grant for renewal of sleepers and packing of ballast in the Martin siding at Makrana	...	...	314
16	13th August 1920	...	Extra sanction under sub-head "Prisoners diet"	...	...	357
12	17th August 1920	...	Extra grant for meeting the expenditure in connection with the marriage of Shree Baiji Lal Sahiba	...	...	364
17	7th September 1920	...	Extra grant under head "Arboriculture" in budget of Forest Department	...	...	399
7	10th September 1920	...	Extra grant under budget head "Stationery"	...	...	402
8	10th September 1920	...	Reappropriation for the head "Electric and Power Scheme" for renewal of the pipe line attached to the Sardar Rissala	...	...	402
21	14th September 1920	...	Extra grant for payment of bills in connection with the visit of Sri Suraj Kunwar Baiji Lal Sahiba and H. H. the Maharaja of Rewan...	...	...	411
3	18th September 1920	...	Provision for a lump sum made in the State budget under head "War charges" as requested by the Commanding Officer, 2nd Regiment	...	...	415
23	28th September 1920	...	Request of the Auditor of Accounts for an extra grant for the bills of Shri Murdhar Kuar Baiji Sahiba over and above the budget allotment	...	...	430
1	3rd October 1920	...	Extra grant for constructing a small filtered tank	...	...	450
2	5th October 1920	...	Sanction for an extra grant under the head of "Good Service Reward"	...	...	451
3	5th October 1920	...	Sanction for an extra grant for planting and maintenance of trees of Chopasni School	...	...	451
14	26th October 1920	...	Extra grant in the budget of Sheo Hakumat	...	...	480
15	13th November 1920	...	Sanction for a further provision of Rupees four hundred under sub-head "Witnesses expenses" as requested by the Chief Judge	...	...	508
6	8th December 1920	...	Sanction for the excess of expenditure in Shree Murdhar Kuar Baiji Lal Sahiba's budget	...	...	535
2	10th December 1920	...	Provision for the four items, horse allowance, good service rewards, blankets etc., in the budget of the Police Dept. for 1920-21	...	...	541
9	10th December 1920	...	Budget Estimates for the year 1920-21	...	...	544

COUNCIL RESOLUTION No. DATED.	SUBJECT.	PAGE
1 14th December 1920 ...	Sanction for the budget of the expenditure of the Auction Committee	546
10 14th December 1920 ...	Extra grant for the works at the Residency in connection with the Viceregal visit ... ..	549
10 17th December 1920 ...	Extra grant for the purchase of 12 feet laties for the use of Electric and Mechanical Department Workshop ...	555
12 17th December 1920 ...	Extra grant for the construction of rooms in the Shree Umed School building ... ..	555
13 17th December 1920 ...	Extra grant for constructing quarters for four teachers at Bali ...	556

### Baklat, Loans and Writing of loans.

9 31st July 1920 ...	The Raj money due from R. B. Sardar Singhji written off as his Jagir has been made Khalsa ... ..	316
12 31st July 1920 ...	The sum outstanding against the Jagirdar of Lampolai written off as his Jagir has reverted to Khalsa ... ..	317
11 3rd August 1920 ...	The sum outstanding against Kala Kishna of V. Keselao P. Bali written off ... ..	323
11 10th August 1920 ...	The sum outstanding against Jat Nathia Fathia written off ...	349
4 24th August 1920 ...	Restoration of the houses of Patwas that may be under attachment in the case of Shri Darbar V. S. Patwas Partap Mal Chhotmul and Jeth Mal ... ..	369
16 27th August 1920 ...	Regarding recovery of State dues from Panchwa Thikana ...	381
6 7th September 1920 ...	Writing off a debt due from Solankhi Anji Malji ...	396
1 18th September 1920 ...	Regarding outstandings due from several persons to the Sardar Club ... ..	415
6 28th September 1920 ...	Insertion of the words, "Immovable property" under Council Resolution No. 4 of 24th August 1920 instead of the word "Houses" ... ..	426
11 1st October 1920 ...	Amount outstanding against Mr. Dalpat Singhji in the Regimental books written off ... ..	439
8 19th October 1920 ...	Regarding debts outstanding against Borawar Thikana ...	470
8 29th October 1920 ...	Regarding debts outstanding against the Thikana of Rohat being remitted ... ..	485
1 21st December 1920 ...	Request of Thikana Rohat for the remission of certain Raj arrears	568

### Customs.

3 9th July 1920 ...	Regarding the levy of export duty on Til seeds and oil ...	278
7 14th July 1920 ...	Regarding the request of the Military Secretary for exemption from Customs import duty on articles imported for local military forces ... ..	285
1 28th July 1920 ...	Regarding the abolition of import duty on Babool timbers ...	304
6 24th August 1920 ...	Abolition of transit toll (ghoratidan) levied on cattle at Tejajika Fair ... ..	369
7 31st August 1920 ...	Reduction in the rate of duty on cloth ... ..	392
3 2nd November 1920 ...	Regarding realisation of State customs duty on goods exported from R. M. Railway stations within Marwar Territory to destination outside Marwar ... ..	489

### CENSUS.

5 20th July 1920 ...	Regarding P. Bishembhar Nath, Census Superintendent' being, classed as a Gazetted Officer ... ..	296
14 24th July 1920 ...	Regarding the Supply of Sowars for Census work of 1920-21 ...	301
2 27th August 1920 ...	Appointment of Moota Kan Mal as charge Superintendent of Census operation Jasole circle ... ..	378
6 31st August 1920 ...	Superintendent Census authorized to countersign the T. A. bills of the Census officials and submit his own to Vice President.	392
13 10th September 1920 ...	Regarding the question of the number of Sawars necessary, for the conveyance of the Census Supervisors and the Census Dak arrangement, as also the fixing of the pay of Supervisors, Assistant Charge Superintendents, Moharirs etc. ...	404

COUNCIL No.	RESOLUTION DATE.	SUBJECTS.	PAGES.
2	14th September 1920	Approval of certain suggestions made by the Census Superintendent to stop the obliteration of the numbers marked on the houses	405
19	5th October 1920	Regarding publication of a notification similar to the one issued on the last Census occasion in 1911	456
12	8th October 1920	Appointment of Chhattarbhuji, Superintendent Forest as an Industrial Officer	460
20	8th October 1920	Regarding the question of conveyance to Census Supervisors as also arrangements for carrying the Dak	462
21	8th October 1920	Regarding location of Census tabulation and compilation offices of the Western Rajputana States and Ajmere Merwara for the Census operations of 1921	463
9	3rd December 1920	Sanction of provision for carrying Industrial Census work	533
1	24th December 1920	Deputation of a clerk from Mehkma Khas as also Cycle Sawar for the Industrial Census work	576

#### COURT OF WARDS & HASIAT.

6	9th July 1920	V. Duda Lanbori P. Sojat placed under the management of the Court of Wards	279
4	16th July 1920	Regarding Sindhari case	289
3	13th August 1920	The Thikana of Jagirdar Kaim Khani Lal Khan put under the Haisiat Court	353
12	24th August 1920	The village Atpada of Sindhi Sahibzada taken under the direct management of Hawala Department which will deposit realizations into the Haisiat Court	370
11	27th August 1920	Regarding fresh guardianship certificates to transfer the accounts of the Minor Thakur of Nimaj and Bhadrarjun	380
15	7th September 1920	Suggestion of placing 67 Thikanas under the direct management of Hakims of Parganas	398
19	7th September 1920	Complaints against Vakil Ralla Ram in connection with the management of Sindhri Thikana	399
11	22nd September 1920	Sanction for Saropao being granted on the betrothal of Auwa Baiji to Mandawa Kuarji	423
7	5th October 1920	Regarding restoration of village Jawia to Thakur Guman Singh.	453
9	8th October 1920	Sanction for the appointment of a guardian of the property of the Minor Thakur Hari Singh of Kuchaman	459
17	6th November 1920	Regarding draft act for the Court of Wards	498
4	9th November 1920	Proposal of placing 67 Thikanas of the Court of Wards under the Hakims of Parganas	501
11	13th November 1920	Regarding the question for making provision for the expenses in connection with the marriage etc, of the younger sons of Jagirdars whose estates are under Haisiat Court	507
17	13th November 1920	Arrangements for improving the condition of Thikana Khinwara for liquidating its heavy debts	509
8	24th November 1920	Sanction for the grant of money to the Maji of Agewa on the occasion of the Muklawa ceremony of the latter's daughter.	517
2	3rd December 1920	Regarding disencumbrance of Thikana Korna from debt	530
6	3rd December 1920	Regarding disencumbrance of Thikana Beri from debt	532

#### DEOHRIES.

3	24th August 1920	Sanction for a sum paid to the Kamdar of Sri Murdhar Kunwar Baiji Sahiba during June & July	369
12	14th September 1920	Request of Vakil of Baiji Shree Murdhar Kunwar Sahiba, for payment of her Bath Kharach direct, as also nomination of a good reliable man in place of Gopi Valabh...	407
15	1st October 1920	Regarding certain paid and unpaid bills of Sri Murdhar Kunwar Baiji Lal Sahiba	441
6	8th December 1920	Sanction for the excess of expenditure in Shree Murdhar Kunwar Baiji Lal Sahiba's budget.	535

#### EDUCATION.

1	6th August 1920	Regarding the resolution of the Committee of Sardars appointed to consider measures for the improvement of the Chopasni Rajput Schools	327
5	17th August 1920	Submission of the results of examination of 1920 of the Jaswant College and D. H School	362

COUNCIL No.	RESOLUTION DATE.	SUBJECTS.	PAGE
16 24th August 1920	...	Certain restrictions on the opening of private Schools	371
11 31st August 1920	...	Regarding distribution of War commemorative medallions to students	393
4 28th September	...	Regarding supply of free 'Dhoties' as well as pen and ink to the boys of the Rajput School, Chopasni	426
10 28th September 1920	...	Suggestion of the Principal Rajput schools for the introduction of a course of Agriculture to the curriculum of the schools...	427
1 19th October 1920	...	Conversion of vacation into leave if granted to members of the Educational Department in continuation of vacations	469
6 29th October 1920	...	Regarding training of college students in First Aid and general Hygiene	484
14 13th November 1920	...	Regarding outbreak of mumps among the boys of the Chopasni School...	508
2 16th November 1920	...	Regarding construction of a new Darbar High School and location of the State Museum in the present school building...	512
5 16th November 1920	...	Regarding the scheme for the expansion of Primary education in Marwar	513
1 9th December 1920	...	Intimation from the Principal Rajput School regarding the clan to which K. Sunder Singh belongs	540
9 24th December 1920	...	Finance Member requested to draw up rules regarding grant of scholarships	579

**ELECTRICAL AND MECHANICAL DEPARTMENT (INCLUDING  
AERATED WATER FACTORY) FLOUR MILLS etc.**

4 9th July 1920	...	Regarding payment of the work of installing electric light in the Ry Institute, and the Ry officers's bungalows	279
17 9th July 1920	...	Regarding the conditions for issue of licenses for the manufacture and sale of aerated water in the city	282
1 14th July 1920	...	Sanction for the installation of electric light in the 'Residency Staff Lines and offices	289
12 24th July 1920	...	Sanction for lighting the circular road at Ry station	301
18 24th July 1920	...	Estimate called for regarding installation of telephone and electric light at the Hewson Hospital	303
10 31st July 1920	...	Electric light supplied to the Vakalat Building of the Residency Yakil	316
10 3rd August 1920	...	Regarding the provision for the erection of the old small ice machinery at Jaswantpura	323
12 10th August 1920	...	Regarding the purchase of a locomotive Engine from Messrs John Fleming & Co Bombay.	349
5 13th August 1920	...	Formation of a Committee to go through the list of Electric Department stores now in stock and to fix prices according to market value	355
15 27th August 1920	...	Regarding contract of wiring and fitting electric wires to Roop Ram Thanvi of Jodhpur	381
19 27th August 1920	...	Privilege of exemption from paying 6% electric charges continued to Reverend Dr. Chalmers	383
4 31st August 1920	...	Adjustment of five bills of the Electric and Mechanical Depts. against House-hold debitted under head "Arrears of late regime"	391
17 14th September 1920	...	Passing of rules for the guidance of Mill owners and Municipality	410
11 15th September 1920	...	Rules for the guidance of Mill owners and Municipality	413
18 16th September 1920	...	Regarding supply of Electric light, telephone and fans for the Hewson Hospital	417
20 18th September 1920	...	Sanction for lighting the road from the Residency to the Railway station	419
6 22nd September 1920	...	Regarding the fact that the sender of the telegram from England in connection with the purchase of Locomotive was Mr. C. B. Cawthorne	419
25 28th September 1920	...	Intimation from the Resident of the destruction of the original telegram regarding purchase of Locomotives	421
7 1st October 1920	...	Order for a separate set of Electric installation	432
	...	Regarding sale of the big ice Machine	438

COUNCIL No.	RESOLUTION DATE.	SUBJECT.	PAGE.
6	5th October 1920	... Sanction for giving one month's pay on termination of services of Baboo Keshav Chandra and Ram Narain ...	452
9	5th October 1920	... Regarding payment of outstanding bills against Maharaja, Rao Rajas etc. ...	454
10	5th October 1920	... Regarding Electric installation in all the Railway officer's bungalows ...	454
11	19th October 1920	... Regarding service connections to the consumers' houses in the city ...	471
		Draft rules for service connections to consumers ...	475
16	6th November 1920	... Writing off the amount from the book of the Electrical and Mechanical Deptt in connection with the supply of phynilo to Municipality ...	498
9	13th November 1920	... Sanction for a lump sum of Rupees Twenty thousand for electric and mechanic works done in connection with the Viceregal Visit ...	506
1	26th November 1920	... Regarding advertisement for the sale of large ice Machine ...	518
1	28th November 1920	... Sanction for installing telephone in the bungalow occupied by the Revenue Member ...	523
7	8th December 1920	... Regarding outstanding against Mr. Cawthorne ...	536
10	17th December 1920	... Sanction for the purchase of twelve feet <i>Lathies</i> for use in the Electrical and Mechanical Work-shop ...	555
8	21st December 1920	... Mr. Bomunji Electrical and Mechanical Engineer treated as 1st class officer for drawing T. A. ...	571
10	21st December 1920	... Sanction given to Panna Lal Tiwari for starting a Floor Mill...	571

#### Excise.

5	28th July 1920	... Permission to Maharaj Sri Urjan Singhji Sahib to distil <i>Bhaties</i> of liquor at Kishore Bagh, Jodhpur ...	305
12	6th August 1920	... Regarding the rates of sale and auction of liquor and its control in the interior and on the border shops, as also fixing the rate of one rupee per <i>chhata</i> bottle ...	330
6	13th August 1920	... Regarding the request of Messrs Amir Khan & Sons merchant for permission to open a shop at Jodhpur to Vend ammuni-tions ...	353
23	24th August 1920	... Increase in the rates of liquor ...	373
5	18th September 1920	... Regarding smuggling of liquor from Jaipur side into Marwar territory ...	416

#### FOREST.

15	3rd August 1920	... Remission to Anwal contractors for his loss owing to frost ...	324
17	7th September 20	... Extra grant under head "Arboriculture" ...	399
10	26th November 1920...	... Remission of Forest tax outstanding against the contractor of the prisoners of War Camp Sumnerpur ...	522

#### FORT.

9	28th July 1920	... Repairs to guns at the Fort ...	307
---	----------------	-------------------------------------	-----

#### Garden.

14	6th August 1920	... Regarding the scheme for the formation of an ornamental park and fruit garden within the Jubilee Court's compound ...	330
10	18th September 1920	... Sanction for laying down a strip of lawn in front of the large tent of the Residency and also for plants for the camp on H. E. the Viceroy's visit ...	417
4	1st October 1920	... Regarding formation of an ornamental park and fruit garden within the premises of the Jubilee Courts ...	438
3	5th October 1920	... Sanction for planting and maintenance of trees at the Chopasni... School ...	451
4	29th October 1920	... Regarding proposal of dealing with the accounts of garden by one officer and the transfer of the pay of the Gajdar Santok from the Karkhanajats to the Garden's budget ...	484
7	24th November 1920	... Proposal regarding dealing with the accounts of garden by M. Sita Ram and also designation of Gujdar Santokh ...	517

COUNCIL No.	RESOLUTION DATE.	SUBJECT.	PAGE.
<b>General.</b>			
5	2nd July 1920	... Regarding the improvement and development of the indigenous breed of cattle ... ..	272
4	6th July 1920	... Complaint by the Mohammadans of Sojat against the Jains of Sojat for the latter having demolished the former's Masjid	275
9	6th July 1920	... Views of the Marwar Darbar regarding attendance to the inauguration ceremony of the Chamber of Princes at Delhi ...	276
1	9th July 1920	... Regarding adulteration of ghee by Foujmal Hastimal, and the adoption of 272 and 273 of the I. P. C. with certain additions in the M. P. Code ... ..	278
6	9th July 1920	... Regarding the mismanagement of V. Duda Lambori Pargana Sojat ... ..	279
9	9th July 1920	... The request of Wakil Ralla Ram for his services in connection with War to be brought to the notice of the Hon'ble the A. G. G. and the British Government ... ..	280
10	9th July 1920	... Regarding nomination of candidates for admission into the Royal Military College Sandhurst ... ..	280
11	9th July 1920	... Notice in the leading papers regarding Mr. E. A. Cawthorne's removal from Jodhpur State ... ..	280
12	9th July 1920	... Report of progress regarding the liquidation of the debts of His late Highness ... ..	280
14	9th July 1920	... Regarding removal of restrictions over imports of gold bullion and foreign coins and regarding the value of sovereigns and half sovereigns ... ..	281
15	9th July 1920	... Betalbi Sanads to Thakur Narain Singh of Jaola in Parbatsar for Jaola, Antroli, Dhandata and Jhalra ... ..	281
16	9th July 1920	... Gajdar Santokb's building outside Sojati gate to be returned to Gajdar Santok ... ..	281
17	9th July 1920	... Regarding conditions for issue of licenses for the manufacture and sale of aerated waters in the city ... ..	282
4	14th July 1920	... Regarding the request of the Members of Jawahir Khana to bring the cloth and gola damaged by white ants from Maharaj Shri Jivan Singhji Sahib for auction ... ..	285
11	14th July 1920	... Regarding the conduct of the various officials of Sojat in the case of Sojat Jain temple ... ..	286
8	16th July 1920	... Regarding reply to the President, Oudh Khilafat Committee ...	293
9	16th July 1920	... Regarding the renting of the Girdikot shops lying vacant ...	293
13	16th July 1920	... Regarding a suitable candidate for nomination to Royal Military College Sandhurst... ..	294
9	20th July 1920	... Regarding the request of the General Secretary Indian Olympic Association Poona to H. H. the Maharaja Sahib Bahadur to become sympathiser, donar or patron of the Association ...	297
3	24th July 1920	... Regarding repairs of the Kund at Sambhar at the cost of Jodhpur and Jaipur Darbars in meity ... ..	298
8	24th July 1920	... Regarding views of the Jodhpur for making over the plot of land at Sameri River near Someswar Ry. station to B. B. & C. I. Railway for building a new well and quarters for the Pump Engine staff ... ..	300
9	24th July 1920	... Regarding the erection of tablets in Parganas of Shergarh, Didwana, Jodhpur and Sambhar ... ..	300
13	24th July 1920	... Regarding the views of the Revenue Member on the present work of the Residency Panchayat Court ... ..	301
16	24th July 1920	... The maintenance charges of one aeroplane called for by the Jodhpur Darbar from the Resident, W. E. States ... ..	302
17	24th July 1920	... Consent of the Jodhpur Darbar to forego six month's notice required under agreement with Mr. Cruickshank. Superintending Engineer ... ..	302
7	28th July 1920	... Regarding the introduction of the Escociet methods of better curing collection, treatment and sale of hides from Jodhpur State and entering into agreement with Bhopal Trust ...	307
10	28th July 1920	... Regarding the meaning of the expression Ruling Chief's residence' ...	307

COUNCIL No.	RESOLUTION DATE.	SUBJECT.	Page.
11	28th July 1920	... Regarding P. W Member's suggestions for keeping cattle outside the city ... ..	308
12	28th July 1920	... Permission by Government for the export of silver bullion and coins for British India ... ..	308
1	30th July 1920	... Regarding the date from which the payment of annual grant towards the Hindu University is to commence ... ..	310
5	31st July 1920	... Closing the work of the Khatri Loan Committee and stopping the allowance of the Civil Court clerk working in the Committee ... ..	315
13	31st July 1920	... Holidays allowed in the time of His late Highness re-allowed ... ..	317
15	31st July 1920	... Reversion of the working hours of all offices, courts and departments from the morning to noon ... ..	319
1	3rd August 1920	... Concurrence of the Jodhpur Darbar with views of the Committee of Princes regarding the requisition of residential property in British India by the Ruling Princes and chiefs ... ..	320
2	3rd August 1920	... Concurrence of Jodhpur Darbar with the views of Committee of Princes regarding the Govt of India's policy for the employment in Indian States of European British subjects etc., ... ..	320
11	3rd August 1920	... Regarding the change of residence of the prostitutes from within the city to some solitary part ... ..	330
16	3rd August 1920	... Regarding the ornaments in possession of Maji Sri Tuarji Sahiba... ..	331
2	10th August 1920	... Regarding the request of the widow of late Maharaj Mohabat Singhji Sahib for her allowance to be paid to her direct and not through Maharaj Bijey Singhji Sahib ... ..	347
5	10th August 1920	... Regarding the eldest son of Babu Nand Lal being given a suitable post, and his second son to be sent to learn Mechanical Engineering at Kancharapure, Calcutta ... ..	348
8	10th August 1920	... Regarding the acceptance of Jodhpur Darbar's invitation to visit Jodhpur by His Excellency the Viceroy and regarding the arrangements for his arrival and stay at Jodhpur ... ..	349
9	10th August 1920	... Regarding the order for a number of copies of Paleography of India by the Darbar for the State Library ... ..	349
15	10th August 1920	... Reg H R. H the Prince of Wales not formally receiving minor Ruling Princes either at the Head quarters of Local Govts., or at Delhi ... ..	350
6	13th August 1920	... Regarding the request of Messrs Amir Khan & Sons, Meerut for permission to open a shop at Jodhpur to vend ammunitions ... ..	353
7	13th August 1920	... Mr Crnickshank authorised by the Secretary of State for India to defer his sailing ... ..	353
11	13th August 1920	... Necessary plague arrangements made at Bali and the Kotwal empowered to fine those who move from place of infection to another without order ... ..	354
17	13th August 1920	... Notice in the Marwar Gazette regarding non-employment of Pirbhu Chaud of Kharkhanajat Dept. in State Departments ... ..	357
20	13th August 1920	... Regarding photographs and model of the Hospitalship Loyalty to be deposited in Princes Chamber ... ..	359
3	22nd August 1920	... Request of Maheswari Mahajans of Jodhpur for holding a meeting ... ..	367
1	24th August 1920	... Conference held at Delhi to consider the question of the amendment of Criminal Tribes Act 1911... ..	368
10	24th August 1920	... Exemption of pensioners Minas Bhuta, Kishna, and Kalia of Tiwana from nightly roll call... ..	370
11	24th August 1920	... Regarding the estimated cost of grinding and grain crushing machine ... ..	371
5	27th August 1920	... Intimation regarding cases of Anthrax due to infection contained in shaving brushes ... ..	379
6	27th August 1920	... Timings of special trains of repatriates from Bombay to Erinpura Road ... ..	380
9	27th August 1920	... Expenditure involved in the upkeep of Aeroplanes ... ..	380
10	27th August 1920	... Government of India waiving the payment of supertax on the income derived in British India by Ruling Chiefs ... ..	380
9	31st August 1920	... Regarding landing grounds being established at Utarlaj and Lunj for air-ships ... ..	393



COUNCIL RESOLUTION No. DATE.	SUBJECT.	PAGE.
10 31st August 1990 ...	Application of Joshi Ganga Das for establishing Motor service from Phalodi Ry station to Pokaran Ramdeora ...	393
7 7th September 1920 ...	Application of the Chowdhries of Surpura against the Vakil of Maharaj Fattedh Singhji Sahib for turning them out of the village... ..	396
9 7th September 1920 ...	Request of Earl of Carnwa Editor of the publication "Royal Rulers and leaders of the East" for supplying him with particulars and Photos of H H the Maharaja Sahib Bahadur and H. H. the Regent Sahib as also order for 2 copies of special edition De Lusee ... ..	397
13 7th September 1920 ...	Regarding gift of aeroplanes by the Government ...	398
12 10th September 1920 ...	Regarding disposal of life Insurance policies issued to German Nationals ... ..	403
11 14th September 1920 ...	Regarding paintings and Albums ordered by H. L. H. Maharaja Shree Sumer Singhji Sahib ... ..	407
22 14th September 1920 ...	Regarding departure of special trains of repatriats from Bombay to Erinpura Road for Sumerpur prisoner's camp ...	411
	Rules for the guidance of Mill owners and Municipality ...	413
1 18th September 1920 ...	Regarding outstandings due from several persons to the Sardar club ... ..	415
8 18th September 1920 ...	Inquires made from the Ahore Thikana regarding contract of bricks as well as of War loan subscription ...	417
4 22nd September 1920 ...	Regarding dispute between the Hindoos and Mohamedans of Pali about the passage of Gher party by the Chipas mosque ...	421
5 22nd September 1920 ...	Request of Mr Robert Sewell for the purchase of volumes on Indian Chronology ... ..	421
8 22nd September 1920 ...	Request of Kurki Thakur for increase in the sum allotted to him for fruits ... ..	422
10 22nd September 1920 ...	Intimation from the Resident regarding Mr. Wilcox being defrauded by Mr. Cawthorne ... ..	422
12 22nd September 1920 ...	Request of Maharaja Sindhia of Gwalior for Makrana marble ...	423
13 22nd September 1920 ...	Regarding purchase by the State of crockery belonging to Mr. Cruickshank ... ..	423
14 22nd September 1920 ...	House behind Nani's temple given to Dr. Abdul Razaq on rent...	423
15 22nd September 1920 ...	Extract from D. A. D R. T. Embarkation staff Bombay regarding disposal of details of Ex. S. S. Coconada destined from Sumerpur Prisoners camp ... ..	423
1 28th September 1920 ...	Request of Jamna Das of Jalore for permission to open the motor Agency to ply motors between Erinpura Road Station and Jalore ... ..	425
2 28th September ...	Grant of permission to Manager Sir Partap School for opening another Lottery ... ..	425
6 28th September 1920 ...	Insertion of the words "Immovable property" under Council Resolution No 4 dated 24th August 1920 instead of the word "Houses" ... ..	426
8 28th September 1920 ...	Regarding the question of reciprocity in delivering for identification of any stolen property found in either States viz Marwar and Palanpur ... ..	427
19 28th September 1920 ...	Sanction for making an effigy of Rawan ... ..	429
20 28th September 1920 ...	Regarding distribution of War commemorative medallions to students ... ..	430
22 28th September 1920 ...	Request of the Sirohi State for arresting Sadh Anop Das and handing him over to the above State ... ..	430
27 28th September 1920 ...	Proposal for sinking wells on the Khokharia side ...	432
1 1st October 1920 ...	Request of Messrs R. C. Mehta and Co. of Palanpur for steam or motor Transport service within Marwar territory etc ...	437
2 1st October 1920 ...	Enhancement of the Magisterial powers of K Madho Singhji Assistant Foujdar ... ..	437
5 1st October 1920 ...	Intimation regarding the Ruling Princes and Chiefs who have been selected as Members of the Chamber of Princes ...	438
15 1st October 1920 ...	Regarding certain paid and unpaid bills of Sri Murdhar Kuar Baiji Sahiba ... ..	441

COUNCIL No.	RESOLUTION. DATE.	SUBJECT.	PAGE.
1	5th October 1920	... Request of Messrs Permanand Pirthi Das of Hyderabad for permission to run a motor buss service between Barmer and Jeysalmere ... .. 451	451
3	8th October 1920	... Regarding the dispute between the Hindus and Mohamedans of Pali about the passage of "Gher" party ... .. 457	457
13	8th October 1920	... Horse breeding in Marwar ... .. 460	460
19	8th October 1920	... Regarding allegations against the ancestors of Bias Magan Raj ... .. 462	462
7	15th October 1920	... Permission granted to Mehal Chand of Ladau for opening a printing Press ... .. 466	466
11	15th October 1920	... Draft rules of the Marwar Agricultural Bank as also the rules for the formation of Village Credit Co-operative Society ... .. 467	467
6	19th October 1920	... Regarding removal of houses of the prostitutes from the city to some solitary place outside the city ... .. 470	470
6	26th October 1920	... Departments directed to purchase cloth etc., from the Sardar market ... .. 478	478
13	26th October 1920	... Request of the public of Pachpadra for permission to run motor lorries Ex-Balotra to Pachpadra and vice versa for fetching water etc. ... .. 480	480
7	21st October 1920	... Regarding supply of grass to the Officer Commanding at Erinpura. ... .. 484	484
1	2nd November 1920	... Fixing of reasonable prices for grain, ghee, cloth etc ... .. 488	488
8	2nd November 1920	... Regarding future scope of Geological Research in India ... .. 490	490
1	6th November 1920	... Remission of a sum to Secretary Meerut races for the Jodhpur cup ... .. 494	494
19	6th November 1920	... Public holiday in Courts and offices in honor of the arrival of H. E. the Viceroy ... .. 499	499
10	9th November 1920	... Regarding the question of the appointment of an agricultural Advisor for Rajputana and Ajmere Merwara ... .. 502	502
13	9th November 1920	... Request of the Kamdar of Maharaj Sri Bijay Singhji for the grant of money for <i>Saradh</i> ceremony of Maharaj Sri Mohobat Singhji... .. 503	503
15	9th November 1920	... Refusal of Udeypur Darbar to buy Jodhpur boats on sale ... .. 503	503
13	13th November 1920	... List of articles that remain still to be disposed of by Auction Committee called for ... .. 508	508
7	3rd December 1920	... Regarding deputation of an special officer to attend informal meeting of Sanitary Commissions at Simla ... .. 533	533
4	8th December 1920	... Expression of the Jeysulmere Darbar's thanks to the Jodhpur Darbar for the assistance rendered by them in connection with the camping arrangements made on Lady Chemsford's visit to Jeysulmere ... .. 535	535
8	8th December 1920	... Submission of a memorandum showing in Jaxta position certain items in the Viceregal visit ceremonial programme of Jodhpur and Bikaner ... .. 536	536
		Copy of the memorandum to the above ... .. 538	538
6	10th December 1920	... Payment of $\frac{1}{2}$ p. c. interest to Vakil Ralla Ram on the War Loan ... .. 543	543
7	10th December 1920	... Permission given to the Agriculturists of Narlao, Desuri and Birampura for firing guns for frightening the pigs ... .. 543	543
8	10th December 1920	... Regarding restoration of the ornaments of Mussamat Ratni-maid servant of Maji Shree Chohanji Sahiba ... .. 543	543
1	14th December 1920	... Regarding submission of a list of articles that remain still to be disposed of by the Auction Committee ... .. 546	546
13	14th December 1920	... Scheme regarding appointment of an Agricultural Advisor for Rajputana and Ajmere Merwara ... .. 549	549
4	17th December 1920	... Enquiry from the Tehsildar of the British District Cochinada regarding the rental value of two houses belonging to Seth Chinnaji Bhutanji in Siana for income tax purposes ... .. 552	552
16	21st December 1920	... Sanction for Christmas Holidays as well as discontinuance of other holidays ... .. 574	574
17	21st December 1920	... Consultative Council referred to in connection with selection of Members from amongst the respectable people ... .. 575	575

COUNCIL. RESOLUTION. No. DATE.		SUBJECT.	PAGE.
18	21st December 1920	... Regarding Indian constitutional reforms ...	575
4	24th December 1920	... Regarding time limit for the submission or presentation of bills ...	578
9	24th December 1920	... Finance Member requested to draw up rules regarding grant of scholarships ...	579
<b>Gifts, Charity, Donation, Subscription, grant-in-aid, Contribution, Grants, Rewards, Remissions, Compensations, Saropaos, Tazims, Titles, Parwanas, Sanads, and Dastoores.</b>			
13th	9th July 1920	... Regarding request from Kamdar of Maharaj Sri Bijey Singhji Sahib for grant to meet expenses of entertainment of Deokuar Baiji Sahiba and her son Isri Singhji Sahib from Bundi ...	281
15	9th July 1920	... Regarding the issue of Betalbi Sanad to Thakur Narain Singh Jagirdar of Fhikana Guda in Parbatsar for village Jaola, Antoli, Dhandota and Jhalra ...	281
7	16th July 1920	... Regarding Customary Dastoor to Maharaj Sri Fatteh Singhji Sahib on the occasion of certain marriages in his family ...	292
9	20th July 1920	... Regarding the request of the general Secretary Indian Olympic Association Poona to H. H. the Maharaja Sahib Bahadur to become donar or patron of the Association ...	297
11	20th July 1920	... Regarding the request of Swami Brindaban Sarau for some monetary assistance for the girl's and boy's schools at the village Rampur Orrisa ...	297
1	30th July 1920	... Regarding the date from which the payment of the annual grant towards the Hindu University is to commence ...	310
15	3rd August 1920	... Remission to Anwal contractors for loss owing to frost ...	324
5	6th August 1920	... Remission to Mother Superior Ferdinand of Covenant school, Ajmere on account of royalty on a piece of marble from the Makrana Marble quarries ...	328
1	13th August 1920	... Regarding grant for maintenance of the Rishi Kul Bramcharya Ashram Jodhpur ...	352
3	17th August 1920	... Regarding Saropao being granted to Narsingh Das Kamdar of Shree Prohitji Abhey Rajji . ...	361
5	17th August 1920	... Letters of appreciation to the College authorities and schools that have secured the best results ...	362
13	7th September 1920	... Regarding gift of aeroplanes by the Govt. ...	398
20	7th September 1920	... Regarding donations to the Royal Air Force Memorial Fund ...	400
1	14th September 1920	... Regarding grant of rewards to the officers and men of I. S. Troops Jodhpur ...	405
11	22nd September 1920	... Sanction for Saropaos being granted on the betrothal of Auwa Baiji to Mandwa Kuarji ...	423
17	28th September 1920	... Grant of Saropao to Sah Kistoor Chand of Khinwada pergana Bali ...	429
12	1st October 1920	... Regarding Betalbi Sanads to Thakur Narain Singh Jagirdar of Jaola P. Parabatsar ...	440
19	1st October 1920	... Donations towards stranger's Home for Asiatics ...	441
10	15th October 1920	... Issue of Betalbi Sanads to Achal Singh Jagirdar of Alao ...	467
17	19th October 1920	... Regarding donations to the Royal Air Force Memorial Fund ...	473
3	23rd October 1920	... Grant in-aid to Sri Umed School as also non-recurring grant on condition to the same ...	483
14	29th October 1920	... Grant-in-aid to Sri Sumer Pushtikar School as also non-recurring grant to the same ...	486
2	6th November 1920	... Grant to the Darbar High School for games ...	494
15	6th November 1920	... Enhancement of grant-in-aid to Sri Summair School ...	498
18	6th November 1920	... Enhancement of grant-in-aid to Sardar School ...	498
13	9th November 1920	... Request of the Kamdar of Maharaj Sri Bijey Singhji for the grant of money for Saradh ceremony of Maharaj Sri Mohabat Singhji ...	503
8	24th November 1920	... Grant of gift to the Maji of Agewa on the occasion of the Muklawas of the latter's daughter... ...	517

COUNCIL No.	RESOLUTION. DATE.	SUBJECT.	PAGE.
10	26th November 1920.	Remission of Forest tax outstanding against the contractor of the prisoners of War Camp Sumerpur ...	522
8	30th November 1920.	Charity to Franciscan Nuns of the Covenant High School Ajmore ...	528
9	30th November 1920....	Subscription to South Indian Nursing Association ...	529
3	10th December 1920....	Compensation to Hans Raj Chuni Lal of V. Deldar in Sirohi State for house in Sumerpur... ..	541
7	14th December 1920.	Request of the Vakil of Rawal Zorawar Singh of Jasol for the grant of Tazim to Rawal Zorawar Singh ...	548
1	17th December 1920.	Regarding enhancement of grant-in-aid of Sri Sumer School ...	551
1	21st December 1920.	Request of the Vakil of Thikana Rohat for the remission of certain Raj arrears ... ..	568

#### Hawala and Settlement.

4	24th July 1920	Regarding payment to the Maji of Thakarwas on account of <i>Khang</i> i and obsequies of late Thakur of Thakrawas ...	299
12	24th August 1920.	One of the villages of Sindhi Sahibzada viz. Atpada taken under the direct management of Hawala Department ...	370
11	15th October 1920.	Draft of the Marwar Agricultural Bank as also the rules for the formation of Village Credit Co-operation Society ...	467
4	26th October 1920.	Fixing of scale for performing obsequies of the Maji; as well as sanction for erecting a <i>Chat</i> ri to the memory of late Thakur of Dodiara ... ..	477
15	26 October 1920.	Request of the Kamdar of Maji of Chavarcha for payment of money in connection with the performance of ceremonies of the late Thakur ... ..	480
14	2nd November 1920.	Jai Narain, Milap Chand, Sidheshwar and Anand Swaroop selected for undergoing training in the settlement work ...	492
7	6th November 1920.	Payment of money to the Vakil of K, Amar Singhji for performance of ceremony of late R. R Hari Singhji ...	495
5	13th November 1920	Adoption of certain measures to put a stop to the constant dispute between the Thakur of Sargot, his wife and Kuar, also to recover Raj dues ... ..	505

#### Hakumat.

2	14th July 1920	Payment of the T. A. bills of the Hakumat staff of Jalor Hakumat ... ..	284
1	20th July 1920.	Disbursement of P. Rajnath's pay for the period during which he remained under suspension from Shive Hakumat ...	295
18	3rd August 1920	Hakumat staff to occupy some of the Rissala lines there and stop Judicial work till plague subsides, supply of Sirkies, bamboos and Moonj to Bali Hakumat... ..	324
7	6th August 1920	Charging of the pay of Hakumat Sawars, deputed with the <i>Amin</i> of the Haisiat Court and the Court of Wards for recovery of State dues to the Thikanas concerned ...	328
12	13.h August 1920.	Pali Hakim empowered to take security from the <i>Motbirs</i> of Mohamadans and Hindu communities on the occasion of Moharrum and Dewali Festivals falling on one and the same date, and asked to quote the number of Police and Infantry men required on the occasion ... ..	355
16	13th August 1920.	Sanction to an extra grant applied for by Hakim of Sanchoe.	357
10	17th August 1920.	Regarding complaints of bribery against Bhandari Sheodan Chand Hakim of Nagore ... ..	363
6	24th August 1920.	Abolition of transit Toll (Gharantidan) levied on cattle by Hakumat at Tejaji ka-Fair ... ..	369
13	24th August 1920.	Complaints of Hakims against the camel Sawars for not allowing their <i>Ahalkars</i> to mount camels when they go on tours ...	371
15	7th September 1920.	Regarding placing of 67 Thikanas of Court of Wards under the direct management of the Hakims of Parganas ...	398

COUNCIL RESOLUTION		SUBJECT.	PAGE.
No.	DATE.		
13	18th September 1920...	Request of the Hakim of Sambhar for arms being supplied to the camel Sawars and sepoy at Sambhar Hakumat ...	418
20	1st October 1920 ...	Regarding papers of inquiry against Hakim Sheodan Chand transferred to Sheo ...	442
14	26th October 1920 ...	Extra grant in the budget of Sheo Hakumat for payment of salary to P. Raj Nath ...	480
4	9th November 1920 ...	Proposal of placing 67 Thikanas of the Court of Wards under Hakims of Parganas ...	501
<b>INCREMENTS, PROMOTIONS, REDUCTIONS, FINES, SUSPENSION OF PAYS AND ALLOWANCES.</b>			
4	2nd July 1920 ...	Regarding fixing the pay of Suraj Mal transferred to Jagir Bakshi Office ...	272
10	14th July 1920 ...	Increment in the pay of the Excise camel sawars & in the camel allowance to the Excise Inspectors ...	286
5	24th July 1920 ...	Reduction in the staff of the late Household Comptroller ...	299
15	24th July 1920 ...	Sanction to the revised grades of pay in Raj Audit Office ...	302
8	28th July 1920 ...	Increment to Mr. A. Jacket Foreman Electrical and Mechanical Department Workshops ...	307
7	3rd August 1920 ...	Promotions to Mr. F. Lean, Chief Foreman, Jodhpur workshop, and to Mr. A. E. D' Mellow, Store Keeper, J. B. Ry subject to concurrence of the Bikaner Darbar ...	322
19	3rd August 1920 ...	Increment to Police constables ...	325
20	3rd August 1920 ...	Regarding percentage increments to various departments of the State excepting the Military, and the date from which the increments are to have effect ...	325
18	10th August 1920 ...	Enhancement of the rates of pay of Non-commissioned officers and men of the local Forces ...	351
15	13th August 1920 ...	Sanction to the revised scale of pay for workshop and Loco Foremen ...	357
18	13th August 1920 ...	Promotion to Kishen Lal of the Farash Khana, as Naib Supdt. Farash-khana, and increments to Farash Kadar Bux, and Kasim Bux of Major Grimshaw's bungalow also increment to Jamadar Lalu of Farash-khana ...	358
		Revised scale of pay for workshop and Loco Foremen with effect from 1st April 1920 ...	360
21	24th August 1920 ...	Thakur Ugam Singh promoted to the post of R. S. B. Devi Dayal in the Court of Wards with increment ...	372
12	27th August 1920 ...	Percentage increment given to the staff of Mir Fiaz Ali ...	381
2	7th September 1920 ...	Increment to P. Sunderlal Accountant P. W. D. ...	395
5	10th September 1920 ...	Increment in the pay of Probationary Hakims ...	402
9	10th September 1920 ...	Sanction for an increase to the annual salary paid to the consulting Engineers of the J. B. Railway... ..	403
23	14th September 1920 ...	Regarding cancellation of the increments granted to staff servants of late Mr. Fiaz Aliji ...	412
3	22nd September 1920...	Increment to Khan Sahib Meharbanji Khambatta, Registrar English Office ...	421
9	22nd September 1920 ...	Increment in the allowance of Singar Kuar Baiji, Bessar Kuar Baiji, Kishan Kuar Baiji Sahibas ...	422
24	28th September 1920 ...	Increment to officers and clerical staff and menial establishment of the State Military forces ...	431
14	1st October 1920 ...	Increment to P. Bisheshwar Nath Superintendent Museum ...	440
18	1st October 1920 ...	Increment to constables, head constables and sowars of the Police Department ...	441
4	5th October 1920 ...	Increment to Dr. Pata Ram ...	452
14	5th October 1920 ...	Regarding the question of percentage increments to the Farash-khana Officials ...	455
18	5th October 1920 ...	Percentage increment to Nenu Ram Supdt. Farash Khana ...	456
16	8th October 1920 ...	Percentage increment to Manihar Suraj Karan ...	461
1	4th October 1920 ...	Increment in the pay of S. A. S. Nagin Das ...	464

COUNCIL No.	RESOLUTION. DATE.	SUBJECT.	PAGE.
10	19th October 1920	Increment to R. B. Thakur Bijay Singhji of Rian, Judge Chief Court ... ..	471
15	29th October 1920	Increment to Mr. J. D. Bomanji E. & M. Engineer ... ..	487
12	2nd November 1920	Regarding the question of percentage increment to Maharaj Sri Akhey Singhji ... ..	492
13	2nd November 1920	Regarding increment to Sorah Sah Head Clerk Treasury office... ..	492
11	6th November 1920	Regarding revised scale of pay of the Raj and Government lent Sub-Assistant Surgeons ... ..	496
3	13th November 1920	Increment to Sekh Hadi Bux ... ..	504
6	13th November 1920	Increment in the pay of Ram Saroop Instructor Business Class as well as his gradation ... ..	506
1	16th November 1920	Fixing of grade of Mr. Harvey Vice Principal Chopasni School. ... ..	512
3	3rd December 1920	Increment to Manihar Suraj Karan ... ..	531
1	10th December 1920	Increment to Kewalmal, Sirohi Motmid ... ..	541
2	14th December 1920	Regarding the question of reconsideration of the grade promotion of Mr. W. B. Rogers, District Loco Officer ... ..	546
11	14th December 1920	Increment to P Som Nath Superintendent Karkhanajats ... ..	549
8	17th December 1920	Increments to Ramlal & Chandidan of Audit Office ... ..	554
18	17th December 1920	Approval of the Revised scale of consolidated pay to the Ry. employees as also increment in the pay of Jodhpur, Ry. Police ... ..	559
11	21st December 1920	Gradation of B. Subodh Chandra Asst. Treasury Officer ... ..	572
13	24th December 1920	Increment to Mr. Jacquet of the Electrical Department ... ..	581
14	24th December 1920	Fixing of grades of the Sanitary Surveyors ... ..	581
<b>Jail.</b>			
5	16th July 1920	Inspection report of the Jodhpur Central Jail by the Vice-President ... ..	290
16	13th August 1920	Extra Sanction under Sub-head Prisoners Diet ... ..	357
8	5th October 1920	Regarding punishment of offenders in petty cases of thefts and house trespass by whipping instead of imprisonment ... ..	453
7	2nd November 1920	Approval of draft notice regarding punishments of offenders of petty thefts and house trespass by whipping ... ..	490
<b>Judicial Extradition.</b>			
13	17th August 1920	Regarding pursuit and arrest of offenders by the Police in Jaisalmere territory as also in warwar ... ..	364
16	14th September 1920	Regarding extradition arrangement between the Jodhpur Darbar and the Darbars in Central India ... ..	409
20	14th September 1920	Regarding extradition arrangement between Jodhpur and Bikaner States ... ..	411
6	18th September 1920	Regarding addition of certain offences in the extradition agreement between Marwar and Mewar ... ..	416
8	28th September 1920	Regarding the question of reciprocity in delivering for identification any stolen property found in either states viz Marwar and Palanpur ... ..	427
22	28th September 1920	Request of the Sirohi State for arresting Sadh Anop Das and handing him over to the above State ... ..	430
11	9th November 1920	Extradition arrangement between Bhawalpur and Jodhpur State ... ..	502
19	17th December 1920	Regarding surrender of Pirji-Jagirdar of Uchmat from Marwar to Sirohi. ... ..	559
<b>Judicial Criminal.</b>			
1	9th July 1920	Regarding adulteration of <i>ghee</i> by Foujmal Hastimal and the adoption of sections 272 & 273 of the I. P. C. in the M. P. Code ... ..	278
11	14th July 1920	Regarding the conduct of various officers of Sojat in the case of Sojat Jain temple ... ..	286
8	6th August 1920	Regarding the trespass in Dan Mal's house by the Jagirdar of Mandavla, his son and others, and causing him a grievous hurt for which he died ... ..	329
13	13th August 1920	The criminal case of Shri Darbar versus Aidan Singh Rajput of Ghatiali P. Phalodi ... ..	355
1	17th August 1920	Criminal case of complainants Poonam Chand Chogmal V. S. Zorawar Singh Thakur of Khinwara Nainya Bambi etc. etc. ... ..	361
5	31st August 1920	Regarding trial of rebels Nathu Singh & Sal Singh ... ..	392
1	7th September 1920	Criminal case of Sri Darbar V. S. Dayala son of Chhagni Ram and Kalia son of Mangla Dhanka ... ..	395
16	28th September 1920	Regarding Joint trial of Bhur Singh's gang case ... ..	429

COUNCIL.	RESOLUTION.	SUBJECT	PAGE.
No.	DATE.		
2	1st October 1920	... Enhancement of the magisterial powers of Kuar Madho Singh Assistant Foujdar ...	437
3	5th October 1920	... Regarding punishment of offenders in petty cases of thefts and house-trespass by whipping instead of imprisonment ...	453
3	8th October 1920	... Regarding the trial of rebels Nathu Singh and Sal Singh ...	457
18	19th October 1920	... Criminal case of Sri Darbar V. S. Dayala s/o Chhagan Ram No. 1 and Kalya s/o Mangala Dhanka of Merta No. 2 ...	473
3	26th October 1920	... Criminal case of Sri Darbar V. S. Gula s/o Suja, Gujar resident of Lakhaki Dhani in Chosila ...	477
7	2nd November 1920	... Approval of draft notice regarding punishment of offenders of petty thefts and house trespass by whipping ...	490
5	24th November 1920	... Permission given to the Complainant Bala Mangalia for instituting criminal proceedings against Thikana Manglodi in mis-appropriation case ...	516
3	14th December 1920	... Deputation of the Judicial Superintendent Sojat for conducting the case in connection with 38 Wardats committed under the leadership of Bheel Ghaneria in Bali, Desuri and other Perganas of Marwar, as also empowering the Superintendent to pass the sentence up to six years ...	547
15	14th December 1920	... Regarding awarding of maximum punishment to persons convicted of dacoity etc as also confiscation of the Jagirdar's Jagir in case of his being culpably involved ...	550
15	14th December 1920	... Proposal for getting Supreme Government's approval to permit persons convicted of heinous crimes by Marwar Court being sent to the Andamans ...	550
6	21st December 1920	... Criminal case of Udai Singh S/o Nab Singh Deval through Amar Singh Jagirdar of Tejawa versus Junjar Singh Jagirdar of Malwara Simat Singh, Lal Singh etc ...	570
15	21st December 1920	... Criminal case of Sri Darbar versus Gudar Singh son of Hari Singh Udawat of Karmavas and Kalia Daroga ...	573
<b>Judicial—Civil (Adoption, Uzardaries, Bhoglawas, Succession) and resumption and restoration of villages by the Darbar.</b>			
3	2nd July 1920	... The civil case of Shah Ghewar Chaud of Jetaran versus Vakil of Shah Genesh Mal Keshrimal of Jeitaran ...	271
1	16th July 1920	... Papers regarding the embazement case against P. Bejeh Reop ...	287
6	20th July 1920	... Succession of Mertia Surjan Singh to his father Sardar Singh Jagirdar of Deroli Pergana Nagore ...	296
2	28th July 1920	... Regarding fixing time limit for bearing Uzardaries by Council against the decision of the Chief Court ...	304
3	31st July 1920	... Uzardari of Mathura Das s/o Lachman Dass versus Ram Lal s/o Rambax of Jodhpur ...	315
5	31st July 1920	... Closing the work of the Khatri Loan Committee and stopping the allowance of the Civil Court clerks working in the Committee... ..	315
8	31st July 1920	... Regarding the request of the Jagirdar of ½ Ghewada for his share of the village being taken by Darbar ...	316
4	6th August 1920	... Pardon to Head constable Lakhe Khan ...	328
13	6th August 1920	... Regarding the request of Deokaran Joshi in connection with the execution of decrees given against him by the Civil Court ...	330
15	6th August 1920	... Regarding the Takhtabad villages under dispute ...	330
3	10th August 1920	... Regarding the succession of Dan Singh to Simrath Singh, late Jagirdar of Unavra P. Phalodi ...	347
17	10th August 1920	... Regarding the civil suit of Bhojraj and Hanut Singh sons of Gaj Singh of Kalawa versus Thakur Padam Singh s/o Pahar Singh of Jasol ...	350
2	17th August 1920	... Succession of Bhim Singh to the late Jagirdar Bherat Singh of village Gorerikarna ...	361
1	22nd August 1920	... Uzardari of Mochi Abdul Rahaman of Jodhpur V. S. Defdt Tamboli Shiv Narain, Mahant Madho Dass ...	367
4	24th August 1920	... Regarding the case of Shri Darbar V. S. Patwas Partap Mal Chothmal and Jethmal ...	367
1	31st August 1920	... Bhoglawas case of Plaintiff-Vakil of Thikana Ramsin, V. S. Defdt Vakil Seth Sumairmal Umed Mal ...	369

COUNCIL No.	RESOLUTION. DATE.	SUBJECT	PAGE.
6	31st August 1920	... <b>Arasdasht of Parasmal of Nagore</b> regarding execution of his civil decrees against certain Jagirdars ... .. 392	
12	31st August 1920	... <b>Uzardari of Thanvis of Phalodi V S Bohras of Mullar</b> of another Dhara of Phalodi ... .. 393	
12	7th September 1920	... Application of the Sowkars of the Merta city for filing their Gawai Karza suits in the Bhoglawa Committee or in the Civil Courts ... 397	
16	7th September 1920	... Regarding unsatisfactory disposal of cases by Civil Judge No. 1, extension of the deputation of B Sukhdeo Narain in Civil Court No 1 and distribution of executive cases in Civil Court No 2 and original cases to B. Sukhdeo Narain ... .. 398	
15	18th September 1920	... Regarding dispute between Thikana Kuchaman and Mithri over village Banwali ... .. 418	
6	1st October 1920	... Succession of Narain singh to Rathore Riddh Mal Singh Mertia, the late Jagirdar of Habashpura ... .. 438	
7	5th October 1920	... Regarding restoration of village Javia to Thakur Guman Singh ... 453	
1	8th October 1920	... Regarding recovery of the money embazzled by clerk Shive Chand of Diwani Court No. 1 ... .. 457	
2	8th October 1920	... Representation of Rao Brij Lal regarding partition of his property amongst his two sons ... .. 457	
6	8th October 1920	... Regarding complaint of Parasmal heir of Sah Jethmal against the Amins sent by the Court of Sardars ... .. 459	
15	8th October 1920	... Hearing of Bhoglawa cases by the Civil Court instead of Bhoglawa Sub-committee ... .. 461	
18	8th October 1920	... Arrangement for the disposal of Chief Court's work during the absence on leave of R S Laxman Dasji ... .. 461	
2	15th October 1920	... Regarding claim of Thakur Abhey Singh alias Ajit Singh to half Bawal Jagir ... .. 465	
3	15th October 1920	... Request of the widow of the late Munshi Shub Lal praying for the postponement of the auction of her house ... .. 465	
4	15th October 1920	... Request of the Sahukaras of the Merta city for instituting their mortgage suits in the Civil Courts ... .. 466	
5	15th October 1920	... Permission granted to the Vakil of Thikana Khudiala Pergana Sher. garh to institute a regular case in the Civil Court against the Seths of Ajmer for not rendering accounts in connection with the Bhoglawa money realised on village Chidwai ... .. 466	
5	19th October 1920	... Regarding payment of succession fees by Thikana Abheypura ... 470	
20	19th October 1920	... Mr Suraj Karan to officiate the Raj Advocate and Mr Lal Chand to be placed in charge of the office of Joint Kotwal ... 474	
1	26th October 1920	... <b>Uzardari of Vakil Thikana Ladnu V. S. Mukna, Sibla</b> moils of Ladnu ... .. 476	
2	26th October 1920	... Regarding the mortgage of two wells by Junjar Singh Bhomia of village Sidroo to late Bara Dadiji hree Jadechiji Sahiba ... 476	
20	26th October 1920	... Regarding the disputed villages of Takhtabad P. Mallani ... 481	
9	29th October 1920	... Petition of Prohit Bhaphoota Jagidar of Jhewra 1/3 offering to relinquish his land if the Darbar granted some allowance to him ... .. 485	
13	29th October 1920	... <b>Uzardari of Plaintiff Narain Dhuli of Jodhpur V S Roop Singh, Achal Singh, Lal Singh of Barlaia and Vakil Thikana Chandawal</b> ... 486	
2	2nd November 1920	... Plaintiff Judgment debtor Vakil of Rao Raja Hari Singh V. S. Defdts, Decree-holders, the creditors of Rao Raja ... 488	
4	2nd November 1920	... Succession of Rawat Singh to Simrat Singh late Jagirdar of Alasan ... .. 490	
5	2nd November 1920	... Succession of Bhati Gulab Singh to Chiman Singh late Jagirdar of Dawan P Jaswantpura ... .. 490	
3	6th November 1920	... Succession of Birad Singh to the late Jagirdar Jawar Singh of Muthli P. Siwana ... .. 494	
4	6th November 1920	... Regarding Harji Adoption case ... .. 495	
15	13th November 1920	... Sanction for a further provision of Rs. Four-hundred under sub-head "Witnesses' expenses' as requested by the Chief Judge ... 508	
1	24th November 1920	... Restoration of the wells to Bhomia Junjar Singh by the Hawala Department and payment of the amount of income of the two wells to the creditor Maharaj Sri Fateh Singhji ... .. 515	
4	24th November 1920	... Remission of State dues against Joshi Deo Karan in connection with the execution of decrees given against him by the Civil Court ... 516	



COUNCIL No.	RESOLUTION DATE.	SUBJECT.	PAGE.
5	26th November 1920	Uzardari of Govind Narain of Jodhpur Secretary "All India Dahi- ma-Maha-Sabha" V. S. Sewag, Chhoga, Muthra, Bhola, etc., of Dugastan, P. Nagore	520
9	26th November 1920	Succession of Nahar Singh to the Jagir of Dhuwara in Jaswant- pura	522
5	10th December 1920	Uzardari of Vakil Thikana Ladnu V. S. Defdt Respondent Mukna Sibla s/o Nanda residents of Ladnu	542
6	14th December 1920	The escheat of Land possessed by the deceased Juna Jagirdars to the State	548
8	14th December 1920	Succession (by adoption) of Chohan Dolat Singh to Rawat Singh the Late Jagirdar of Jagan Basni	548
9	14th December 1920	Uzardari of V. Joshi Harnarain against Taparia Chatur Bhuj of Jodhpur	548
14	14th December 1920	Uzardari of Ratan Singh for inheritance of Ranjit Singh Bhomia of Khawalis' property	550
6	17th December 1920	Uzardari of Musamat Amba through her heir Balia Mukan Chand V. S. Megh Raj son of Meeta Lal of ali,	553
3	21st December 1920	Regarding dispute between Thikana Mithri and Kuchaman over village Banvli	569
4	21st December 1920	Request of the widow of the late Wunsi Shubh Lal for the postpone- ment of the auction of her house	569
7	21st December 1920	Succession of Jujar Singh to Balot Kishore Singh late Jagirdar of Dodiali	571
9	21st December 1920	Succession of Budh Singh Udawat to Phool Singh, late Jagirdar of Mahsia	571
8	24th December 1920	Request of Nanak Chand Vakil for reconsideration of his case.	579
11	24th December 1920	Succession of Champawat Tej Singh to his father Sardar Singh Jagirdar of Adwad	580

#### Jagir-Baxi

4	2nd July 1920	Regarding orders fixing the pay of Suraj Mal transferred to Jagir Baxi office	272
---	---------------	--	-----

#### KARKHANAJATS.

Shikarkhana, Garage, Stables, Filkhana, Gow-  
khana, Shutarkhana, Nakarkhana, Armoury,  
Kapron-ka-kothar, Jawahirkhana,  
Farashkhana, Menagerie, Horse  
and Mule Studs, and Kitchens.

5	6th July 1920	Regarding the sale of six Ford Motors	275
4	14th July 1920	Regarding the request of the Members of Jawabirkhana to bring the cloth and Gota damaged by white ants from Maharaj Shree Jiven Singhji Sahib for auction	285
6	16th July 1920	Formation of a Committee to prepare a list of all the Farrash- khana articles at the Head Quarters and of the Bungalows outside	292
7	24th July 1920	Compassionate allowance to Modi Abdul Ghani and Abdul Hakeem for loss in supplying Modi Khana	300
5	13th August 1920	Payment of the bills of the Electrical and Mechanical Dept. for Kitson lamps at Jodhpur Abu and for Dak Bangalow	353
16	17th August 1920	Sanction for the sale of motor cars	365
17	24th August 1920	Sanction for the sale of one Ford motor car to Lt. E. J. Todd Jubbulpur	371
7	22nd September 1920	Regarding writing off the camels standing against the name of Rebari Jaswanta	422
11	5th October 1920	Regarding non-receipt in Jawahir-khana of jewellery supplied by Messrs Rangial Chuni Lal of Nasirabad to Her Highness the Waji Shree Hadiji Sahiba	454
15	5th October 1920	Regarding enquiries in connection with misappropriation of certain articles belonging to Farash Khana	455
16	5th October 1920	Reprehension of Mr. Nenu Ram Superintendent Farash Khana in connection with the dirty State of the Residency Bangalow	456
17	5th October 1920	Regarding strike of Farashes at the Residency	456

COUNCIL RESOLUTION. No. DATE	SUBJECT.	PAGE.
1 15th October 1920	... Arrangements for furnishing the Vice Principal's Bungalow at Chopani ... ..	465
12 19th October 1920	... Payment of some bills for certain utensils purchased by His late Highness ... ..	471
13 19th October 1920	... Regarding quarrel between Moota Chandmulji and Manakji Members of Jawahirkhana ... ..	472
15 19th October 1920	... Complaint of Kalla Narsin Dass against Manakji Member Jawahirkhana ... ..	472
19 19th October 1920	... Sale of a Ford car to Stuart William a late officer of the J. B. Railway ... ..	473
5 26th October 1920	... Regarding the fact that the monthly maximum of patrol fixed should not be exceeded in any month ... ..	477
5 29th October 1920	... Adjustment of the amount of gratuity to Mr. Forbes against the outstandings due from him to the State ... ..	484
8 6th November 1920	... Regarding payment of bills of Modi Ramdayal on account of provisions supplied to Dog Kennel at Jodhpur ... ..	496
8 26th November 1920	... Payment of bills of Modi Ramdayal Chunilal, for supplying provision to the Dog Kennel ... ..	521
5 14th December 1920	... Appointment of a committee to check and report on the 'Bhar' of her late Highness Maji Shree Hadiji Sahiba ... ..	547
<b>Leave: appointments, confirmations, Transfers, Reliefs dismissals, termination, break and condonement of services, Reinstatements, loan of services, suspensions, resignations, retention of lien and services.</b>		
4 2nd July 1920	... Transfer of Suraj Mal from Customs Department to Jagir Baxi in place of Imtiajali ... ..	272
12 16th July 1920	... Regarding the appointment of R. H. Mc. Ardle as Garage Superintendent ... ..	294
1 24th July 1920	... Sanction from the Government for appointing Mr. C. H. Twiss as Tutor and Guardian to H. H. the Maharja Sahib of Jodhpur during the absence on leave of Col. C. W. Waddington, C. I. E.	298
15 24th July 1920	... Confirmation of Mr. Thattay as Accountant P. W. D. in the Audit Office ... ..	302
3 28th July 1920	... Regarding the appointment of Govind Singh, late of the 26th light cavalry as Hawaldar either in the State Military or Police Department ... ..	304
15 28th July 1920	... Appointment of Maniar Suraj Karan M. A. L. L. B. as Kotwal, in place of Moota Sumer Chand till the return of B. Sukhdeo Narain deputed for the present to work in Civil Court No. 1 ...	309
16 28th July 1920	... Bhandari Umrao Chand, Hakim, Mallani to relieve the Judicial Supdt. Mallani K. Sukh Singh, and himself to be relieved for that time by the relieving Hakim Lal Chand ... ..	309
2 31st July 1920	... Regarding the loan of services of a successor to Mr. Harrison Auditor of Accounts J. B. Railway ... ..	314
6 31st July 1920	... Regarding the recruitment of a Personal Assistant to the Acting Manager, J. B. Railway ... ..	316
12 3rd August 1920	... Mr. Madden to have charge of Maharaj Ajit Singhji as Mr. Jones has gone on leave ... ..	323
13 3rd August 1920	... Privilege leave to Babu Sheonath Sahai, Assistant Superintendent of Hawala ... ..	323
16 3rd August 1920	... Leave to Babu Nand Lal, Head clerk, English Office ... ..	324
6 6th August 1920	... Leave without pay to Munshi Biduram Hakim Pali ... ..	328
17 6th August 1920	... Regarding the terms of appointment of Mr. D. L. Drake Brockman I. C. S. as Revenue Member, Regency Council, Jodhpur State ...	331
5 10th August 1920	... Request of B. Nand Lal of English Office for appointment of his son ... ..	348
14 13th August 1920	... Appointment of B. Umrao Singh Secretary of the Council, as Secretary of the Railway Board when it meets at Jodhpur ...	355
17 13th August 1920	... Dismissal of Bhandari Purbhu Chand of the Karkhanajati Department ... ..	357
18 13th August 1920	... Retention of the services of Jamadar Laloo of Farashkhana and his deputation at the Bungalow of H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib and at the Guest House ... ..	358
19 13th August 1920	... Appointment of Mr. Harishchandra as Manager Thikana Nimaj in place of S. N. Somani ... ..	358
9 17th August 1920	... Appointment of Babu Ram as Head Master, Middle School, Sojat	363

COUNCIL RESOLUTION.		SUBJECT.				PAGE
No.	DATE.					
10	17th August 1920	...	Transfer Bhandari Sheodan Chand from Nagour to Shiv and the Shive Hakim to Nagour	...	...	363
17	17th August 1920	...	Regarding appointment of a Garage Superintendent	...	...	365
2	22nd August 1920	...	Leave to Assistant Engineer P. Laxmidatta	...	...	367
15	24th August 1920	...	Regarding loan of services of Rao Sahib, Onkar Singh for employment in the Hewson Hospital Jodhpur	...	...	371
21	24th August 192	...	Regarding appointment of Mehta Kishen Malji in place of Thakur Ugarn Singh who is promoted to the post of R. S. Devi Dayal	...	...	372
	24th August 1920	...	Note of dissent to the above by the Vice-President	...	...	374
2	27th August 1920	...	Appointment of Moota Kan Mal as Charge Superintendent of Census Operations	...	...	378
3	27th August 1920	...	Regarding extension of Mr. Paterson's services as Superintendent Central Jail	...	...	378
20	27th August 1920	...	Termination of the service of Rur Singh, Personal Assistant to the I. G. P. Marwar	...	...	383
22	27th August 1920	...	Gratuity to late B. Devi Dayal Assistant Superintendent Court of Wards	...	...	383
3	7th September 1920	...	Appointment of Mr. D. V. Wadekar as the teacher in Darbar High School	...	...	396
16	7th September 1920	...	Extension of deputation of B. Sukhdeo Narain in Civil Court No 1	...	...	398
4	10th September 1920	...	Transfer of Bakhtawar Singh Superintendent Police from Barmer to Jalore and that of Sardar Mal from Jalore to Barmer	...	...	402
11	10th September 1920	...	Regarding appointment of certain officers in the Second Regiment	...	...	403
13	10th September 1920	...	Regarding appointment of Supervisors, Assistant charge Superintendents, Moharirs, etc, in the Census Departments	...	...	404
4	14th September 1920	...	Loan of the service of a town planing expert for the town of Jodhpur	...	...	406
15	14th September 1920	...	Leave to Confirmation of J. H. Starling as Loco Carriage and Wagon Superintendent as also recruiting of another Assistant Loco officer	...	...	408
24	14th September 1920	...	Appointment of Sir Alfred' Dent as Advisor for Broad Gauge Railway scheme	...	...	412
16	18th September 1920	...	Regarding appointment of a Superintending Engineer	...	...	418
16	22nd September 1920	...	Intimation from the Resident regarding the date of appointment of D. L. Drake Brockman as Revenue Member	...	...	424
3	28th September 1920	...	Confirmation of the appointment of Sunder Singh as Assistant Master in the Rajput School	...	...	426
25	28th September 1920	...	Resignation of Maharaj Shree' Zalim Singhji from the post of Vice President and Senior Member of Council.	...	...	431
1	28th September 1920	...	Note of dissent by the Vice-President regarding re-appointment of Mehta Kishen Mal as Assistant Superintendent Court of Wards	...	...	435
9	1st October 1920	...	Extension of the services of Mr. C. Paterson Superintendent Central Jail	...	...	439
16	1st October 1920	...	Leave to Acting Mahager, J. B. Railway	...	...	441
4	5th October 1920	...	Appointment of Doctor Hira Lal as Veterinary Assistant	...	...	452
18	8th October 1920	...	Leave to Rao Sahib Laxman Dasji Chief Judge	...	...	461
9	15th October 1920	...	Dispensing the services of Dolat Ram Deputy Ranger at Desuri	...	...	467
12	15th October 1920	...	Appointment of K. Hamir Singh of Daspan for learning the work of raiding gangs of Dacoits	...	...	467
13	15th October 1920	...	Leave to Residency Surgeon W. R. S.	...	...	468
14	19th October 1920	...	Regarding appointment of Mr. Keval Mal	...	...	472
20	19th October 1920	...	Mr. Suraj Karan to officiate the Raj Advocate and Mr. Lal Chand to be placed in charge of the office of the Joint Kotwal	...	...	474
8	26th October 1920	...	Leave to Muhta Chand Mal Member of Jawahir Khana	...	...	479
10	26th October 1920	...	Temporary employment of two men for realising the arrears of Patta fees	...	...	479
10	2nd November 1920	...	Extension of leave to K. Sukh Singhji	...	...	491
11	2nd November 1920	...	Confirmation of P. Som Nath Superintendent Karkhanajat	...	...	491
1	5th November 1920	...	Leave to Mr. S. T. Stubbs	...	...	493
5	6th November 1920	...	Extension of leave to Hakim of Pali Mr. Bidhu Ram	...	...	495
13	6th November 1920	...	Leave to Mr. J. Fearfield, as also relieving of Mr. Fearfield by Baumgartner	...	...	497
20	6th November 1920	...	Appointment of B. ChaturBehari Lal as Assistant Superintendent Court of Wards-Kalla Bansidhar as Second Assistant Hawala Supdt. and of Joshi Deokaran in place of Kalla Bansidhar	...	...	499

COUNCIL RESOLUTION.		SUBJECT.	PAGE.
NO.	DATE.		
14	9th November 1920	Retension of the services of Mr. Nizamuddin P. A. to the Finance Member	503
7	13th November 1920	Sanction for the appointment of an extra trained Matriculate or F. A. Rajput Assistant Master in the Rajput School.	506
3	16th November 1920	Sanction for the retirement of the Assistant Medical officer Jodh Singh 1st Regiment Sardar Rissala	513
10	30th November 1920	Appointment of Thakur Kesri Singh of Jakhan as Officer Killajat	529
8	3rd December 1920	Leave to R. B. Thakur Bijai Singhji, Judge Chief Court	533
2	9th December 1920	Leave to Acting Superintending Engineer P. W. D.	540
12	14th December 1920	Resignation of R. S. K. M. Bhatji, Inspector of Schools	549
13	14th December 1920	Scheme regarding appointment of an Agricultural Advisor for Rajputana and Ajmer Merwara	549
11	17th December 1920	Appointment of Radha Kishan as an Assistant teacher in the Darbar High School Jodhpur	555
7	24th December 1920	Regarding leave to P. Ram Karan of the Historical Department as also the question of appointing another in his place	579
16	24th December 1920	Leave to the officiating Superintending Engineer ( Mr. Stubbs )	581
17	24th December 1920	Appointment of B. Lukman Prasad in place of Ambalal the Accountant of the E. & M. Department.	582

**Medical.**

4	31st July 1920	Regarding designation of Singhi Riddmal Head Clerk in the Residency Surgeon's Office	315
7	31st July 1920	Extension by one year of the period of medical course for S. A. Surgeons receiving training at Indore	316
18	3rd August 1920	Suggestion of Residency Surgeon for keeping the arrivals from Bali under Surveillance at Jodhpur, and the suggestion of Assistant Surgeon Bali for stopping Judicial work at Bali till plague subsides	324
11	13th August 1920	Regarding the Residency Surgeon's suggestions in connection with the plague at Bali	354
6	15th October 1920	Regarding the question of site for building a new hospital	466
11	6th November 1920	Regarding revised scale of pay of the Raj and Government lent Sub-assistant surgeons	496
14	13th November 1920	Regarding out-break of mumps among the boys of Chopasni School	508
2	26th November 1920	Regarding sites for building a new hospital outside the city	518
2	24th December 1920	Approval of the site for building a new Hospital	577
14	24th December 1920	Regarding training of three candidates in the Sanitary Surveyors class Bombay	581

**Mint.**

6	2nd July 1920	Contract of Tar (gold lace) in Jodhpur in the name of Achaldas Lunkar	273
7	2nd July 1920	Ijara of Tarkashan in Katla Jodhpur in the name of Phophalia M. lap Chand.	273
11	24th July 1920	Cancellation of Ijara of Tarkashan-Katla given to Phophalia M. lap Chand and sanction of the same in the name of Deoraj	301
14	27th August 1920	Contract of Mint at Pali in the name of Sileh Raj	381

**Mines.**

12	22nd September 1920	Request of Maharaja Sindhia of Gwalior for Makrana Marble	423
10	13th November 1920	Sanction to the contract of lime stone at Gotan in the names of Habib ullah and Suraj Mul Kumar jointly	507
12	21st December 1920	Regarding submission of accounts of the income received from the stone Quarries by the Jagirdar of Khatu Bari	572

**Military forces, { Sardar Rissala Sardar Infantry Artillery, Pergana Forces, and State Band.**

8	6th July 1920	Payment of two months' pay to Killedar Man Singh	276
10	16th July 1920	Regarding the rate of rations of the officers, N. C. Officers and men of the Jodhpur I S. Troops when attending classes of instruction.	293
	30th July 1920	Order dated 30th June 1920, in connection with the disturbances in the Sardar Rissala, Jodhpur	311

COUNCIL RESOLUTION.		SUBJECT.	PAGE.
No.	DATE.		
	31st July 1920	... Orders dated 31st June 1920, in connection with the disturbances in the Sardar Rissala, Jodhpur ...	312
	31st July 1920	... Order (in Hindi) dated 31st June 1920 in connection with the disturbances in the Sardar Rissala, Jodhpur ...	313
11	31st July 1920	... Regarding development of educational training in the Army ...	317
1	8th August 1920	... Orders by the Council regarding the various complaints of the 1st and 2nd Sardar Rissala men ...	333
	2nd August 1920	... Proceedings of the Court of Enquiry assembled at the office of the 1st and 2nd Sardar Rissala Jodhpur on 2nd August 1920 ...	337
2	13th August 1920	... Regarding sanction of the Government of India to the award of special grants of land and assignments of land revenue to Indian Officers residing in the Jodhpur State ...	352
11	17th August 1920	... Regarding relief to incapacitated and wounded soldiers of the Jodhpur I. S. Troops ...	364
2	24th August 1920	... The case of Gobind Singh S/o Jaswant Singh of village Samrao to be dealt with according to the proceedings of the recent Court of Inquiry ...	368
13	24th August 1920	... Complaints of Hakims against the camel Sawars for not allowing their <i>Ahalgars</i> to mount camels when they go on tours ...	371
14	24th August 1920	... Regarding claim of Sardar Rissala <i>Modi</i> for grinding charges of Barley ...	371
22	24th August 1920	... Sanction for purchasing instruments for the State Band ...	372
10	10th September 1920	... Deputation of some Infantry men at Pali on the occasion of <i>Katal-ki-rat</i> ...	403
1	14th September 1920	... Regarding grant of rewards to the officers and men of I. S. troops Jodhpur ...	405
5	14th September 1920	... Sanction for non-recovery of the money advanced as rewards for recruiting to Officers ...	406
9	14th September 1920	... Regarding post Bellum distribution of relief to soldiers ...	407
13	14th September 1920	... Regarding settlement of accounts in connection with the loss in the value of field Treasure chest balance caused by variations of exchange, recruiting, gratuities etc ...	408
3	18th September 1920	... Request of Officer Commanding 2nd Regiment for the provision of a lump sum in the State budget under head "War Charges" ...	415
9	28th September 1920	... Sanction for the payment of bills for articles supplied from the stores to the Officers selected to join Peace Celebrations in England ...	427
11	28th September 1920	... Submission of the original report by the officers of the Regimental Committee appointed to check the accounts in connection with the amount of bill incurred by the Regiment from the date of its leaving Jodhpur to its transfer from France to Egypt ...	428
14	28th September 1920	... Officer Commanding 2nd Regiment allowed to promote up to his proper compliment of officers for a 2nd squadron regiment only...	428
18	26th October 1920	... Explanation given by Commanding Officer 1st Regiment showing how the loss in the value of field treasure chest balance was caused by variations of exchange ...	481
6	2nd November 1920	... Regarding medical arrangements of the two Regiments of the Sardar Rissala ...	490
12	6th November 1920	... Regarding the adoption of the Government scale allowances to members of the State Forces attending classes of instruction...	497
12	13th November 1920	... Regarding certain inquiries in connection with the special concessions for pension granted by the Council to all officers and men who proceeded on active service in the great War ...	507
16	13th November 1920	... Regarding payment of bills on account of the expenses incurred by the Jodhpur I. S. Troops at the Front in France ...	508
6	16th November 1920	... Regarding travelling allowance to seventy men of the Sardar Infantry sent to Pali on the <i>Moharam</i> occasion ...	514
15	14th December 1920	... Posting of more parties of Sardar Rissala at strategical points during non-working season ...	550
13	21st December 1920	... Sanction for the payment of the cost of 12 bullocks to the Rissala Treasury ...	572
<b>Municipality.</b>			
5	14th July 1920	... Regarding 4 sweepers attached to Abu Kothies ...	285
11	16th July 1920	... Nomination of Mr. Chalmers as one of the Members of the Jodhpur Municipal Board ...	293

COUNCIL RESOLUTION. No.	DATE.	SUBJECT.	PAGE.
11	8th October 1920	... Municipal case of Hindoos of Kumaria Kuwa V. S. Butcher Kadar	460
16	19th October 1920	... Request of Sah Gouesh Mal for not removing the sheds in front of his shop ... ..	472
6	26th October 1920	... Estimate of additions and alterations required in the shops of Girdikot ... ..	478
21	26th October 1920	... Request of Wakil of Seth Ganesh Mal for not removing the sheds in front of the shops of his Sarai ... ..	480
1	2nd November 1920	... Regarding fixing of reasonable prices for grain, ghee, cloth etc., by the Municipality ... ..	488

#### Marriage of Sri Suraj Kunwar Baiji Lal Sahiba.

12	17th August 1920	... Extra grant for meeting the expenditure in connection with the marriage of Shri Baiji Lal Sahiba ... ..	364
21	14th September 1920	... Additional grant for payment of bills in connection with the visit of Sri Suraj Kunwar Baiji Lal Sahiba and H. H. the Maharaja of Rewah ... ..	411
1	8th December 1920	... The term 'Neota' changed into the term 'marriage nazrana' ...	534

#### Political.

5	2nd July 1920	... Views of the Jodhpur Darbar regarding the improvement and development of indigenuous breed of cattle ... ..	272
9	6th July 1920	... Views of the Jodhpur Darbar regarding attendance to the inauguration ceremony of the Chamber of Princes at Delhi ...	276
8	16th July 1920	... Regarding the reply to the President, Oudh Khilafat Committee	293
8	24th July 1920	... Regarding the views of the Jodhpur Darbar for making over the plot of land at Sameri River near Someswar Ry. Station to the B. B. & C. I. Railway ... ..	300
9	24th July 1920	.. Regarding, the erection of tablet in the Parganas of Shergarh Didwana, Jodhpur and Sambhar ... ..	300
16	24th July 1920	... Regarding the maintenance charges of one aeroplane called for by the Jodhpur Darbar from the Resident, W. R. S. ...	302
14	31st July 1920	... Verification of character Rolls being returned by I. G. P. direct to States or the Resident ... ..	317
	31st July 1920	... Note of dissent to the above by Vice-President of the Council ...	319
1	3rd August 1920	... Concurrence of the Jodhpur Darbar with the views of the Committee of Princes regarding the acquisition of the residential property in British India by the Ruling Princes and Chiefs ...	320
2	3rd August 1920	... Concurrence of the Jodhpur Darbar with the views of the Committee of Princes regarding the policy of the Government of India for the employment in Indian States of European British subjects etc. ... ..	320
15	10th August 1920	... Information regarding H. R. H. the Prince of Wales not formally receiving minor Ruling Princes either at the Head quarters of Local Govts. or at Delhi ... ..	350
13	17th August 1920	... Regarding pursuit and arrest of offenders by the Police in Jaisal-mere Territory as also in Marwar ... ..	364
1	24th August 1920	... Conference held at Delhi to consider the question of amendment of Criminal Tribes Act ... ..	368
9	27th August 1920	... Information by the Resident regarding expenditure involved in the up-keep of aeroplanes ... ..	380
10	27th August 1920	... Government of India waiving the payment of Supertax on the income derived in British India by Ruling Chiefs ... ..	380
9	31st August 1920	... Regarding emergency of landing grounds being established at Utarlai and Luni for Air-ships ... ..	393
13	7th September 1920	... Regarding gift of aeroplanes by the Govt. ... ..	398
2	22nd September 1920	... Regarding postponement of the work of dismantling the prisoners of War Camp at Sumerpur ... ..	420
8	28th September 1920	... Regarding the question of reciprocity in delivering for identification any stolen property found in either States viz. Marwar and Palanpur ... ..	427
5	1st October 1920	... Intimation regarding Ruling Prince and Chiefs who have been selected as Members of the Chamber of Princes ... ..	436

COUNCIL RESOLUTION. No.      DATE.	SUBJECT.	PAGE
10 9th November 1920	... Regarding the question of appointment of an Agricultural Advisor for Rajputana and Ajmer Merwara ... ..	502
7 3rd December 1920	... Enquiry from the Resident regarding deputation of a special officer from this State to attend an informal Meeting of Sanitary Commission at Simla ... ..	533
4 8th December 1920	... Expression of the Jaisalmer Darbar's thanks to the Jodhpur Durbar for the assistance rendered by them in connection with the camping arrangements made on Lady Chemsford's visit to Jaisalmer ... ..	535
8 8th December 1920	... Submission of a memorandum showing in juxtaposition certain items in the Viceregal visit ceremonial programme of Jodhpur and Bikaner ... ..	536
	Copy of the Memorandum to the above ... ..	538
13 14th December 1920	... Scheme regarding appointment of an Agricultural Advisor for Rajputana Ajmere-Merwara ... ..	549
15 14th December 1920	... Proposal for getting Supreme Government's approval to permit persons convicted of heinous crimes by Marwar Court being sent to the Andamans ... ..	550
4 17th December 1920	... Enquiry from the Tehsildar of the British Dist. Coconada, regarding the rental value of two houses belonging to Seth Chimanaji, Bhutaji in Siana for income tax purposes ... ..	552

#### Press.

4 8th October 1920	... Regarding monopoly of printing the Chandu Panchang by the Venkateshwar Press ... ..	458
7 15th October 1920	... Permission granted to Mehal Chand of Ladnu for opening a printing press ... ..	466
6 9th November 1920	... Request of the Managing Committee of Sri Sakadwipi Brahma Sabha, for starting a Hindi monthly magazine ... ..	501
2 21st December 1920	... Regarding agreement for publishing the Chandu Panchang ... ..	568

#### Police and Criminal Tribes.

14 31st July 1920	... Verification of character Rolls being returned by the I. G. P. direct to States or Resident ... ..	317
30th July 1920	... Note of dissent to the above by the Vice-President of the Council ... ..	319
8 3rd August 1920	... Regarding sending of Gulab Singh Jamadar Sardar Rissala for police training ... ..	322
17 3rd August 1920	... 30 Henry Martin Rifles, with grooves and 1,000 cartridges ordered for the use of State Police ... ..	324
4 6th August 1920	... Sanction to pardon Head constable Lakhey Khan ... ..	328
13 17th August 1920	... Regarding pursuit and arrest of offenders by the Police in Jaisalmer territory as also in Marwar... ..	364
5 24th August 1920	... Regarding repairs of the Fort building at Sojat for Police Station and the removal of the Infantry men stationed at the Fort ... ..	369
5 31st August 1920	... Regarding trial of rebels Nathu Singh and Sal Singh... ..	392
10 10th September 1920	... Deputation of some Police men at Sojat on the occasion of Katal-ki-rat ... ..	403
16 28th September 1920	... Regarding joint trial of Bhur Singh's gang case ... ..	429
21 28th September 1920	... 5 candidates sent to Mooradabad Police Training ... ..	430
22 28th September 1920	... Request of Sirohi State for arresting Sadh Anop Das and handing him over to above State ... ..	430
3 24th November 1920	... Request of the I. G. P. regarding non-cognizable cases connected with the Criminal Tribes to be dealt with by the Hakims ... ..	516
2 10th December 1920	... Provision for Horse allowances, good service reward blankets, etc., in the budget of the Police Department for 1920-21 ... ..	541

#### Public Works.

1 2nd July 1920	... Sanction for water tap and Khalias at the slaughter house outside Mertia gate ... ..	271
2 2nd July 1920	... Sanction for additions and alterations in the Raj Mehal School building ... ..	271
2 6th July 1920	... Sanction for repairs to the Haweli of late Mir Fiaz Aliji ... ..	274
3 6th July 1920	... Regarding sanction for repairs to certain wells ... ..	275

COUNCIL RESOLUTION. No.      DATE.	SUBJECT.	PAGE.
2 9th July 1920	... Sanction for constructing a sheep-slaughter house outside Siwanchi Gato Jodhpur ... ..	278
11 9th July 1920	... Regarding purchase of a locomotive Engine for the use of the conservancy tramway ... ..	280
1 13th July 1920	... Sanction for repairs to breaches, necessary improvement and silt clearance of Chota Abu, Kailana Feeder canal... ..	283
5 16th July 1920	... Estimates called for certain additions and alterations in the Jail... ..	290
8 20th July 1920	... Regarding laying a water pipe in the grave-yard of the Parsi community ... ..	297
10 24th July 1920	... Sanction for excavating the higher ground at the Pacca overflow and repairing the overflow ... ..	301
17 24th July 1920	... Consent of the Jodhpur Darbar to forego six months' notice required under agreement with Mr. Cruickshank Superintending Engineer ... ..	302
9 28th July 1920	... Sanction for repairs to guns at the Fort... ..	307
13 28th July 1920	... Regarding the proposal to receive and issue the petrol by weight ... ..	308
3 3rd August 1920	... Sanction for construction of School at Siwana ... ..	321
5 3rd August 1920	... Sanction for repairs to Sambhar Hakumat Building ... ..	327
2 6th August 1920	... Sanction for the construction of a new type of Customs Chowki at Bankli ... ..	327
1 10th August 1920	... Sanction for certain additions and repairs to the dispensary building at Jalore ... ..	347
6 10th August 1920	... Sanction for supply of layers of Chami in the remaining portion of the polo ground near H. H. the Maharaja Regent's Bungalow ... ..	348
7 10th August 1920	... Sanction for repairs to the marble cenetoph of Maharaja Sri Jaswant Singhji Sahib ... ..	348
13 10th August 1920	... Sanction for constructing quarter for Shikarkhana near Takhat Sagar and Guran-ka-Talao ... ..	350
16 10th August 1920	... Regarding estimate for constructing office and additions to the existing building of the Customs Superintendent at Paota for the I. G. P ... ..	350
8 13th August 1920	... Regarding a telegram from Col. Mac George intimating his inability to accept the post of Supdtg. Engineer Jodhpur ... ..	354
10 13th August 1920	... Sanction for works at the Residency in connection with the approaching visit of H. E. the Viceroy to Jodhpur ... ..	354
4 17th August 1920	... Sanction for the construction of a new mortuary at the Railway ... ..	362
6 17th August 1920	... Regarding powers of the Superintending Engineer ... ..	362
16 17th August 1920	... Sanction for the sale of motor cars ... ..	362
17 17th August 1920	... Refusal of Mr M. G. Ardle to accept the post of Garage Supdt as also the advertisement for securing a man for the post ... ..	365
7 24th August 1920	... Sanction for providing water tap at the slaughter house outside Siwanchi Gate ... ..	369
9 24th August 1920	... Sanction for repairs and renewal of <i>Jalies</i> , and <i>Takias</i> at the Zanana Palace on the Fort ... ..	370
17 24th August 1920	... Sanction for the sale of one Ford motor car to Lt. E. T. Todd Jabbelpur ... ..	371
18 24th August 1920	... Sanction for a water-tap at the grave-yard of Parsi community ... ..	372
13 27th August 1920	... Sanction for constructing a weir wall across the Ganga Bala... ..	381
17 27th August 1920	... Permission for a water tap to Messrs Eduljee Norowji ... ..	382
2 31st August 1920	... Sanction for payment and repairs to <i>Gaushala</i> in <i>Juni Dhan Mandi</i> ... ..	390
4 7th September 1920	... Estimate called for additions and alterations to Raona School building ... ..	396
5 7th September 1920	... Sanction for construction of a metalled road from the Ry. station to Bhehronji-ka-than in Didwana city ... ..	396
2 10th September 1920	... Sanction for the construction of two roads, one from the existing paved road near Ranjit Singhji's house to meet the road near Ganesh Prasadji's house and the other from Mahavtan-ki-masjid to meet the road near Nagori Gate ... ..	401
3 10th September 1920	... Sanction for construction of a combined Hakumat and Police Thana Building at Siwana ... ..	402



COUNCIL RESOLUTION. No.	DATE.	SUBJECT.	
6	10th September 1920	Sanction for the construction of a new Bungalow and office for the Supdt. of Post Offices-Western Rajputana Circle, as also enhancement of rent on the building	402
8	10th September 1920	Sanction for the renewal of the pipe line attached to the Sardar Rissala	403
3	14th September 1920	Sanction for raising the jumping stands higher in the Sardar Rissala line etc	406
8	14th September 1920	Sanction for the purchase of sluice valves at Balsamand and Kailana Mains	407
14	14th September 1920	Regarding improvement of the canal of Ranisar tank at Phalodi	408
19	14th September 1920	Sanction for the construction of a Pacca well on the Erinpura Road in the limits of Galthani	410
7	18th September 1920	Regarding completion of the Forest road from Khejri-ka-bala to Haripur Railway station...	416
9	18th September 1920	Sanction for repairs and color washing of the Bungalow formerly occupied by P. Chhajuramji	417
17	18th September 1920	Regarding giving of precedence to Govt. over Darbar's chassis	419
7	28th September 1920	Application of Vakil Ralla Ram for a water tap in his house	427
27	28th September 1920	Proposal for sinking well on the Khokaria side	432
3	1st October 1920	Sanction for varnishing and polishing of the furniture of the Residency Surgeon's Bungalow	438
1	3rd October 1920	Sanction for constructing a small filtered tank at the Residency	450
5	8th October 1920	Supply of water tap in the house occupied by Thakur Jagat Singh of Deoli	458
14	8th October 1920	Regarding fixing of a water tap in the compound of the office of the Residency Vakil	460
8	15th October 1920	Proposal for replacing the broken glass panes in the Jubilee Courts offices	467
2	19th October 1920	Sanction for repairing parade ground at Katanada	469
3	19th October 1920	Sanction for varnishing and painting doors etc at the Residency office	469
4	19th October 1920	Sanction for repairs to Chopasni School building etc...	469
19	19th October 1920	Sale of Ford Motor car to Stuart William a late officer of the J. B. Railway...	473
6	26th October 1920	Estimate of additions and alterations required in the shops of Girdikot	478
11	26th October 1920	Sanction for removing the pipe line of Central Jail and fixing new pipe as also for making Almirahs	479
19	26th October 1920	Sanction for repairs to Polo ground roads and polo pavilion etc. at H. H's. Palace	481
1	29th October 1920	Sanction for constructing a liquor ware-house at Rani	483
2	29th October 1920	Sanction for constructing a liquor ware house at Balotra	483
5	29th October 1920	Adjustment of the amount of gratuity payable to Mr. Forbes against the outstandings due from him to the State	484
12	29th October 1920	Sanction for sinking well at Chopasni Rajput School	486
9	2nd November 1920	Sanction for necessary repairs and painting of doors etc., to Mahals at the Fort	491
2	5th November 1920	Estimate for making new charaks for guns at the Fort	493
1	9th November 1920	Supply of water tap in Maharaj Bijay Singhji's Bungalow	500
2	9th November 1920	Payment of maintenance charges by the P. W. Department to the Manager, J. B. Railway for certain buildings lying within the Railway limits	500
3	9th November 1920	Sanction for repairing Hakumat Building and constructing Ijlas room and treasury at Didwana	500
5	9th November 1920	Regarding building of a paper factory at Central Jail	501
8	9th November 1920	Sanction for annual repairs to Pali environ roads	502
9	9th November 1920	Sanction for repairs of road from Jodhpur to Pali Road	502
1	13th November 1920	Approval of rates for charging water	504
2	13th November 1920	Sanction for a water tap in the House of R. S. Sangi Das	504
4	16th November 1920	Issue of notices prohibiting travellers who stay in the Dak Bungalow not to spoil its furniture	513
6	24th November 1920	Sanction for a watertap in Sri Sumer Pushtikar School	517
6	26th November 1920	Sanction for annual and special repairs to Hakumat building at Pali	521

COUNCIL RESOLUTION. No.	DATE.	SUBJECT.	PAGE.
5	3rd December 1920	... Sanction for adjustment of the Imprest Account of Rupees seven-thousand, three-hundred and thirty-five annas five which also includes an over-payment made to M. G. T. Wright of Allahabad	532
5	8th December 1920	... Sanction for painting doors and windows etc. at His Highness's palace	535
10	14th December 1920	... Sanction to meet the expenditure for the works at the Residency in connection with the Viceregal visit	546
2	17th December 1920	... Sanction for painting warnishing and repairing two big boats of Balsamand	551
3	17th December 1920	... Sanction for the construction of 6 roads in the city	552
5	17th December 1920	... Sanction for repairs to the Mahals at the Fort on the occasion of Viceregal visit as also the attention of the Superintending Engineer drawn to the effect that no work should be taken in hand without the permission of the Member in-charge at least	553
12	17th December 1920	... Sanction for the construction of 4 additional rooms in the Sri Umed (Raona) School building	555
13	17th December 1920	... Sanction for constructing quarters for four teachers at Bali	556
1	24th December 1920	... Sanction for constructing liquor ware-houses at Merta Road	577
3	24th December 1920	... Sanction for annual repairs to Bali School	578
6	24th December 1920	... Sanction for completing Police Thana building of Nagour	579
12	24th December 1920	... Request of the Kamdar of Mahiraj Sree Bijay Singhji Sahib for supplying water free of charge	580
15	24th December 1920	... Sanction for the expenses for the Darbar Camp at Delhi	581

#### Railway and Workshop.

10	6th July 1920	... Grant of privilege leave with pay to the daily paid staff of the Railway Workshop	277
7	9th July 1920	... Transfer of the open Bogie wagon No. 0141 to the Railway	279
2	20th July 1920	... Regarding suggestions of the Manager, J. B. Railway for adding two notes on certain paras of the joint agreement between the Secretary of State for India and their Highnesses the Maharajas of Bikaner and Jodhpur	295
3	20th July 1920	... Sanction to an excess expenditure in the Authorization Rolls of the Pipar Bilara Light Railway	296
6	24th July 1920	... Consent of the Bikaner Darbar to Major Gordon's receiving half pay on average salary for the period of his absence on Military duty	299
19	24th July 1920	... Regarding the threatened strike by the Railway workshop employees	303
1	31st July 1920	... Sanction to extra grant for renewal of sleepers and packing of ballast in the Martin Side at Makrana	314
6	3rd August 1920	... Regarding payment of the Railway officers leave allowances in England	321
14	3rd August 1920	... Sanction for relaying the main line between Merta Road and Marwar Bikaner Borders; and the supply of rails for the Desuri Sanderao line	323
3	6th August 1920	... Regarding the inspection of P. W. D. boilers by the J. B. Railway Foreman	327
14	10th August 1920	... Regarding note on the Broad Gauge Railway scheme	350
4	13th August 1920	... Sanction for establishment Authorisation Rolls of the Pipar Bilara Light Railway	353
14	13th August 1920	... Regarding the constitution of a Railway Board to administer the affairs of the Jodhpur Bikaner Railway and the suggestions regarding the same by the P. W. Member Bikaner State Council	353
15	13th August 1920	... Regarding the proposals of educational facilities for workshops and Loco Foremen's children and creation of a new post of carriage and wagon examiner Foreman to be put before Railway Board	357
4	27th August 1920	... Regarding creation of the post of Assistant Engineer for the Central District	393

COUNCIL RESOLUTION. No.	DATE.	SUBJECT.	Pgm.
18	27th August 1920	... Regarding J. B. Railway Provident Fund as also two other points on the same subject ... ..	382
	27th August 1920	... Minutes of Meeting held in Jodhpur on 31st July 1920 regarding financial positions of the J. B. Railway Provident Fund ...	384
9	10th September 1920	... Sanction for an increase to the annual salary paid to the consulting Engineers of Jodhpur Railway ... ..	403
6	14th September 1920	... Regarding the introduction of the stronocho-Duttona Loco: Tractor system in Marwar ... ..	406
18	14th September 1920	... Regarding pay and allowances to Major Gardon, Captain Evens and Temperley for the period between their demobilisation and joining the Jodhpur Bikaner Railway ... ..	410
24	14th September 1920	.. Appointment of Sir Alfred Dent as expert Advisor for the broad gauge Railway scheme ... ..	412
14	18th September 1920	... Regarding supply of rails to J. B. Railway ... ..	418
18	28th September 1920	... Regarding continuance of the Jodhpur Railway as the Banker of the Bikaner Railway and that J. Railway should get some return for the money so laid out ... ..	429
8	1st October 1920	... Railway station between Merta Road and Gotan named as "Jogi Magra" ... ..	439
13	1st October 1920	... Regarding alignment of the Marwar Junction Desuri Railway ...	440
17	1st October 1920	... Confirmation of the Proceedings of the J. B. Railway Board held at Jodhpur of 20th September 1920 ... ..	441
		Proceedings of the J. B. Railway board held at Jodhpur on 20th September 1920 ... ..	443
12	5th October 1920	... Regarding affording of necessary facilities by the Darbar to the Survey Party going to survey alignment of broad gauge Railway line from Viramgaon to Balotra ... ..	454
3	26th November 1920	... Sanction for a revised estimate of re-modelling Luni-Junction yard ... ..	519
4	26th November 1920	... Proposals for posting Mr Lean as an Acting District Loco Officer and Mr Rodgers in charge of running Department ...	519
4	30th November 1920	... Sanction for a revised estimate for relaying the entire length of 55½ miles of the main line between 3 miles north of Merta Road and Bikaner Border ... ..	528
1	3rd December 1920	... Sanction for eight estimates for building bogies goods wagons etc.	530
9	17th December 1920	... Exemption from the stamp duty granted to co-operation credit society of the J. B. Railway ... ..	551
14	17th December 1920	... Intimation regarding Sir Alfred Dent's inability to come to India to advise the Darbar on Railway policy ... ..	556
15	17th December 1920	... Regarding the broad gauge scheme ... ..	556
16	17th December 1920	... Lord Belhaven's note about financing the broad gauge Railway	561
17	17th December 1920	... Approval of the alignment of Jodhpur Jaitaran Richmalia, Damora, Nawai and Gangapur Railway ... ..	557
18	17th December 1920	... Regarding arrangements for Railway accommodation required in connection with the visit of H. H. the Maharaja Sahib Bahadur to Delhi ... ..	557
		Approval of the revised scale of consolidated pay to the Railway employees as also increment in the pay of the Jodhpur Railway Police ... ..	559
		Revised scale of consolidated pay including war allowance to the Railway employees ... ..	564
<b>Rajasthan.</b>			
12	9th July 1920	... Report of progress regarding the liquidation of the debts of His late Highness ... ..	280
6	14th July 1920	... Sanction for an extra grant for payment of the bill of Messrs Hoar and Co Bombay for clothes made for Maharaj Ajit Singhji Sahib ... ..	285
14	7th September 1920	... Procuring of 6 % bonds of 1930, in the name of H. H. Maharaja Sri Umed Singhji Sahib Bahadur ... ..	398
1	14th September 1920	... Regarding painting and Albums ordered by late H. H. Maharaja Sri Summer Singhji Sahib ... ..	407

**Rekh, Hukmnama, Chakri, Nazrana,  
and other Lags.**

1 6th July 1920	... Regarding the fixation of Rekh Chakri of village Chamba in Shergarh Pargana ...	274
5 9th July 1920	... Regarding Rekh on the villages in the Patta of Bhabha Panney Singhji ...	279
8 9th July 1920	... Regarding recovery of Rekh and Chakri dues from Thikana Boodsu and its Chhutbhaies ...	279
9 14th July 1920	... Regarding the realisation of Hukamnama from Dhan Singh the Jagirdar of Rama and Reora ...	286
10 20th July 1920	... Regarding the amalgamation of the Rekh Hukamnama and Jagir Baxi Departments and regarding the controversy as to the best method for collecting dues from the Jagirdars ...	297
6 28th July 1920	... Regarding the fixation of time limit for realising the States dues of Rekh and Hukamnama from the Jairedars, and the levy of interest on the same in case of the Jagirdar's failure to pay their duty ...	305
3 13th August 1920	... Regarding the realisation of arrears of Rekh Chakri dues outstanding against Kaim Khani Lal Khan Jagirdar of the villages Suradund Jatodi P Merta ...	352
3 31st August 1920	... Regarding realisation of Hukamnama from the Jagirdars ...	391
10 7th September 1920	... Regarding rate of Rekh at which Neota and Nazir may be realised from village Risanya in Sojat ...	397
11 7th September 1920	... Regarding recovery of Hukamnama from Mutsadi Jagirdars ...	397
4 18th September 1920	... Regarding revision of the Rekh of the Patta villages of Thikana Daspan ...	416
1 22nd September 1920...	... Regarding realisation of arrears of Gharu Lags from Thikana Kuchaman ...	420
5 28th September 1920...	... Regarding levy of Nazrana and fixing of Rekh on Ramgarh Khara Thikana Nimbaj ...	426
13 5th October 1920	... Regarding levy of Rekh from the Jagirdar of village Bibalsar in Jalore ...	455
5 19th October 1920	... Regarding payment of succession fees by Thikana Abheypura ...	470
7 26th October 1920	... Regarding realisation of Rekh share from the Chutbhaies of Natiyas Zalimpura ...	478
11 29th October 1920	... Regarding realisation of Chakri dues from Thikana Dugoli P. Nogra ...	486
4 13th November 1920	... Regarding fixing of cash Chakri on Thikana Khatu Badi and realisation of arrears ...	505
1 8th December 1920	... Regarding levy of Raj Tilak Nazrana ...	535
7 17th December 1920	... Regarding levy of Najrana and fixing of Rekh on Ramgarh khara ...	554
<b>Stipends, scholarships Maintenance allowances, Relief funds, pensions, gratuity, bonus, remuner- ations, compassionate allowances and allowances.</b>		
6 6th July 1920	... Stipend to the widow of late Hawaldar Khardi Pancholi Kan Mall ...	275
7 6th July 1920	... Gratuities to Joshi Laxminarain, Bias Man Mal, Vyas Panra Lal, and Kalla Punamchand ...	276
8 14th July 1920	... Regarding the claim of K. Gulab Singh to Acting allowance for working as Officer Commanding Sardar Infantry ...	285
10 14th July 1920	... Increase in Camel allowance to Excise Inspectors ...	286
2 16th July 1920	... Regarding increment in the allowance of Dadiji Shri Ladi Bhatianiji Sahiba ...	288
3 16th July 1920	... Regarding increment in the allowance to Singar Kanwar Baiji Sahiba, Baiji Sahiba of Maharaj Sri Bahadar Singhji Sahib, Kishen Kanwar Baiji & Besar Kanwar Baiji Sahiba, and Pardayat Lalraiji of late Maharaj Sri Kishore Singhji Sahib, Increments to Pardayat Bada & Chhota Jaraoraiji, Pardayatji Ramraiji, Pardayat Tejraji Pardayat Nenraiji, Pardayatji Lichmanraiji, Pardayatji Agarraiji of Maharaj Shri Ranjit Singhji Sahib and to Khawas Udi of Rughnat Singhji ...	288

COUNCIL No.	RESOLUTION DATE.	SUBJECT.	
10 16th July 1920	...	Regarding the adoption of the Government scale of allowance by the Marwar Darbar in Jodhpur Imperial Service Troops ...	293
4 20th July 1920	...	Scholarships to Karanraj and Sampatraj ...	296
7 20th July 1920	...	Acting allowance to Mr. Stubbs ...	296
7 24th July 1920	...	Compassionate allowance to Modi Abdul Gani & Abdul Hakim for the loss in supplying Modi Khana ...	300
4 28th July 1920	...	Stipend to the minor son of widow of Kanugo Fateh Chand ...	305
8 28th July 1920	...	Conveyance allowance to Mr. A. Jacquet Foreman E. & M. Department Workshop ...	307
8 31st July 1920	...	Regarding maintenance allowance to the Jagirdar of $\frac{1}{2}$ Ghewda ...	316
4 3rd August 1920	...	Scholarship to Moota Man Mal son of Hastimal ...	321
9 6th August 1920	...	Regarding rates of daily allowance to Col. R. E. A. Hamilton ...	329
10 6th August 1920	...	Conveyance allowance to Babu Devidayal Assistant Superintendent Court of Wards, Thakur Nathu Singhji of Ras. Superintendent Court of Wards, and his Assistant Thakur Ugam Singh	329
16 6th August 1920	...	Allowance of Maji Sri Tuarji Sahiba to be paid directly from the Treasury ...	331
2 10th August 1920	...	Regarding the request of the widow of late Maharaj Mohbat Singhji for her allowance to be paid to her direct ...	347
10 10th August 1920	...	Gratuity to Lala Banshi Dhar, Supervisor of Takhtabad ...	349
9 13th August 1920	...	Gratuity to Din Dayal son of late Babu Shiv Swaroop, Head Clerk, P. W. D. Office or his guardian ...	354
8 17th August 1920	...	Special local allowance to the Customs staff at Head quarters ...	363
14 17th August 1920	...	Scholarships to the grand sons of Munshi Mohomed Khan, late Hakim of Malani ...	365
19 17th August 1920	...	Request of the sons of R. S. Devi Dayal for Scholarship ...	366
8 24th August 1920	...	Gratuity to Head Kbalasi Tej Singh of the P. W. Department ...	370
11 24th August 1920	...	Gratuity to Laxman Das Deodhidar Inspector Police... ..	370
19 24th August 1920	...	Regarding gratuity to late R. S. Devidayal ...	372
20 24th August 1920	...	Sanction for allowances to Excise Inspectors with whom Moota Sumerehand is to be placed for training ...	372
1 27th August 1920	...	Scholarship to Joshi Jaggan Nath son of Joshi Nanooram Head Master D. A. V. School Phalodi ...	378
3 27th August 1920	...	Allowances to Lt. Col. P. B. Haig in consideration of the duties performed by him for Jodhpur State ...	380
20 27th August 1920	...	Gratuity to Rur Singh Personal Assistant to Inspector-General of Police ...	383
8 7th September 1920	...	Gratuity to Lala Iswar Das, the Record-Keeper of the Hawala Department ...	397
18 7th September 1920	...	Regarding allowance to Mr. Rodrigues for Assisting the District Manager, J. B. Ry ...	399
1 10th September 1920	...	Acting allowance to Officer Commanding, Sardar Infantry ...	401
10 14th September 1920	...	Scholarship to Shamlal of the 1st year class of the Jaswant College ...	407
18 14th September 1920	...	Regarding: pay and allowances to Major Gordon, Capt. Evans and Temperley ...	410
1 14th September 1920	...	Acting allowance to Devi Prasad for working in charge of the Historic Office ...	414
12 18th September 1920	...	Gratuity to Thakur Sheonath Singh, the Kamdar of Nimaj Thikana ...	418
19 18th September 1920	...	Scholarship to Gulab Singh for being trained as Police Inspector at Muradabad or Philour ...	419
9 22nd September 1920	...	Increment in the allowance of Singar Kuar Raiji, Besar Kuar Baiji, Kishen Kuar Baiji, Sahibas ...	422
15 28th September 1920	...	Scholarships Students Dhokal Singh and Prem Singh of the Rajput School Chopasni ...	429
21 28th September 1920	...	Scholarship to 5 candidates sent to Muradabad Police Training School ...	430
10 1st October 1920	...	Pergana allowance to graduate teachers working in the districts ...	439
5 5th October 1920	...	Request of Maharaj Shree Bijay Singhji for an increase in his allowance ...	452

COUNCIL RESOLUTION No.	DATE.	SUBJECTS.	PAGE.
6	5th October 1920	... Sanction for giving one month's pay on termination of services of Babu Keshav Chandra and Ram Narain of the P. W. D	452
18	5th October 1920	... Cycle allowance to B. Nenuram Superintendent Farashkhana	456
7	8th October 1920	... Regarding personal allowances to Customs staff at Head Quarters.	459
17	8th October 1920	... Request of the Kamdar of Sri Bara Baiji Lal Sahiba for increase in the allowance of Baiji Sahiba	461
20	8th October 1920	... Allowances to the Census Staff	462
9	15th October 1920	... Gratuity to Dolat Ram Deputy Ranger at Desuri	467
9	19th October 1920	... Gratuity to Kisore Mall late Ademarag Thanedar	471
4	26th October 1920	... Maintenance allowance to the Maji of Dodiana	477
9	26th October 1920	... Allowances to Chandawal & Ras Thakurs	479
5	29th October 1920	... Adjustment of the amount of gratuity to Mr. Forbes against the outstanding due from him to the State	484
10	29th October 1920	... Application of Mahadeo Balvant Khandekar studying in the Medical College Bombay for Scholarship	485
6	6th November 1920	... Maintenance allowance to widow of late Munshi Shub Lalji	495
9	6th November 1920	... Request of tailor Mani Ram of Sardar Rissala for grant of pension	496
14	6th November 1920	... Regarding scholarship to Bhawani Sahai and Magroop Chand	497
20	6th November 1920	... Conveyance allowance to Kalla Bansidhar and B. Chaturbehari Lal of the Court of Wards	499
12	9th November 1920	... Special pension to Dafedar Bal Singh Riding Master 1st Regiment	503
8	13th November 1920	... Scholarship to the son of P. Amolak Chand teacher at Bilawas school P. Sojat	506
12	13th November 1920	... Regarding inquiries in connection with the special concessions for pensions granted by the Council to all Officers and men who proceeded on active service in the great War	507
3	16th November 1920	... Gratuity to Assistant Medical Officer Jodh Singh of the Sardar Rissala	513
6	16th November 1920	.. Regarding travelling allowances to seventy men of the Sardar Infantry sent to Pali on the Moharram occasion	514
2	24th November 1920	... Cancellation of C. R. No. 12 dated 28th September 1920, regarding increase in the rate of daily allowance to Mr. Ravi Shanker Chhaya	515
4	26th November 1920	... Acting allowance to Mr. Lean, and to Mr. Trower	519
1	30th November 1920	... Grant of pension to Head Constable Punam Singh of Luni Police Station	524
6	30th November 1920	... Scholarship to Chhaganlal son of late Munshi Shubb Lalji	528
2	8th December 1920	... Gratuity to Abdool Ahamad, Munserim Hawala	534
3	8th December 1920	... Scholarship to Ghansam Narain son of Suraj Narain late Chief clerk of the Customs Department	535
4	10th December 1920	... Regarding the question of discontinuing the War allowance given to the establishment of Marwar Court of Vakils	542
15	14th December 1920	... Award of life pension and rewards to persons who may lose their lives or be wounded in encountering dacoits	550
5	21st December 1920	... Amalgamation of the allowance of the wife of Abdoolah Khan with that of Rehamatullah Khan	570
9	24th December 1920	... Regarding scholarship to Mohan Singh Bhati of the Raona school	579
10	24th December 1920	... Regarding Horse allowance to B. Talib Hussain of the Garage Department	580
17	24th December 1920	... Gratuity to Ambalal the Accountant of the Electrical and Mechanical Department	582
<b>Stamps and Registration.</b>			
9	17th December 1920	... Exemption from the stamp duty granted to Co-operative Credit Society of the J. B. Railway	555
<b>Salt.</b>			
10	14th July 1920	... Regarding camel hire to salt Girdawar	236
9	3rd August 1920	... Abolition of the fee levied on Marwar salt sold to traders at the various salt sources	322

COUNCIL RESOLUTION.  
No. DATE.

## SUBJECTS.

## Stationery.

7 10th September 1920 ... Extra grant under general budget head "Stationery" ... 402

## Sardar Museum.

7 17th August 1920 ... Inspection note by Superintendent Archeological Survey, Western Circle Poona on the Sardar Museum ... 362  
2 16th November 1920 ... Regarding location of the State Museum in the present D. H. School building ... 512  
4 14th December 1920 ... Sanction for sending the manuscripts on Indian music and any musical instruments to Indore... 547

## Treasury and Payment.

8 5th July 1920 ... Payment of two months' pay to Kiledar man Singh ... 276  
14 9th July 1920 ... Regarding the value of sovereigns and half-sovereigns ... 281  
2 14th July 1920 ... Payment of T.A. bills of the Hakumat staff of the Jalore Hakumat ... 284  
6 14th July 1920 ... Sanction for an extra grant for payment of the bill of Messrs Hoar and Co. Bombay for the clothes made for Maharaj Ajit Singhji Sahib ... 285  
1 20th July 1920 ... Disbursement of P. Rajnath's pay for the period during which he remained under suspension from Shive Hakumat ... 295  
2 24th July 1920 ... Sanction to an extra grant for payment to R. R. Kuar Hanut Singhji being the amount of his pay ... 298  
4 24th July 1920 ... Regarding payment to Maji of Thakrawas on account of the Khangi and obsequies of the late Thakur of Thakrawas ... 299  
12 28th July 1920 ... Permission by the Government for the export of silver bullion and coins from British India... 308  
14 28th July 1920 ... Regarding statement showing investments made by the Finance Department of Jodhpur during the current year, and the selection of Bank of Bombay, Bank of Bengal, Bank of India, Chartered Bank, National Bank, and Bank of Madras for short terms lending purposes ... 308  
1 30th July 1920 ... Regarding the date from which the payment of annual grant towards the Hindu University is to commence ... 310  
16 6th August 1920 ... Allowance of Maji Sri Tuarji Sabiba to be paid directly from the Treasury ... 331  
2 10th August 1920 ... Regarding the request of the widow of late Maharaj Mohabat Singhji Sahib for her monthly allowance to be paid to her direct and not through Maharaj Bijey Singhji Sahib ... 347  
5 13th August 1920 ... Payment of the bills of the Electrical and Mechanical Department for Kitson lamps at Jodhpur Abu and for Dak Hangalowsa ... 353  
3 24th August 1920 ... Sanction for a sum paid to the Kamdar of Baiji Sri Murdhar Kuar Baiji Sahiba during June and July... 369  
14 7th September 1920 ... Procuring of 6 per cent bonds of 1930 in the name of H. H. the Maharaja Sri Umed Singhji Sahib Bahadur ... 398  
2 18th September 1920 ... Payment of certain bills for articles supplied to Indian War Memorial ... 415  
9 28th September 1920 ... Sanction for payment of bills for articles supplied from the stores to the officers selected to join Peace Celebrations in England. ... 427  
12 19th October 1920 ... Payment of some bills for certain articles purchased by His late Highness ... 471  
16 26th October 1920 ... Request of the Kamdar of Maharaj Sri Bijey Singhji for reviewing the Council order regarding direct payment of monthly allowance to Sri Tuarji Sahib ... 480  
17 26th October 1920 ... Addition of certain banks to the list approved for the purpose of depositing treasury balances ... 481  
1 6th November 1920 ... Remission of a sum to Secretary Meerut races for the Jodhpur cup to be run on 2nd November 1920 ... 494  
7 6th November 1920 ... Payment of money to the Vakil of K. Amar Singhji for performance of ceremony of late R. R. Hari Singhji ... 49

COUNCIL	RESOLUTION.	PAGE	
No.	DATE.	SUBJECTS.	
8	6th November 1920	... Regarding payment of bills of Modi Ramdayal on account of provisions supplied to Dog Kennel at Jodhpur ...	496
10	6th November 1920	... Regarding payment of 7 bills for articles supplied to Sri Baiji Lal Sahiba's Khana Rasovra, Chiria Khana etc ...	496
8	26th November 1920	... Payment of bills of Modi Ramdayal, Chunilal for supplying provision to the Dog Kennel... ..	521
13	21st December 1920	... Sanction for the payment of the cost of 12 bullocks to the Bissala Treasury ... ..	572
<b>Viceroy's visit.</b>			
15	17th August 1920	... Detailed estimate for the cost of the forthcoming visit of the Viceroy asked for ... ..	366
18	17th August 1920	... Certain arrangements regarding the approaching visit of the Viceroy... ..	365
22	24th August 1920	... Sanction for the purchase of instruments for the State Bank in connection with the Viceregal visit ... ..	372
21	27th August 1920	... Sanction for expenses in connection with the visit of the Viceroy... ..	383
17	7th September 1920	... Extra grant under head "Arboriculture" ... ..	399
10	18th September 1920	... Sanction for laying down a strip of lawn in front of the large tent of the Residency as also for plants for the camp on H. E. the Viceroy's visit... ..	417
18	18th September 1920	... Sanction for lighting the road from the Residency to the Railway station ... ..	419
19	26th October 1920	... Sanction for repairs to Polo ground roads and the Polo pavilion at His Highness's palace on the visit ... ..	481
9	2nd November 1920	... Sanction for necessary repairs and painting of doors to Mahals at the Fort in connection with the visit ... ..	491
15	9th November 1920	... Supply of a four-seated boat from Udeypur during the visit ...	503
9	13th November 1920	... Sanction for a lump sum of Rs. Twenty-thousand for Electrical and Mechanical works done in connection with the Viceroy's visit ... ..	506
8	8th December 1920	... Submission of a Memorandum showing in Jaxta position certain items in the Viceregal visit ceremonial programme of Jodhpur and Bikaner ... ..	...
10	14th December 1920	... Sanction to meet expenditure for the works at the Residency in connection with Viceregal visit ... ..	549
5	17th December 1920	... Sanction for repairs to the mahals at the Fort ... ..	553
14	21st December 1920	... Sanction for the payment of catering charges to Manager Palettee ... ..	572
5	24th December 1920	... Sanction for payment to Messrs John Fleming and Co, Bombay for shooting boats... ..	578
<b>War.</b>			
9	9th July 1920	... Regarding the request of Vakil Rallaram for his services in connection with the War to be brought to the notice of the Hon'ble the A. G. G. and the British Government... ..	280
9	24th July 1920	... Regarding the erection of War commemoration tablets in the Parganas of Shergarh, Didwana, Jodhpur, and Sambhar ...	300
2	13th August 1920	... Regarding Government of India's sanction to the award of special grants of land and assignments of land revenue to Indian officers residing in the Jodhpur State... ..	352
11	17th August 1920	... Regarding relief to incapacitated and wounded soldiers of the Jodhpur I. S. Troops ... ..	364
11	31st August 1920	... Regarding distribution of War commemorative medallions to students ... ..	393
1	14th September 1920	... Regarding grant of rewards to the officers and men of Imperial Service Troops Jodhpur ... ..	405
5	14th September 1920	... Sanction for the non-recovery of the money advanced as reward for recruiting to officers ... ..	406
7	14th September 1920	... Adjustment of the price of 18 horses presented to Government as contribution towards War ... ..	406



COUNCIL RESOLUTION No.	DATE	SUBJECTS.	PAGE.
9	14th September 1920 ...	Regarding Post Bellum distribution of relief to soldiers ...	407
2	18th September 1920 ...	Regarding payment of bills for articles supplied for Indian War Memorial ...	415
8	18th September 1920 ...	Ahore Thikana directed to issue separate receipts to the Rayats for the amount they contributed towards War ...	417
2	22nd September 1920 ...	Regarding postponement of the work of dismantling the prisoners War camp at Sumerpur ...	420
20	28th September 1920 ...	Regarding distribution of War Commemorative Medallions to students ...	430
12	26th October 1920 ...	Comparative statement showing services of Vakil Rallaram in connection with the War submitted ...	479
12	13th November 1920 ...	Regarding certain inquiries in connection with the special concessions for pensions granted by the Council to all officers and men who proceeded on active service in the Great War ...	507
4	10th December 1920 ...	Regarding the question of discontinuing the War allowance given to the establishment of Marwar Court of Vakils ...	542
6	10th December 1920 ...	Payment of $\frac{1}{2}$ per cent interest to Vakil Rallaram on the War loan ...	543

# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency, Raj Marwar, dated 2nd July 1920*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.,

## **1. READ.—**

Endorsement No 1392 dated 26th June 1920, by the P. W. Member requesting sanction to an estimate of Rs. 3367-13-0 for providing water-tap and Khalias at the slaughter house out-side Mertia Gate, chargeable to next year's budget.

## **1. Resolution,—**

Resolved that sanction be accorded as requested.

## **2. READ.—**

Note No 1390 dated 26th June 1920, by the P. W. Member requesting sanction to P. W. D. 17-11 revised estimate Rs 2,809/- for additions and alterations to the Raj Mahal School building.

## **2. Resolution.—**

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and provision made in the next year's budget.

## **3. READ.—**

Note dated 7th June 1920, by the Revenue Member in response to C. R. No. 2 dated 4th July 1919, in a civil suit of—

Plaintiff.		Defendant.
Shah Ghewar Chand of Jetaran.	} <i>Versus</i> {	Vakil of Shah Ganesh Mal Kesrimal of Jetaran.

Claim—For declaration of right to shop.

The Revenue Member in submitting the papers remarks that he has seen the original *Bahr* in which there is an entry dated Maha Bad 11 Sambat 1885 and it is distinctly recorded that the shop had been mortgaged for Rs. 61/- That the plaintiff though given a respite of 2 months in 1914 failed to submit any evidence to support his version, nor does he produce any evidence now to bring his suit within the statutory limit. That he may be asked to show cause why his plaint be not dismissed as time-barred.

### 3. Resolution —

Resolved that the plaintiff be asked to show cause why his plaint be not dismissed as time-barred.

#### 4. READ.—

Note No 1389 dated 26th June 1920, by the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib requesting orders for fixing pay of Suraj Mall transferred to the Jagir Baxi Office in place of Imiazali (drawing Rs. 100/- p m ) from the Customs Department.

### 4. Resolution.—

Resolved that Suraj Mal be given Rs 90/- p. m. from the date he joined Jagir Baxi Office and that he will be promoted to Rs 100/- p. m. on approved service

#### 5. READ —

Resident's letter No. 2065 and note dated 1st July 1920, by the Revenue Member stating with reference to C. R. No. 13 dated 20th April 1920, that the Resident W. R. States was requested to address the authorities concerned to purchase Hansi Bulls. In reply he has been good enough to advise that a scheme may be drawn up showing precisely what the Darbar intend to do, specifying the object in view and that in establishing a really efficient agricultural or grass Farm, to which dairy can be attached, expert's advice as to the right lines on which it is to be started, should be obtained.

That Col. Hamilton while going to Simla was requested to consult some expert and in reply he informs that Mr. Milligan and Mr. Smith will visit Jodhpur during next winter and will give their opinion on the spot. The date of the coming Parbatsar Fair has been communicated to him with a view to invite him to see the Marwar indigenous breed on that occasion. That the scheme on similar lines to that of the Government of India devoted:—

- 1 to the improvement and development of the indigenous breed of cattle by establishing local farms for the breeding of bulls of pure strain, and
2. for the development of dairy and cattle.

That Hansi cross experiments can be conducted under proper supervision in connection with the existing State Dairy Farm. That the Resident may be thanked for his advice.

### 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Resident be thanked and addressed on the lines suggested by the Revenue Member. The question of importing Hansi bulls be decided when the experts visit jodhpur. In the meantime orders be issued:—

1. That such of the present Brahmni Bulls (Surajji ka-Sand) as may not be of the approved physique for breeding purposes be sent to Seriana for in Jaswantpura.
2. That in future (Sands ) such bull stallions only will be allowed to be branded as sacred as are passed by the Raj authorities appointed for the purpose.

6. *READ.*—

Note dated 1st July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding granting of contract of Tar (gold lace ) in Jodhpur for one year from 27th June 1920, for Rs. 4,200/- in the name of Achaldass Lunkar.

6. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the Ijara be sanctioned.

7. *READ* —

Note dated 1st July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib requesting sanction to an Ijara of Tarkasan in Katla Jodhpur for three years (1920-21 to 1922-23 ) for Rs. 3,650/- in the name of Phophalia Melapchand.

7. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the Ijara be sanctioned as requested.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH

Sd. SUKHDEO.



# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 6th July 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PUNDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB B A , C. I E.,

## 1. READ.—

Note No. 1399/H. O. dated 1st July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding Rekh and Chakri of village Chamba in Shergarh Pargana stating that before Sambat 1874 Rekh of this village was Rs. 4,000/- but on Mah Badi 6th Sambat 1874 it was fixed at Rs. 2,500/- and subsequently in a Sanad of Jeth Sudi 4th 1918 it was entered at Rs. 3,000/- That in Sambat 1973 a Patta was to be issued and its draft was sent by the Superintendent Rekh Hukamnama to Jagir Baxi's Office when the latter raised an objection that in their *Bahi* the Rekh of this Jagir was entered at Rs. 4,000/- and that Hukamnama of Rs. 3,000/- had been realised in Sambat 1953 on the succession of Raj Singh. The draft of Patta of 1953 was sent for but it could not be traced. That on the question being again referred to the Superintendent Rekh Hukamnama the latter informed that in Sambat 1953 Hukamnama of 2,250/- had been realised as entered in the *Kabuliyat Bahi*. That the average income of the Jagir comes to Rs. 2,306/-.

## 1. Resolution—

Resolved that Rekh Chakri be fixed at Rs. 3,000/-.

## 2. READ.—

Note No. 1398 dated 1st July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting an estimate of Rs. 807/- for repairs to the Haveli of late Mir Fiaz Aliji.

## 2. Resolution —

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and provided for in the next year's budget.

3. *READ* —

Letter No. 6584 dated 24th June 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member submitting an estimate of Rs. 737/- for repairs to eight wells (mentioned in the letter), stating that there being no balance available under the specific head B IV (b-a) these works may be provided in the next year's budget.

## 3 Resolution —

Resolved that estimates Nos. 540 to 545 be sanctioned and provided for in the next year's budget. With regard to estimate No. 538 the P. W. D. be asked to ascertain whether the well mentioned is a Darbari well or one of the wells in the compound of His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib. If it be Darbari well the estimate be taken as sanctioned and provision made in the next year's budget. Estimate No. 539 is not sanctioned as the well belongs to Thakur Dhonkal Singhji of Gorao.

4. *READ*.—

Note No 1400 dated 2nd July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting copy of telegram from the Mohomedans of Sojat complaining that the Jains demolished their Masjid.

## 4. Resolution,—

Resolved that there seems no reason to interfere at the present stage of investigation. The case will come up in due course before the Council for orders.

5. *READ*.—

Note No. 1401/ Kar 16 ½ dated 2nd July 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting statement of saleable motor cars giving prices and other particulars as proposed by the Superintending Engineer. That the Superintending Engineer inquires whether the six Ford motors can be sold for Rs. 6,000/-.

## 5. Resolution,—

Resolved that the sale of one Ford Car No 8 at Rs. 1,500/- is approved. As for other cars the P. W. Member be asked to revise their valuations and to resubmit papers.

6. *READ*.—

Note No. 1402/Est. 86 dated 2nd July 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that the Superintendent Hawala recommends a stipend of Rs. 5/- p. m. to the widow of late Hawaldar Khardi-Pancholi Kan Mall.

## 6. Resolution,—

Resolved that a stipend of Rs. 5/- p. m. be sanctioned as recommended.

## 7 READ. —

Note No. 1403 dated 2nd July 1920, by the Revenue Member recommending gratuities to the following Hawaldars of the Hawala Department who have been made to retire on account of their old age and weak sight:—

Names of Hawaldars.	Proposed gratuity.	
Joshi Laxminarain	...	... 12 months' pay
Bisa Man Mall	...	... 6 months' „
Vyas Panna Lal	...	... 12 months' „
Kalla Punam Chand	...	... 9 months' „

## 7 Resolution —

Resolved that the recommendation of the Revenue Member be approved.

## 8 READ. —

Note No. 251 dated 1st July 1920, by the Military Secretary, put up by the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib requesting sanction to the payment of Rs 600/- being two months' pay (May and June 1918) of Kiledar Man Singh, instead of Rs 591/14/- as previously sanctioned vide C. R. No. 11 dated 19th March 1920, as the amount of Rs 8/2/- the cutting for Pie Fund and clothing was through oversight not included in the bill previously submitted and sanctioned.

## 8. Resolution —

Resolved that the bill be passed at Rs 600/- and the Auditor of Accounts be directed to pay the amount after deducting the usual cuttings.

## 9 READ. —

D. O. Letter No 2326/246 dated 22nd June 1920, from the Resident W. R. S. to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib, requesting to be informed whether he will be able to attend the inauguration ceremony of the Chamber of Princes at Delhi in February next and if so, what arrangements are to be made for accommodation. Also intimating that minor Princes will be asked to attend the ceremony as spectators as they are not members of the Chamber. That His Highness, Maharaja Ummed Singhji Sahib will receive invitation in this capacity.

## 9. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Resident be informed in reply that His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib will be glad to attend the ceremony, and that camp arrangements will be made both for His Highness the Darbar Sahib and the Regent. With regard to the attendance of Sri Darbar Sahib in the Chamber, the Resident be requested to address the Government of India that His Highness may not be allotted seat in the gallery of spectators but amongst the Members in Council. His Highness, of course being a minor, shall not exercise his right of voting.



10. *READ* —

Note dated 8th July 1920, by the Officiating Finance Member stating that when the workshop people of this Railway struck work certain promises were held out to them. Mr. Warren reports that one of these promises which has not been given effect to is that the daily paid staff of the workshop be given 15 days' leave with pay on the same lines as may be granted on the B. B. & C. I. Railway.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that the promised concession be now given subject to concurrence of the Bikaner Darbar.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO

*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 9th July 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc, etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ-SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.,

**1. READ—**

Note No 1406 dated 5th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding the case of adulteration of Ghee by Foujmal Hasti Mall, stating that the city Kotwal reports that from the enquiries made by the Nirakh Committee, it appeared that the Ghee had been adulterated although the accused pray for a judicial trial. That in Marwar there was no law penalising such practices although the question was placed before Council more than once.

**1. Resolution—**

Resolved that the Kotwal be directed to have the adulterated Ghee first clarified and then sold by auction. That half the proceeds be credited to Darbar as fine and the other half be paid to the owners Foujmal Hastimal. That from the amount of fine rewards be given to the informers according to rule.

Further resolved that Sections 272 and 273 of the I. P. C. be adopted in the M. P. Code with the addition of the following words at the end of both Sections - "and confiscation of adulterated article".

**2. READ—**

Estimate of Rs. 3,098/- for constructing a sheep-slaughter house outside Siwanchi Gate, submitted by the P. W. Member for sanction and inclusion in the next year's budget

**2. Resolution—**

Resolved that sanction be accorded as requested.

**3. READ—**

Note No. 1411/Est. 5 dated 5th July 1920, by the Finance Member proposing:—

1. That the export of *til* seeds may be permitted but the present rate of duty Rs. 1/4/- per maund be maintained and
2. That the prohibition of the export of oil be removed as suggested by the Food Stuff's Committee and duty at the rate of Rs. 1/4/- per maund be levied:—

**3 Resolution—**

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

4. *Read.*

Note No. 1405 dated 3rd July 1920, by the P. W. Member regarding payment of the work of installing electric light in the Railway Institute and Railway Officers' Bungalows

## 4. Resolution—

Resolved that the procedure adopted at Bikaner be ascertained.

5 *READ—*

Note No 1413 dated 6th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding charging of Rekh on the villages in the Patta of Bhaba Paney Singhji.

## 5. Resolution—

Resolved that papers be put up again after inquiring actual average annual income of the villages.

6. *READ—*

Note No. 1410/H. O dated 5th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding the mismanagement of village Duda Lanbori P Sojat owing to the incapacity of the Jagirdar.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the village be placed under the management of the Court of Wards.

7. *READ—*

Note No. 1409 dated 5th July 1920, by the Finance Member stating that the Manager J. B. Railway states that the open Bogie wagon No. 0141 originally made for the use of Darbar's saloons is being used by the Railway since a long time and that it may better be transferred to the Railway at a residual value of Rs. 644/-.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

8 *READ—*

Note No. 1407 dated 5th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding recovery of Rekh and Chakri dues from Thikana Boodsu and its Chhutbhaies.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that inquiries be made why the committee appointed to find out the arrears due from the Thikana and its Chhutbhaies separately did not arrive at any conclusion. If the Chhutbhaies failed to appear before the committee they should be compelled to do so now.

9. *READ—*

Note No. 1408 dated 5th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting application of Vakil Rallaram who requests that the services rendered by him in connection with the War, may with suitable remarks be brought to the notice of the Hon'ble the Agent to the Governor General and the British Government.

9. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the papers be put up again with a comparative statement showing amounts of subscriptions, donations and services rendered by other people in Marwar.

10. *READ—*

Note No. 1414 dated 6th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding nomination of candidates for admission into the Royal Military College Sandhurst.

10 *Resolution—*

Resolved that the Vice President be requested to inquire and submit names of suitable candidates if available.

11. *READ—*

Note No nil dated nil by the P. W. Member regarding the purchase of a new locomotive engine for the use of the conservancy tramway.

11. *Resolution—*

Resolved that sanction for the purchase of one engine be accorded as requested and that the Resident be addressed to kindly take steps to trace the sender of the bogus telegram from London in connection with the purchase of the engine. That a notice be issued in the leading papers that Mr. E.A. Cawthorne, late Electric and Mechanical Engineer, Jodhpur State, is not in Raj service since July 1919. Any Firms or persons dealing with him as a servant of this State will do so at their own risk.

12. *READ—*

Letter dated 10th June 1920 from the Member of the Debt Committee to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur submitting for the information and approval of Council their 4th report of progress made towards the liquidation of the debts of His late Highness.

12. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the fact be noted and the committee's action approved.

13. *READ—*

Kaifiat dated 14th June 1920, from the Kamdar of Maharaj Sri Bijay Singhji Sahib, put up to Council under orders of His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib, requesting that a sum of Rs. 1,000/- for meeting the expenses in connection with the entertainment of Baiji Sri Deokuar Baiji Sahiba and her son Maharaj Isri Singhji Sahib who are expected here from Bundi, may be ordered to be paid out of the savings of the Patta income.

13. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the Vice President be asked to inquire whether the Bundi Darbar is willing to allow Maharaj Isri Singhji and Sri Baiji to visit Jodhpur.

14. *READ—*

Letter No. 2466 dated 3rd July 1920, from the Resident W. R. S. to the Senior Member of Council intimating that from 21st June 1920, restrictions over imports of gold bullion and foreign coins have been removed and that sovereigns and half-sovereigns will cease until further notice to be legal tender, though they will be received by Government at present rates of Rs 15/- during a moratorium which will last from the 21st June to 12th July and that the Government of India propose to submit a bill prescribing the new ratio of one sovereign equal to Rs 10/- at which sovereigns will again become legal tender. Submitted by the Vice-President.

14. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the fact be noted.

15. *READ—*

Note No. 1412 dated 6th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding issue of Betalbi Sanads to Thakur Narain Singh Jagirdar of Thikana Jaola in Parbatsar.

15. *Resolution—*

Resolved that Betalbi Sanads be issued for villages- Jaola, Antroli, Dhandota and Jhalra.

16. *READ—*

Note dated 6th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, regarding Gajdhar Santok's building outside Sojatia Gate formerly presented to Rajput School, being returned to the said Gajdhar, as he wishes to make over the said building to his own community for opening an Art School therein.

16. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the building be returned to Gajdhar Santok as proposed.

## 17. READ—

Letter No. 6545 dated 23rd June 1920, from Superintending Engineer submitting copy of the Electric and Mechanical Engineer's letter No. 3343 dated 19th June 1920, laying down certain conditions for issue of licenses for the manufacture and sale of aerated waters in the city. Put up by the P W. Member.

## 17. Resolution—

Resolved that the conditions proposed are approved with the following modifications:—

1. That the fee for the license will be Rs. 15/- for the first year and Rs. 10/- for each subsequent year.
2. That the Health Officer Jodhpur City will inspect each Factory once in every month
3. That rates for the different waters will be the same as those prevailing in the Darbar Ice Factory. No licensee will be allowed to undersell.

Sd PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.



The following paper was settled by cirenlation amongst Members and approved by His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib on 13th July 1920.

---

1. Letter No. 6841 dated 6th July 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member submitting estimate No. 560 of 19-20 for repairs to breaches, necessary improvement and silt clearance of Chota Abu, Kailana Feeder Canal amounting to Rs. 2,930/- submitted by the P. W. Member for sanction.

### 1. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.





# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 14th July 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB,
2. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON C. I. E., I. A.,
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PUNDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB B.A., C. I. E.,

## *1. READ.—*

Note No. 1420 dated 7th July 1920, by the P. W. Member requesting sanction to an estimate of Rs. 3,419-5-0 for the installation of electric light in the Residency staff lines and offices and suggesting that it may be included in the next year's budget.

## **1. Resolution,—**

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned as requested.

## *2. READ.—*

Note No. 1394 dated 7th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding T. A. Bills of the Hakumat Staff of Jalore Hakumat, the rate of T. A. allowed to one sepoy is /3/- a day which the Hakim says was drawn under the late Musahib Ala's orders.

## **2. Resolution —**

Resolved that the bill be passed at the rate of -/3/- three annas.

## *3. READ.—*

Note No. 1419 dated 7th July 1920, by the Revenue Member submitting budget of the Kurki Thikana showing a probable yield of Rs. 9,243/- and expenditure of Rs. 8,602/- in the current year, stating that with proper care and nursing it is hoped that the revenue will increase in the next year.

## **3. Resolution —**

Resolved that the budget be sanctioned and further efforts made to reduce the Petia expenses of the Thakur if possible.

## 4. READ —

Note No. 416 dated 7th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Members of the Jawahirkhana request written orders to bring the cloth and *gota* damaged by white ants from Maharaj Sri Jiwan Singhji Sahib's garden and to put them up to auction.

## 4. Resolution.—

Resolved that necessary orders be issued.

## 5. READ.—

Note No. 1415 dated 6th July 1920, by the P. W. Member stating with reference to C. R. No. 8 dated 4th May 1920, that there were in all 4 sweepers attached to Abu Kothies.

## 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that the fact be noted.

## 6. READ.—

Note No. 1417 dated 7th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting a bill of Messrs Hoar & Co. Bombay for Rs. 1,039-9-0 for clothes made for Maharaj Ajit Singhji Sahib, suggesting that the bill be paid by charge to 'purchases'.

## 6. Resolution.—

Resolved that an extra grant of Rs. 1,039-9-0 be sanctioned chargeable to such head as the Audit Office may suggest.

## 7. READ —

Note No. 249 dated 25th June 1920, by the Military Secretary, put up by the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib, requesting exemption from Customs import duty on articles imported for local Military Forces, reimposed under C. R. No. 15 dated 27th April 1920.

## 7. Resolution.—

Resolved that previous orders passed under C. R. No. 15 dated 27th April 1920, should stand.

## 8. READ—

Note No. 239 dated 9th June 1920, by the Military Secretary, put up through the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib, regarding the claim of K. Gulabsingh to acting allowance for working as Officer Commanding Sardar Infantry from 21st September 1918, to 21st December 1918.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the Vice-President be asked to go through the papers and resubmit them with his opinion.

## 9. READ—

Note No. 1424 dated 9th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sah regarding realization of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  Hukamnama from Dhan Singh the Jagirdar of Rama and Reora.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that Hukamnama at the rate of  $1\frac{1}{2}$  be realized according to rule.

## 10. READ—

Note dated 8th July 1920, by the Revenue Member proposing that camel allowance to the Excise Inspectors and pay of the Excise camel Sowars may be raised to Rs. 20/- p m. and that the Salt Girdawars should receive -/1/6 per mile for camel hire.

## 10. Resolution--

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

## 11. READ --

Note dated 11th July 1920, by the Revenue Member, submitting I. G. P letter No. 5567-412 dated 9th July 1920, regarding the Sojat Jain Temple case and passing strictures on the conduct of the following officials: —

Judicial Superintendent of Sojat circle, Hakim of Sojat, Foujdari Munshi, Amir Ahmed, Police Sub-Inspector, Gul Mohomed, Mumtaz Bux, Post Master Sojat

That the I. G. P. will take due notice of the Sub-Inspector's dereliction of his duty. The conduct of Mumtaz Bux, Post Master, Sojat may be brought to the notice of his superiors.

## 11. Resolution--

Resolved that the final result of the investigations made be awaited; in the meanwhile the attention of the Vice-President be drawn to the conduct of the Superintendent, the Hakim and the Foujdari Mohrir. With regard to the Sojat Post Master his conduct be brought to the notice of his superiors through proper channel.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH

Sd. SUKHDEO.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 16th July 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O, G. C. B., G. O. L. H, A, D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E, I. A.
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.,

1. *READ—*

Note No. 1423/Jud. 169 dated 9th July 1920, by the Revenue Member stating in response to C. R. No. 17 dated 25th October 1919, that a perusal of the previous papers in the embazzlement case against P. Bijey Roop show that:—

1. In 1912 Bijey Roop absconded having misappropriated a sum of Rs. 20,665/-
2. On 31st August 1912, the then Council of Regency resolved that all property belonging to Bijey Roop be confiscated for defrauding the State and for not appearing in the Court to answer the charge against him.
3. Nawal Roop, brother of Bijey Roop was directed to establish his title to not being a member of the joint family, and thereby be exempted from the consequences resulting from Bijey Roop's misdemeanour.

The report from Hawala and Treasury go to show that Rs. 29,405-4-6 have since been recovered from the property so confiscated.

That the joint property of Bijey Roop and Nawal Roop confiscated was as follows:—

	Amount.			Recoveries.		
	Rs	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.
Khat executed by Khejarla Thikana	10,766	9	6	10,766	9	6
„ „ „ Chopasni.	14,514	6	6	4,514	6	6
„ „ „ Jeysingh.	2,000	0	0	...		
„ „ „ Asop.	500	0	0	...		
Decree against Nathu Langraz.	6,000	0	0	...		
„ „ Thekedar Sheolal.	2,200	0	0	...		
Bungalow.	3,000	0	0	3,000	0	0
Total ...	38,981	0	0	18,281	0	0

Property purely possessed by Bijey Hoop				Recoveries			
	Rs.	a.	p.	Rs.	a.	p.	
Furniture	2,624	4	6	2,624	4	6	
Haveli	8,500	0	0	8,500	0	0	
Total	11,124	4	6	11,124	4	6	

That Bijey Roop's son, Govind Roop claims restoration of the amount that may be over and above the amount misappropriated, and that Naval Roop claims restoration of his share in the joint property as he has obtained a ruling from the Civil Court No. 1 that he was not a member of the joint family in this case.

That total State dues against Bijey Roop with Katwa interest come to Rs. 22,909-14-1, while the Darbar have realised on his account rupees 20,264-12-6 leaving a sum of Rs. 2,645-1-7 still to be recovered from Bijey Roop's property. That under the circumstances there were two courses open:—

- a. If the late Regency Council's Resolution No. 10 dated 13th August 1912, is to stand, Bijey Roop's son is not entitled to any relief, while Naval Roop should get Rs. 9,140-8-0 as the recovered amount of his share in the joint property, plus Khats (bonds) valued at Rs. 10,350/- (the unrecovered balance of his share) That he may be given Khats of Jey Singh and Asop and the decrees against Nathu *Rangraz* and Sheolal *Thekadar* all amounting to Rs. 10,700/- and Rs. 8,790-8-0 in cash. The balance of the Chopasni Khat be retained by the Darbar representing the unrecovered amount of Bijey Roop's share in the joint property.
- b. If on account of the reduced condition of Bijey Roop's son, the Council be inclined to take a lenient view and to recover Raj dues alone with Katwa interest amounting to Rs. 22,909-14-1 then the balance of the Chopasni Khat be restored to Bijey Roop's son on his paying Rs. 2,647-1-7 in cash to the State and Naval Roop be given other Khats and cash as proposed above.

## 1. Resolution—

Resolved the first proposal (a) be approved and orders issued accordingly.

## 2. READ—

Note No. 1363 dated 16th June 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Kamdar of Dadiji Shri Ladi Bhatianiji Sahiba represents that the increase of Rs. 100/- p. m. in the allowance of the said Dadiji was not sufficient in view of the very high prices of commodities prevailing. That her allowance may, therefore, be raised from 1,200/- to 1,300/- p. m.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that the increment of Rs. 100/- recently sanctioned was enough.

### 3. READ—

Note No. 1431 dated 10th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Daroga Zenani Dodhi solicits orders as to whether Rs. 50/- is to be allowed to each of the following:—

1.	Singar Kuar Baiji Sahiba	...	...	Rs.	125/-
2.	Besar Kuar Baiji Sahiba...	...	...	,,	125/-
3.	Pardayatji Bada Jaraoraiji	...	...	,,	200/-
4.	Pardayatji Tejrai	...	...	,,	200/-
5.	Pardayatji Chota Jaraoraiji	...	...	,,	200/-
6.	Pardayatji Ramrai	...	...	,,	200/-
7.	Pardayatji Nanrai	...	...	,,	200/-
8.	Pardayatji Lichhmanrai	...	...	,,	75/-
9.	Pardayatji Agarraiji of Maharaj Sri Ranjit Singhji Sahib	...	...	,,	70/-
10.	Pardayatji Lalrai of Maharaj Sri Kishor Singhji Sahib	...	...	,,	15/-
11.	Baiji Sahib of Maharaj Sri Bahadur Singhji Sahib:—				
1.	Kishen Kuar Baiji	...	...	Rs.	15/-
2	Khawas Udi of Rughnath Singhji			,,	15/-

Further that there being no provision for this increased expenditure a reappropriation can be arranged from the provision of Rs 5,600/- under head "Kuraz of Zenana Sardars".

## 3 Resolution —

Resolved that with regard to No. 1, 11 (1) and 2 inquiries be made whether they receive anything from their Susral (husband's house ) Nos. 3 to 7 be given an increment of Rs. 50/- p. m. each, while Nos 8 and 9 (at the ratio of 50 to 200) to receive increments of Rs. 18-12-0 and Rs. 17-8-0, per month respectively.

Pardayat Lalrai of the late Maharaj Sri Kishor Singhji Sahib need not be given any increment.

Khawas Udi be allowed increment at the ratio of 50 to 200 i. e. 3/12/- per month.

### 4. READ.—

Note dated 12th June 1920, by the Revenue Member submitting papers regarding Vakil Ralla Ram in response to C R. No. 12 dated 5th August 1919, Vakil Ralla Ram could not appear before Council owing to sickness.



#### 4. Resolution—

Resolved that papers be put up again on 30th July 1920, when Thakur Hem Singh and P. Harbax be also asked to present themselves.

#### 5 READ—

Note No. 1428 dated 10th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting his inspection report of the Jodhpur Central Jail containing his remarks on the various points as given below:—

1. That no regular official Inspection of the Jail seems to have been made since 1908
2. That his inspection lasted over five hours.
3. That second class quality of grain is supposed to be supplied to the prisoners, but he is inquiring whether the quality supplied really belongs to this class.
4. The Superintendent of Jail has not been provided with samples of grain and food stuffs to enable him to compare the quality of articles and that he has no control over the Modi. That the Superintendent Karkhanajats will be addressed for arranging necessary remedial measures.
5. The total number of under-trial prisoners was 74 on 30th June 1920, cases of some of them have been pending for more than two years. That the Chief Judge will be asked to report the reason of delay.
6. That the female lunatic, Chunki should be sent to the Lunatic Asylum at Lahore, and her girl to the Raj Anuth Ashram.
7. Quarters of convict warders in some of the blocks are not sufficiently ventilated and some of them require Verandhas in front of them.
8. Raised platforms are required in the blocks just outside the iron railings for placing Chatties of drinking water on. These may be provided gradually as funds permit.
9. Samples of articles manufactured are at present kept in a stuffy room situated within the inner cordon. There should be four Almiras for the purpose situated in or near the Superintendent's office, so that the intending purchasers need not enter the inner cordon.
10. There is no separate accommodation for the shops of the blacksmith and the tailor. The blacksmith at present work in the inner cordon and his implements are within reach of prisoners which is dangerous and should be removed early.

11. The wire gauze over the water reservoir should be replaced, and the over hanging branches of trees over the *Hos* should be lopped off.
12. The layer of sand in the filter is reported to be 8 inches only. The Superintendent Jail will be asked to inquire of the Residency Surgeon whether this much is sufficient or whether a deeper layer is required.
13. The water of the reservoir, seen through tumbler, did not appear to be quite clean as it contained minute green particles.
14. The millers bathe daily. Others once in fortnight. Whenever there is sufficiency of water it is possible to let prisoners bathe more frequently. The Superintendent represents that this would involve additional expenditure, as water stands will have to be erected on the seven bathing platforms and a new connection will have to be made with the main water pipe as the size of the present pipe is too small to discharge a sufficient quantity of water required at the several places in the Jail.
15. The Jail used to turn out good carpets. Endeavours will be made to secure the Services of an expert from outside and instructions will be issued to Hakims to comply with the requisitions of the Jail for spurn wool.
16. Two special sheds are required for durri-making; the present accommodation being highly unsatisfactory.
17. The Jail Factory is situated at a distance in the old Jail building with the result, that the workers have to be taken there and brought back every day and require a larger number of guards. This is the reason why the present total strength of the guard is so high as 120 men. If the new Jail building be extended with a view to include factory therein and thus made self-contained a reduction can be made in the strength of guard with the resultant saving in recurring monthly expenditure. Chances of escapes should also then be minimised.
18. That 45 prisoners are employed in the Press, the Jail receives no credit for the labour supplied. The Press should debit their account with the wages of these 45 men at the prescribed rate and the amount earned by them should be shown on the receipt side of the Jail account. That he was going further into the matter.
19. On going through the History sheets it was noticed that men with previous convictions were only awarded

simple imprisonment. It appeared that the Police while placing cases before the Magistrates do not bring previous convictions to their notice. That he will make inquiries into the matter.

- 20 The grinding room is ill-ventilated. This defect can be removed by making openings into the ceiling, if possible.
21. That some of the Bajra was not quite cleaned This does not speak well of the supervision exercised over this branch of the Jail work.
22. Solitary cells were all empty. Inquiries will be made why this mode of punishment is not inflicted by Magistrates in cases of habitual offenders.
23. That the working of the Jail is on the whole satisfactory.

## 5. Resolution—

Resolved that remarks No. 1 and 2' require no orders. Nos. 3 and 4 and 5 are being dealt with by the Vice-President

Suggestions with regard to No. 6 are approved. With regard to Nos. 7,8,9,10,11,14,16 and 17 estimates be called for and submitted to Council. As to Nos. 12 and 13 the Residency Surgeon should be consulted.

Suggestions made about Nos. 15, 18, 19, 20 and 22 are also approved and attention of the Chief Judge be also drawn to Nos. 19 and 22. With regard to No. 21 the Superintendent Jail be directed to see that Bajra and other grains are properly cleaned.

## 6. READ—

Note No. 1429 dated 10th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib recommending formation of a Committee consisting of K. Chain Singhji, R. B. K. Narpat Singhji and the Superintending Engineer to prepare a list of all the Farashkhana articles at the Head quarters and of the Bungalows outside and the articles should bear mark and number of the State Farrash Khana.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

## 7. RE AD—

Note No 1327 dated 18th May 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting Kaifiat of the Wakil of Maharaj Sri Fateh Singhji Sahib requesting grant of customary Dastoor on the occasion of certain marriages lately celebrated in the Maharaj's family.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that as it is not quite clear which of the Baijees marriage and Moosala are meant, the Vice-President be requested to put up papers with fuller details.

## 8. READ—

D. O. letter No. 2534 dated 7th July 1920, from the Resident W. R. S. saying that the reply which the Regency Council propose to send to the President of the Oudh Khilafat Committee has his entire approval, but it would be well if the reply be sent direct by the Council.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the suggestion be approved.

## 9. READ—

Note No. 1430/P. W. D. by the Vice-President Sahib regarding renting of the Girdikot shops lying vacant.

## 9 Resolution—

Resolved that the P. W. Member be asked to take necessary steps in the matter.

## 10. READ—

Note No 255 dated 3rd July 1920, by the Military Secretary, put up by the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib regarding the rate of rations of the officers, N. C. officers and men of the Jodhpur I S. Troops when attending classes of instruction. That the Resident W. R. S. inquires whether the Darbar are prepared to grant such allowances on the scale which may be from time to time in force for British Indian troops, as it is understood, a revised rate of allowance will shortly be sanctioned.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that the Resident be informed in reply that this Darabr is not prepared to adopt the Government scale of allowance in the Jodhpur I. S Troops

## 11. READ—

Letter No. 359-J. M. dated 7th July 1920, from the Executive Officer Jodhpur Municipal Board recommending nomination of Mr. Chalmers as one of the Members of the Board in place of the late Dr. J. Sommerville submitted by the P W. Member for sanction.

## 11. Resolution—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

**12. READ—**

Application dated 28th June 1920, of R. H. Mc. Ardle for appointment as Garage Superintendent put up by the P. W. Member.

**12. Resolution—**

Resolved that he may be offered Rs. 400,-50,-500 on trial for 4 months.

**13. READ—**

Note dated 15th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating in response to C. R. No, 20 dated 9th July 1920, that no suitable candidate is available for nomination to Royal Military College Sandhurst.

**13. Resolution—**

Resolved that the Resident be informed that there is no suitable candidate available for nomination for admission into the Royal Military College Sandhurst.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH,

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.

# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 20th July 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj Genera  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB,
2. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON C. I. E., I. A.,
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PUNDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB B.A., C. L. E.,

1. READ —

Note No. 1436 dated 12th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding disbursement of Pandit Rajnath's pay from October 1919 to May 1920, amounting to Rs. 1,200/- stating that he was suspended from Shiv Hakumat and reinstated on 17th May 1920.

## 1. Resolution,—

Resolved that P. Rajnath be paid his full pay for the period during which he remained under suspension.

2. READ.—

Letter No. G 4/12763 dated 29th June 1920, from the Manager J. B. Railway to the Finance Member, for adding two notes on certain paras of the Joint Agreement between the Secretary of State for India and Their Highness the Maharajas of Jodhpur and Bikaner regarding the working of the British Section of the J. B. Railway.

1. On the 5% on capital cost of interchangeable Rolling stock charge.
2. On rate of interest paid on lock up in stores required for the working of the joint system

requesting to lay the papers before the Durbar for orders. Stating that the Secretary of State, having recognised that the rate of interest paid for certain works performed by Railways for the Government, is, in present day circumstances, an insufficient return for the capital outlay incurred, has sanctioned an increase of interest being paid on new capital outlay on such works since 31st March 1918.

That as the Darbar have provided and are likely to provide more capital for both the above mentioned items, he was of opinion that the Government of India should be approached with a view to the amendment of the clauses of the Joint Agreement referring to the same, and the enhancement of the charges by  $1\frac{1}{2}\%$ .

The Finance Member recommends that the proposal be adopted.

## 2 Resolution —

Resolved that the proposal be approved subject to concurrence of the Bikaner Darbar

### 3. READ.—

Note No. 1407 dated 12th July 1920, by the Finance Member requesting sanction to an excess expenditure of Rs. 4,092/- in the Authorization Rolls of the Pipar Bilara Light Railway sanctioned under C. R. No. 7 dated 4th July 1919.

## 3. Resolution —

Resolved that expenditure of Rs. 4,092/- be sanctioned as requested

### 4. READ.—

Note No. 1438 dated 12th July 1920, by the Finance Member recommending monthly scholarships of Rs. 5/- each to the two boys Karanraj and Sampatraj, with effect from 1st October 1920, tenable as long as they remain at a State School or pass the Matriculation Examination.

## 4. Resolution.—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

### 5. READ —

Note No. 1439 dated 12th July 1920, by the Finance Member recommending that P. Bishamber Nath, Census Superintendent be classed as a Gazetted Officer.

## 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that P. Bishamber Nath be classed as Gazetted Officer for the purpose of drawing pay bills etc but that T. A bills will require the countersignature of the Vice-President

### 6. READ.—

Note No. 1433 dated 12th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding succession of Mertia Surjan Singh to Sardar Singh, the late Jagirdar of Deroli P. Nagour, Rekh Rs. 1,000/-.

## 6. Resolution.—

Resolved that succession of Surjan Singh to his father Sardar Singh be sanctioned.

### 7. READ —

Note No. 5221 dated 12th July 1920, by the Finance Member regarding acting allowance to Mr. Stubbs for acting as Superintending Engineer, stating that Mr. Stubbs was entitled to 1/5th of the pay of Mr. Cruickshank.

## 7. Resolution.—

Resolved that Mr. Stubbs be paid acting allowance at the rate of 1/5th of the salary for the time being of the incumbent.

**8. READ—**

Application dated 26th June 1920, from the members of the Parsi community of Jodhpur for laying a water pipe in their grave-yard

**8. Resolution—**

Resolved that inquiries be made for what purposes the Parsi community require the water.

**9. READ—**

Note No. 1370 dated 17th June 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting letter dated 9th July 1920, of the General Secretary Indian Olympic Association Poona, addressed to the Private Secretary to H. H. the Maharaja Sahib Bahadur requesting to lay the papers before H. H. to become a sympathiser, donor or patron of the Association.

**9. Resolution—**

Resolved that the papers be filed.

**10. READ—**

D. O. letter No. 2557 dated 8th July 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. regarding amalgamation of the Rekh, Hukamnam and Jagir Baxi Departments, stating that from the papers it appears to be generally agreed that the present system under which separate Amins are sent at different times by these Departments to collect money due from Jagirdars is susceptible of improvement and that it is clear that the system is productive of the maximum amount of trouble to the Jagirdars with a minimum advantage to the Darbar. That controversy arises as to the method in which the evils of the present system can best be avoided.

That the matter may be considered again in Council and he would suggest for their consideration whether it would not be practicable without amalgamating the Departments a procedure to which there appears to be some objection, to devise a system for the collection of these dues at the same time through Hakumats by sending their statement of 'demands' to the Hakim concerned. Submitted by the Vice-President Sahib

**10 Resolution--**

Resolved that the Superintendents of Jagir Baxi and Rekh Hukamnama Departments be asked to state what difficulties or facilities they are likely to experience in having the dues of their respective Departments collected through Hakumats. They should also state in detail the present procedure for collecting Raj dues

**11: READ--**

Application of Swami Brindaban Saran, requesting for some monetary assistance for the girl's and boys' schools established by him at village Rampur Orrisa).

**11. Resolution—**

Resolved that papers be filed.

Sd PRATAP SINGH,  
Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON,  
Sd. SUKHDEO.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH,  
Sd. MANGAL SINGH,





*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 24th July 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.,

1. *READ—*

Letter No. 2623/199 L. A. dated 12th July 1920, from the Resident, W. R. States to the Senior Member of Council, conveying Government's sanction on certain terms to the appointment of Mr C. H. Twiss as Tutor and Guardian to His Highness the Maharaja Sahib of Jodhpur during the absence on leave of Col. C. W. Waddington C. I. E.

1. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the fact be noted.

2. *READ—*

Note No. 1450 dated 15th July 1920, by the Vice President Sahib requesting sanction to an extra grant of Rs. 2,225/- for payment to R. R. Kr. Hanut Singhji, being the amount of his pay from 4th December 1918 to 30th September 1919.

2. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the amount be sanctioned as requested.

3. *READ—*

Note No. 1449 dated 15th June 1920, by the Vice President Sahib regarding the *Kund* at Sambhar stating that the *Kund* was joint property of the Jodhpur and Jaipur Darbars and that although the Mahajans of the Bada Bazar have submitted a security bond binding themselves to pay Rs. 1,100/- after repairs have been completed, the Nazim of the Jaipur State has consented to have the *Kund* repaired only on the condition that the cost of the repairs should not be recovered from the Mahajans but that it should be borne by the Jaipur and Jodhpur Darbars conjointly.

### 3. Resolution—

Resolved that as the *Kund* is situated in the Shamlat area, it should be repaired at the cost of both the Darbars in moiety.

#### 4. READ.—

Note No. 1442 dated 13th July 1920, by the Revenue Member regarding payment of Rs. 4,000/- on account of Khangi and obsequies of late Thakur of Thakrawas claimed by the Maji, stating that the Superintendent Hawala reports that the village has been made Khalsa and a maintenance allowance of Rs. 40/- has been fixed from January 1920, but that she should be given from the 25th April 1918, the date of resumption, to 31st December 1919, according to which a sum of Rs. 806/10/6 was due to her, and Rs. 100/- having already been paid, the remaining amount of Rs. 706/10/6 may now be paid to the Maji.

### 4. Resolution—

Resolved that Rs. 706/10/6 be paid to the Maji, and that further enquiries be made, what reasonable amount, if any, is due to her on account of the obsequies of the late Thakur.

#### 5. READ—

Note No. 1312 dated 10th May 1920, by the Finance Member regarding reduction in the staff of the office of the late House-hold Comptroller, stating that out of the staff of 89 persons costing Rs. 1,730/8/- per month, 45 persons drawing Rs. 743/- p. m. have been reduced, while 37 persons getting Rs. 751/8/ have since been transferred to the various Karkhanas and that 7 persons drawing Rs. 251/- p. m. are to be retained as under:—

- |  |                                 |                      |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| 1. For silver-ware and table linen.                    | B. Keshow Lal                   | Rs. 150/-            |
| 2. To carry on correspondence in the Household Office. | B Jiwanlal, pay extra allowance | Rs 75/-<br>Rs. 15/-. |
| 3. Tennis staff.                                       |                                 |                      |
| 1 Jamadar  |                                 | Rs. 7/-              |
| 4. Tennis boys at 6/- each.                            |                                 | Rs. 31/- p. m.       |

### 5. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

#### 6. READ—

Letter No. E 61/11749 dated 17th June 1920, from the Manager, J. B. Railway to the Finance Member intimating that the Bikaner Darbar have agreed to Major Gordon's receiving half pay on average salary for the period of his absence on Military duty.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

## 7. READ—

D. O. Letter No. 2585 dated 9th July 1920, from the Resident, W. R. States to the Senior Member of Council regarding claim of Modi Abdul Gani and Abdul Hakim to compensation for the loss he suffered in supplying Modi Khana from August to November 1918.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that on reconsideration, that in view of the peculiar circumstances of the case, the compassionate allowance already ordered to be paid to the Modi, be raised to Rs. 11,767/-. This concession is to be regarded as a special case and to serve as a warning that such claims will not be considered valid in future.

## 8. READ—

Note No. 1447 dated 14th July 1920, by the Vice President Sahib stating that the Executive Engineer B. B. & C. I Ry, requests through the Resident, W. R. States for the clearance of a plot of land required for building a new well and quarters for the Pump Engine Staff at Sameri River near Someswar Ry. Station. That from the inquiries made, it appears that the land was ceded to the Railway authorities in 1896 but, as it was situated beyond the Railway fencing wire, it had ever been in the occupation of Ajita and Baja cultivators.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the land in question be made over to the Railway authorities, but that if there be any standing crops in the said land the Railway authorities be requested not to disturb the cultivators until the crops mature and are removed. This request is made as the encroachment is one of long standing and it would be hard to evict the cultivators at this season without sufficient notice.

## 9. READ—

Note No. 1446 dated 14th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding erection of four war commemoration tablets in villages that have supplied the largest number of recruits, stating that neither the Recruiting Officer Rajputana nor the Secretary Marwar Soldiers' Board could supply the complete statistics required for inclusion in the tablets. That the Secretary Marwar Soldiers' Board suggests that the tablets may be erected in the four Parganas of Shergarh, Didwana, Jodhpur and Sambhar which have suffered the heaviest casualties, in the following altered form:—

“Of the men who went from this Pargana to the great War 1914-19, gave up their lives.”

That in the latter case a reference to the Resident would be necessary.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that erection of tablets in the proposed altered form be approved and the Resident, W. R. States be referred to on the subject.

## 10. READ—

Note No. 1451 dated 15th July 1920, by the P. W. Member requesting sanction to an estimate of Rs. 2,412/- for excavating the higher ground at the Pacca overflow and repairing the overflow against the provision of Rs. 2,500/- in the current year's budget Item No. (4-A. 3).

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned as requested.

## 11. READ—

Note No. 1454 dated 16th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, requesting cancellation of Ijara of Tarkashka-Katla given to Phopalia Milap Chand and sanction of the same in the name of Deoraj for Rs. 3,675/- for the next three years.

## 11. Resolution—

Resolved that sanction be accorded as proposed.

## 12. READ—

Note No. 1452 dated 16th July 1920, by the P. W. Member, submitting for sanction an estimate of Rs. 3,470/- for lighting the circular road at the Railway Station, to be included in next year's budget.

## 12. Resolution,—

Resolved that sanction be accorded as requested.

## 13. READ.—

Note dated 14th July 1920, by the Revenue Member submitting his views on the present work of the Residency Panchayat Court, comparing it with the system of extradition arrangements and establishment of joint courts in administering justice in interstatal cases. It involves:—

1. completing of extradition agreements and establishment of joint courts where necessary.
2. abolition of the Residency Panchayat Court.

## 13. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Resident be addressed on the lines suggested by the Revenue Member.

## 14. READ.—

Note No. 1469 dated 21st July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding arrangements for supplying 380 sowars for the work of the Census Department from 1st September 1920 to 31st March 1921.

## 14. Resolution.—

Resolved that, with the assistance of a committee the Vice-President be asked to settle the question of number of sowars that are really necessary, and then to put up papers for final sanction of Council.

## 15. READ.—

Note dated 20th July 1920, by the Finance Member requesting confirmation of the appointment of Mr. Thittay as Accountant P. W. D. in the Audit Office and proposing following revised grades of pay with effect from the 1st October 1920:—

Assistant Auditor from	150-10-200/-	to	200-15-275/-.
P. W. D. Accountant	100-10 150/-	to	130-10-180/-.
2 Chief clerks	100- 5-125/-	to	125- 5-150/-.

## 15. Resolution —

Resolved that the confirmation of Mr. Thittay, and the revised grades of pay proposed, be sanctioned.

## 16. READ —

Letter No 2813 dated 22nd July 1920, from the Resident, W. R. States to the Senior Member of Council stating that His Majesty's Government have presented the Government of India with 100 aeroplanes, and the Government of India have decided to offer a certain number to those Ruling Princes and Chiefs who have rendered conspicuous assistance during the War. That some of the machines are of the D. H. G. type with B. H. P. engines and some of the "Avro" type with Clerget engines. That it is estimated, on a rough calculation, that the maintenance cost of an aeroplane of either type would be about Rs. 3,000/- per mensem. That the aeroplanes would be given subject to the condition that Darbars would agree to have the machines registered and their pilots licensed under the British Civil Aviation Rules before flights were made over British India. Requesting to be informed whether the Darbar require any of the aeroplanes.

## 16. Resolution —

Resolved that the Resident, W. R. S. be requested to ascertain by telegram the details of the maintenance charges of one aeroplane.

## 17. READ.—

Letter No. 2800 dated 21st July 1920, from the Resident, W. R. States to the Senior Member of Council, Marwar State, stating that the Government of India have received the following telegram from His Majesty's Secretary of State for India:—

"Foreign office urgently apply for service of Cruickshank Superintending Engineer, Jodhpur, Railway work upper Silesia reconstruction commission. Please ascertain whether Regency Council will forego six months' notice under agreement or alternatively lend his services for 12 months. Please telegraph reply as quickly as possible."

Requesting that the views of the Darbar be communicated to him as soon as possible.

## 17. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Resident be informed that the Jodhpur Darbar are prepared to forego the six months' notice required under agreement with Mr. Cruickshank.

## 18 READ.—

Note dated 23rd July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding installation of telephone and electric light at the Hewson Hospital, recommending that the work is necessary.

## 18 Resolution.—

Resolved that an estimate be called for from the P. W. Department.

## 19. READ—

Note dated 23rd July 1920, by the Finance Member regarding the threatened strike by the Railway workshop employees on the 26th instant, stating that the Manager, J. B. Railway intimates that the men have no genuine grievances, although certain questions regarding the consolidation of grain and War allowances with salaries and the introduction of the Provident Fund for the workshop establishment were under consideration but it is not certain that the Darbar will consent to make the concessions. That he (Finance Member) is not inclined to advise the extension of the Provident Fund benefits when no corresponding obligations are admitted by the employees and when it is doubted that it would be any sort of check on disaffection and recourse to the strike for obtaining concessions.

That he agrees with the Manager that should a strike occur we should shut them out and be firm about forfeiting their gratuities.

That if the striker is not a subject of the State he should be ordered to leave the State as having no ostensible means of subsistence and that the order should be enforced by the Magistrates.

That petition (Arzies) are sent, as remarked by the Manager, by one or two men with whom a few Babus are mixed up. That the I. G. P. should be instructed to find out the men.

That it being the policy of this Darbar to employ only Marwaries, as far as possible, in all Departments of the State, he would impress on the Railway authorities to recruit their clerical hands as far as possible exclusively from the inhabitants of Marwar.

## 19. Resolution—

Resolved that the recommendation of the Finance Member be approved.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.  
Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.  
Sd. SUKHDEO.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.  
Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 28th July 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB,
2. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON C. I. E., I. A.,
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PUNDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB B.A., C. I. E.,

## **7. READ —**

Note No: 1171/ customs dated 17th July 1920, by the Finance Member recommending abolition of import duty on Babool timber.

## **1. Resolution,—**

Resolved that import duty on Babool timber be abolished tentatively for one year and report be called for, what approximate amount of Babul timber is imported into Marwar annually.

## **2. READ. —**

Note No: 1458 dated 17th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, regarding fixing time limit for hearing Uzardaries by the Council against the decisions of the Chief Court.

## **2. Resolution —**

Resolved that Uzardaries against the decision of the Chief Court can be entertained within one month from the date on which the notice of the decision of the said Court was conveyed to the party making the Uzardari.

## **3. READ.—**

Application dated 8th July 1920, from Gobind Singh, late of the 26th light Cavalry, to the Resident W. R. S, put up by H. H. The Maharaja Regent Sahib, requesting for employment as Hawaldar either in the State Military or Police Department.

## **3. Resolution —**

Resolved that the Military or Police Departments be asked to report whether they can take the applicant in service as requested by him.



## 4. READ —

Note No: 1164/ custom dated 16th July 1920, from the Superintendent Customs, regarding stipend to the widow of Kanugu Fateh Chand. The Finance Member recommends that a stipend of Rs: 8/- P M. may be sanctioned to the widow's minor son until he gets an employment or upto the age of 21 if he fails to obtain job by that time.

## 4. Resolution.—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

## 5. READ —

Note dated 18th July 1920, by the Revenue Member, submitting application of the Vakil of Maharaj Sri Urjan Singhji Sahib for permission to distill six *Bhatties* of liquor at Kishor Bagh, Jodhpur

## 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that permission be given as requested.

## 6. READ.—

Note No: 1459 dated 19th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib requesting explicit orders on certain points raised by the Superintendent, Rekh Hukumnama, as given below regarding levy of interest on State dues of Rekh and Hukamnama ordered under C. R. No: 7 dated 27th April 1920.  
(1) From which date this procedure be adopted? The Sambat year 1976 ends on Asad Sudi 15th and therefore he suggests that the rule be brought into force from the beginning of S. 1977.

(2) That there is neither a fixed date for the payment of dues nor date for the production of Rukkas. Formerly Rukkas were being taken throughout the whole year beginning from Savnu Raddi 1st but there was no specified date by which the Rukkas ought to have been produced by the Jagirdars or payment in cash was to be made. That now the practice is to take Rukkas binding the Jagirdars to pay dues on Savnu crops by *Phogan Sudi* 15th and those on Unalu crops by *Jeth Sudi* 15th and the Boras giving *Sahukari* promise to pay the amount on *Asadh Sudi* 15th. The last date is meant for those who pay regularly. Sometimes the Jagirdars promise to pay State dues prior to the above dates or on their failing to pay on such dates, interest is charged.

Orders are solicited as to the date on which Savnu or Unalu crops be taken to be due or whether *Asadh Sudi* 15th be taken to be the last date on which payment of State dues on both the crops be taken to be due, and on the Jagirdars failing to pay on such specified date or dates those giving Rukkas be charged at 6 % and those not doing so be charged at 12 %.

(3) That usually no interest is charged for five days after the expiry of the date fixed for payment when the Jagirdar submits a Rukka. Whether this rule should stand?

(4) That there are many Jagirs against which arrears are outstanding and which are realized along with the Challoo Rekhs by instalments and Rukkas are produced for their payment as well. Whether interest is to be charged for these arrears and if so at what rate *i. e.*, whether at 6 % or 12 %.

(5) That there being many Jagirs under the management of the Hasiyat Court and the Court of Wards, the State dues are realized through these Departments. What rate of interest should be charged from such Jagirs if dues are not paid in time, the estates being managed by the Darbar.

(6) That at the time of levy of Hukamnama the real born sons have to pay no Rekhs for one year but the adopted ones have to pay Rekhs as well as Hukamnama. When Hukamnama is realized by taking the crops of one year through the Hawala, Rekhs usually remain outstanding and no interest should as a rule be levied on that sum. The question is whether interest be levied in such cases.

(7) That sometimes State dues are realized through State Departments or Hukumats and a part of the current dues remains outstanding. Whether interest should be charged on such outstanding.

(8) When a Jagirdar produces Rukka for a certain year and the dues for that year are not paid up within the specified time and he gives another Rukka for the next year for payment of the current Rekhs, it is as a rule not accepted till dues on the last one are not paid. In such cases whether interest is to be charged at 12 or 6 per cent.

## 6. Resolution.—

Resolved that the ruling ordered under C. R. No 7 dated 27th April 1920 be brought into force from the beginning S 1977.

With regard to item No. 2, Rukkas for Savnu crops by Phagan Sudi 15th and those for Unalu by Jeth Sudi 15th be taken according to present practice, but that the final date from which interest be charged should be the 15th of Asad Sud in both cases *i. e.*, those who produce Rukkas and fail to pay be charged at 6 p. c. and those who neither produce Rukkas nor make payment, be charged at 12 p. c. from the said date.

With regard to (3) the five days grace be continued as hitherto.

That no interest need be levied on instalments of old arrears but when a Rukka has been given and the Jagirdar fails to abide by it an interest of 6 p. c. should be charged.

As to No. 5 no interest need be charged but notice be taken of the party at fault.

With reference to item 6 in the case of an adopted son no interest be charged on the Rekhs of one year that remains outstanding but it should be realized by two equal instalments, on the following two years

When State dues be realized through other Departments or Hukumats (item 7) no interest need be charged on outstandings.

With regard to point 8, interest at 6 p. c. must be charged on the 1st Rukka and a second Rukka should be accepted.

## 7. READ—

Note No. 1460 dated 20th July 1920, by the Revenue Member submitting his remarks on the report of the Fraymouth regarding the introduction of the "Escociet methods of better curing collection, treatment and sale of hides from Jodhpur State and entering into agreement with Bhopal Trust. The Revenue Member was not generally in favour of the proposal put forward.

## 7. Resolution.—

Resolved that the question be dropped, as during minority it is not advisable to launch into such undertaking

## 8 READ —

Note No. 1461 dated 20th July 1920, by the P. W. Member, regarding increment and conveyance allowance to Mr. A. Jaquet, Foreman Electrical Mechanical Department Workshops, stating that the Acting Superintending Engineer submits for reconsideration the case of Mr. Jaquet who was brought here on a salary of Rs. 150/- with annual increments of Rs 20/- plus Rs. 15/- conveyance allowance and leave according to Marwar Rules. That the Council has cut down his proposed salary to Rs. 150-15-15-20-20. That the man has been working very satisfactorily and has had no increment during his 19 months' service. That under the circumstances he should draw his first increment of Rs. 20/- from 25th November 1919 and that his conveyance allowance of Rs. 15/- be also sanctioned. That if the man goes away it will be very difficult to obtain another suitable man

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the recommendation of the Superintending Engineer be approved.

## 9. READ—

Letter No. 7118 dated 13th July 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member requesting extra sanction to an estimate of Rs. 1,500/- for repairs to guns at the Fort. The P. W. Member suggests that provision be made in next year's budget.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and provided for in the next year's budget.

## 10. READ—

Note No 1464 dated 20th July 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting in pursuance to C. R. No. 8 dated 29th April 1920, the Resident's reply regarding the meaning of the expression "Ruling Chief's residence", intimating that the expression 'residence' would include the Palace itself and permanent buildings of every kind appertaining thereto.

## 10 Resolution--

Resolved that the fact be noted.

11. *READ—*

Letter No J. M. 360 dated 7th July 1920, from the Executive Officer Municipal Board to the P. W. Member submitting his suggestion for keeping cattle outside the city.

11. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the P. W. Member be asked to put up his proposals for keeping the Ghanchies cattle on the border of the Kashba land instead of in the compounds so near the city as proposed by the Executive Officer. That compounds selected may be utilized for keeping cattle of other public bodies who may not have compounds of their own to keep them

The proposal of issuing a notice to Public from Mehkma Khas is approved but they should be called upon to arrange for the keeping of their cattle in a way that may not roam about in streets and Public thoroughfares. The condition of keeping a Gawal be not made compulsory.

That the Public bulls ( Suraj-ka-sand ) be sent to Pali as proposed. That the proposals regarding keeping of asses, goats and sheep are approved. She-goats in limited numbers might be allowed to be kept in the city

12. *READ—*

Note No. 1471 dated 22nd July 1920, by the Vice President Sahib stating that the Resident W. R. S informs that the notification No. 10410 dated 3rd September 1919 of the Government of India has been cancelled and the export of silver bullion and coins from British India has been permitted from 3rd July 1920. Suggesting that notification to the above effect may be published in the Marwar Gazette.

12. *Resolution —*

Resolved that the fact be noted and notice issued as suggested.

13. *READ.—*

Letter No. 5690 dated 17th May 1920, from the Superintending Engineer, to the P. W. Member regarding shortage of petrol for the last 5 months, stating that the shortage of 10 p. c. due to leakage and evaporation was reasonable and should be written off. That for future it is proposed to receive and issue the petrol by weight. That for practical purposes he is taking the weight of a gallon as 7 lbs.

13. *Resolution.—*

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

14. *READ.—*

Note dated 19th July 1920, by the Finance Member submitting a statement showing investments made by the Finance Department during the current year. Also submitting list of Banks and suggesting that the Darbar should select a few of these Banks and leave it to their Broker to lend them money for short terms at favourable rates.

## 14 Resolution.—

Resolved that the investments made be noted and that the following Banks be selected for lending money on short terms.

Bank of Bombay.

Bank of Bengal.

Bank of India.

Chartered Bank

National Bank.

Bank of Madras.

## 15 Read.—

Note dated 23rd July 1920, by the Vice President Sahib recommending that Maniar Suraj Karan M. A. L. L. B. be sent to the Kotwali, to work in place of Moota Sumer Chand till the return of Sukhdeo Narain who has been deputed to work in Civil Court No. 1.

## 15. Resolution —

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

## 16. READ.—

Note dated 23rd July 1920, by the Vice President Sahib stating that in consequence of K. Sukh Singh, Judicial Superintendent Mallani taking one month's sick leave, Bhandari Umrao Chand, Hakim Mallani be ordered to act the Judicial Superintendent, and the relieving Hakim, Lal Chand to work for the Malani Hakim.

## 16. Resolution.—

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH,  
Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON,  
Sd SUKHDEO.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH,  
Sd. MANGAL SINGH,

*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 30th July 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

**1. READ—**

Note dated 30th July 1920, by the Finance Member stating that the Vice-Chancellor, Hindu University requests that the question of payment of annual grant towards the said University may kindly be reconsidered and it should be ordered to take effect from the date it was sanctioned and not from the date on which the Chair of Technology be actually filled, and quotes instances of Patiala and Mysore Darbars who paid the annual grants from the year for sanction.

**1. Resolution—**

After careful consideration the Council came to conclusion that this was a case of compromise.

Resolution No. 10 dated 27th of April 1920, resolving that the Darbar would commence to pay the donation from the date on which the Hardinge Chair is established may be notified as follows:—

The Finance Member may offer the Vice-Chancellor one lakh of rupees in lieu of subscriptions of past years and to commence paying the regular annual donation of 24,000 rupees with effect from the current financial year.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH

Sd. SUKHDEO.



**ORDER.**

30th July 1920

The Regency Council have today considered the complaint contained in your petitions addressed to them and to the Resident. The Council very much regret that you have so far forgotten your military duty, and the honor of Sardar Rissala and of your Darbar as to thus suddenly desert your lines.

It appears to the Council that many of your grievances are based upon misunderstandings or misapprehensions which can only be cleared up by an inquiry.

Your complaints cover the whole field of your conditions of service and this inquiry cannot, therefore, be carried out in a brief space of time. A committee consisting of the Commanding Officers assisted by the Inspecting Officer has been appointed and any representations made verbally or in writing will be considered by it. Pending the results of this inquiry the Darbar trust that you will return to duty and save any further disgrace to yourself and the name of Jodhpur.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.





## P R E S E N T .

HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA REGENT SAHIB BAHADUR.  
 MR. L. W. REYNOLDS, C. I. E.,  
 MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.  
 COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.  
 RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.  
 RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, C. I. E.

## O R D E R .

31st July 1920.

The Regency Council have considered your petition to-day and their reply to your four requests is as follows:—

1. The orders regarding stoppage of free rations and the demobilization of 'C' Squadron shall remain in abeyance until the Committee have submitted their recommendations to the Darbar.
2. The Committee will sit as soon as the Inspecting Officer who has been sent for arrives *i. e.* certainly within three days, and their recommendations will be called for within 15 days of that date. Dissatisfied men shall be allowed to resign and to depart as soon as the accounts and discharge documents of individual Sowars can be compiled.
3. The men must return to their lines, perform their ordinary duties and obey all lawful orders of their officers. They will not be sent away from Jodhpur.
4. These orders have been signed by His Highness the Maharaja Regent and Members of Council and have been attested by the Resident.

(Sd) PRATAP SINGH.

(Sd) ZALIM SINGH.

(Sd) R. E. A. HAMILTON.

(Sd) MANGAL SINGH.

(Sd) SUKHDEO.



॥ श्रीः ॥

## बमोजूदगी ।

- (१) महाराज श्री रीजेन्ट साहब बहादुर ।
- (२) मिस्टर एल० डबल्यु० रैनाल्ड० सी० आइ० ई०,
- (३) महाराज श्री जालमसिंहजी साहब ।
- (४) करनल आइ० ई० ए० हेमिलटन साहब सी० आइ० ई०,
- (५) राव बहादुर ठाकुर मंगलसिंहजी साहब ।
- (६) राव बहादुर पन्डित सुखदेवप्रसाजी साहब सी० आइ० ई०,

हुकम बनाम सवारान सरदार रिसाला ।

तुमने आज एक दूसरी दरखास्त पेश की, जिस पर रीजेन्सी काउन्सिल ने बाद गौर तुम्हारी चार दरखास्तों के निसबत हस्रजेल हुकम दिया सो सुतल्ले रहो ।

(१) कमेटी जो मुर्कर की गई है उनकी तरफ से बाद दरियाफत रिपोर्ट पेश हो जबतक फ्री रेशन के बन्द करने बाबत और सी० (C) इसथाडर्न के तखफीफ करने बाबत जो हुकम हुए हैं वो मुलतवी रहेंगे ।

(२) कमेटी इन्सपेक्टिंग आफिसर के आने पर फौरन ही काम शुरू करदेगी, इन्सपेक्टिंग आफिसर साहब को बुलाया गया है और यकीन है के तीन ३ दिनों के अन्दर आजायेंगे और कमेटी यह रिपोर्ट श्रीदरबार में १५ दिन के अन्दर पेश करदेगी, और जो कोई शख्स तजवीज से नाराज होकर अनर्तीका देना चावेगा तो वो देसकेगा और उसको अपने घर जाने की हसमत जब उसके हिसाब के कागजात मुकम्मिल होजायेंगे उस बखत देदी जावेगा ।

(३) सब रजमट के आदमियों को चाहिये के फौरन अपनी अपनी तैन में चले जायें और मामूली डियूटी अदा करें, और अपने आफसरों के जायज हुकम की तामील करें, जबतक कमेटी की रिपोर्ट पेश न होगी उनको जोधपुर से बाहर नौकरी पर नहीं भेजा जावेगा ।

(४) हस्र दरखास्त तुमारे जेलमें दस्तखत किये जाते हैं जिसकी तसदीक श्री रीजेन्ट साहब बहादुर ने भी करदी है ॥ ता० ३१ जोलाई सन् १९२०

(Sd) PRATAP SINGH.  
(Sd) R. E. A. HAMILTON.  
(Sd) SUKHDEO.

(Sd) ZALIM SINGH.  
(Sd) MANGAL SINGH.



# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 31st July 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON C. I. E., I. A.,
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB B A., C. I. E.,

## 1. READ.—

Note No 1478 dated 24th July 1920, by the Finance Member stating that the Manager, J. B. Railway submits an estimate of Rs. 998/- for renewal of sleepers and packing of ballast in the Martin siding at Makrana and requests that the expenditure be charged to the State P. W. D. That as there exists no provision in the P. W. D. budget for this purpose an extra grant is required.

## 1. Resolution.—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned as an extra grant chargeable to P. W. D. and be included in the next year's budget.

## 2. READ—

Letter No. E. 160 dated 1st July 1920, from the Manager, J. B. Railway to the Finance Member of Council regarding the loan of services of a successor to Mr. Harrison whose term of service as Auditor of Accounts will terminate on March 13th 1921. The Manager, J. B. Railway, suggests that a man of greater experience than Mr. Harrison had when he first joined, is necessary. That this may necessitate the granting of Rs. 250/- p m. over and above what he would draw in British service, to obtain a good man.

That the period of recruitment might be for two years with the option of an extension to five years.

Read draft letter to the Resident, W. R. S. on the above lines, by the Finance Member.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposal be approved subject to concurrence of the Bikaner Darbar, with the following modifications in the draft letter. That the pay of the officer to be recruited would be Rs. 200/- over and above his pay in British service. That the further extension beyond two years be at the option of both parties.

### 3. READ—

Note No 1463 dated 21st July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting Uzardari of Muthra Dass s/o Lachmandass against the decision of the Chief Court in the following case:—

Plaintiff.		Defendant.
Ramlal s/o Rambux of	} <i>Versus</i> {	Muthradass s/o Lachmandass
Jodhpur.		Appellant.
Claim of Rs. 150/-.		

The Uzardar contends that the plaintiff died during the pendency of the suit, and under Rule 3 Sub-rule 2, the suit abates on the death of the plaintiff if no representative is appointed within two months of his death (Rule 7 Marwar Limitation Act) That no application on behalf of the representative was made in this case

The Vice-President Sahib remarks that no such representation was made by the Uzardar in the Civil Court, nor in the appeal filed in the Chief Court. He, however, made such representation at the hearing of the appeal in the Chief Court. The Chief Court disallowed the objection on the ground that the reply to the appeal was by the widow of the plaintiff and the Uzardar did not object.

## 3. Resolution—

Resolved that the decision of the Chief Court be upheld. The Uzardari be rejected.

### 4. READ—

Letter No. 7205/135 dated 20th July 1920, from the Residency Surgeon to the Vice-President Sahib recommending that Singhi Riddimal, Head Clerk in the Residency Surgeon's office be given the designation of 'Office Superintendent' in recognition of his long and faithful services.

## 4. Resolution—

Resolved that the Council see no necessity for creating a new post.

### 5. READ—

Note No. 1466 dated 31st July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding closing of the work of the Khatri Loan Committee which was found for only one year S. 1975, when it was a famine year; stating that the Committee submits that the work may be stopped and the papers be ordered to be sent to the State records. That the allowance of the Civil Court clerk who used to do the work in the Committee may also be stopped from the beginning of July 1920.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposal be sanctioned.

*READ—*

Letter No. 14683 dated 10th July 1920, from the Acting Manager, B. Railway to the Finance Member requesting Darbar's sanction to recruiting an officer to work as his personal assistant as the work in his office was very heavy.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that the request be sanctioned subject to concurrence of the Bikaner Darbar.

*READ—*

Note No. 1477 dated 14th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding extension by one year of the period of medical course for Sub-assistant Surgeons receiving training at Indore.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the Resident be informed in reply that the Darbar approve of the proposal to extend the period by one year.

*READ—*

Note No. 1473 dated 23rd July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting papers of enquiry made on the representation of the Jagirdar of Rewda  $\frac{1}{3}$  requesting his share to be taken by the Darbar and some maintenance allowance given for the support of himself, his wife and daughter.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that as the inquiry made by the Hakim is not complete owing to other shareholders of the village and creditors not appearing before him in spite of summons, further and comprehensive inquiries be made by reaching the village conditionally, pending the result of the inquiry,

*READ—*

Note No. 1662 dated 19th July 1920, by the Finance Member stating that as the Jagir of R. R. Sardar Singhji has been made Khalsa, the Raj money Rs. 386-10-9 due from him since S. 1972, be written off.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that the amount be written off as suggested.

*READ—*

Note No. 1467 dated 21st July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Residency Vakil requests that the Vakalat building may be supplied with electric light and fans.



## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that the building be supplied with electric light only for which a separate estimate be called for.

## 11. READ—

Letter No. 2730 dated 17th July 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. regarding development of educational training in the Army, put up by H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib.

## 11. Resolution—

Resolved that the papers be sent to the Finance Member to put up again after consulting Mr. Harvey and the Superintendent of education on the subject.

## 12. READ—

Note No. 1663 dated 20th July 1920, by the Finance Member suggesting that the sum of Rs. 2,807/- outstanding against the Jagirdar of Lampolai may be written off as the jagir has reverted to Khalsa under the Muris-ala-rules.

## 12. Resolution,—

Resolved that the amount be written off as suggested.

## 13 READ —

Note No. 1495/Mis. 65 dated 29th July 1920, by the Finance Member requesting that the Council Resolution No. 1 dated 1st June 1920, reducing the number of holidays in Jodhpur to 10 only be reconsidered and urging that at least the number allowed hitherto in the time of the last Ruling Prince be not reduced and that the custom of 8 days' exeat of X'mas which then prevailed be adhered to.

## 13. Resolution.—

Resolved that the recommendation of the Finance Member that the holidays allowed in the time of His late Highness be approved and that C. R. No. 1 dated 1st June 1920, be cancelled.

## 14 READ —

Note dated 29th July 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that at present character rolls for verification are received from the States or from the Commanding Officers of Regiments directly or through the Resident, and they are sent for verification to the I. G. P. That on receiving the letter's reply the information is communicated by the Mehkma-Khas to the Resident or the States concerned. Suggesting that it would save unnecessary delay and correspondence if the I. G. P. were authorised to receive and send replies to such communications direct.

#### 14. Resolution—

Resolved that the suggestion be approved, the Vice-President dissenting. His note of dissent is attached.

#### 15. *READ.*—

Note dated 29th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib recommending that as the weather has considerably improved the working hours of all offices, courts and departments be reverted to the usual time, viz-from 11 a. m. to 5 p. m. with effect from Monday the 2nd August 1920.

#### 15. Resolution —

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.



**Paper No. 14 in the Council Meeting of the 31st July 1920;  
a note by the Revenue Member regarding the verification of character Rolls being returned by  
the Inspector General of Police.**



The only aim sought for in the note is apparently to hurry up the return of the Rolls; and this innovation will open a direct channel of correspondence for the inspector-General of Police with the Resident, Western Rajputana States, and evidently all those offices in British India and other Native States, from which they are received here. To me this seems hardly necessary, as these papers are not of such emergent nature as require such expedition. We had Government lent officers, for our Inspector-General of Police, and even a European officer Mr. Cocks, and they as well, did not think it politic at all to depart from the time honoured routine of correspondence, which hitherto has been between the English Office, Mehkma Khas, and the Resident alone.

Under the circumstances, I can only dissent in the subject.

Sd/- ZALIM SINGH, MAHARAJ,

VICE-PRESIDENT OF COUNCIL,

Mairwar State.

JODHPUR,

Dated the 30th July 1920. }



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 3rd August 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

**1. READ —**

Letter No 2785 dated 21st July 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. to the Senior Member of Council forwarding copies of the following papers regarding acquisition of residential property in British India by the Ruling Princes and Chiefs:—

1. Acquisition of immovable property in British India by Ruling Princes and Chiefs—original summary as proposed by the Foreign and Political Department of the Government of India.
2. Draft of summary as amended by the Princes' Committee in their meeting in September and November 1919 etc.
3. Minutes of the proceedings of a meeting of the Princes' Committee held at Delhi on the 27th January 1920.

Requesting to be informed with Darbar's views in the matter for communication to the Government of India.

**1. Resolution, —**

Resolved that the Resident be informed that the Darbar agree with the views expressed on the subject by the Committee of Princes held on the 27th January 1920.

**2. READ —**

Letter No. 2798 dated 21st July 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S., to the Senior Member of Council, stating that the permanent Committee of Princes appointed to discuss the codification of political practice met at Delhi in January 1920, and considered the summary of the policy of the Government of India regarding the employment in Indian States of European British subjects. British Government servants or pensioners and aliens. Requesting to be informed with the views of the Darbar in the matter for communication to the Government of India.

## 2. Resolution —

Resolved that the Resident be informed that the Durbar agree with the views expressed on the subject by the Committee of Princes held on the 27th January 1920.

### 3. READ.—

Note No. 1485 dated 26th July 1920, by the P. W. Member, stating that the Superintending Engineer submits three alternatives for building the school at Siwana. That the Finance Member, on being referred to, states that out of the 3 alternatives suggested, the first one will be proper and more economical.

## 3. Resolution —

Resolved that the first alternative be approved and the building be constructed accordingly.

### 4. READ—

Note No. 1491 dated 28th July 1920, by the Finance Member stating that a scholarship of Rs. 25/- p.m was granted under the orders of the Council of Regency to Moota Man Mall son of Hastimal for prosecution of studies for the Law Final, for one year and he was made to sign a bond promising to serve the State for a period of five years after completion of his course of study. That Man Mall now submits a representation stating that the L. I. B , Course extends for two years and that the scholarship be granted for full two years and be paid in lump sum for the last year. The Finance Member in submitting the papers to Council for favourable consideration remarks that the applicant has been required to sign an agreement for 5 years and in such cases scholarship has been allowed for full course.

## 4. Resolution,—

Resolved that the applicant be allowed scholarship for the full course of two years.

### 5 READ. —

Note No. 1488 dated 28th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting estimate of Rs. 3,458/- for repairs to the Sambhar Hakumat Building.

## 5. Resolution,—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

### 6. READ —

Note No. 1490, dated 28th July 1920, by the Finance Member regarding payment of Railway Officers' leave allowances in England, stating with reference to C. R. No. 6 dated 27th March 1920, that after correspondence with Messrs. Coutts & Co. in this connection, the latter have submitted two forms of agreements to be entered into. That

agreement No. 1 should be entered into with Messrs. Coutts & Co., as regards those officers who bank with them, and the other No. 2 will have to be entered into with the Banks of those officers who do have account with Messrs. Coutts & Co. The Finance Member remarks that the proposal is fair and convenient and may be approved.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

## 7. READ—

Letter No. E 24/10274 dated 2nd June 1920, from the Acting Manager, J. B. Railway, submitting the following two cases before the Darbar for favourable consideration:—

1. Mr. F. Lean, Chief Foreman, Jodhpur workshops.
2. Mr. A. E. D' Mellow, Store Keeper, J. B. Railway.

Recommending that the former (1) be given a consolidated pay of Rs. 700/- p. m. and that it would assist considerably in dealing with office routine if Mr. Lean was given the Honorary rank of Assistant Loco Foreman, notwithstanding which Mr. Lean would actually still remain Chief Foreman.

That Mr. D' Mellow now drawing Rs. 500/- p. m. may be promoted to Rs 600/- p. m. from 1st June 1920.

## 7. Resolution.—

Resolved that the proposal be approved subject to concurrence of the Bikaner Darbar.

## 8. READ.—

Note No. 1486 dated 26th July 1920, by the Revenue Member recommending Gulab Singh-Jamadar Sardar Kissala being sent to Philour or Moradabad for Police training, and he be given a scholarship of Rs. 70/- p. m. for the period of training and be appointed Police Inspector on his return.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that enquiries be made what actual monthly amount the Jamadar used to receive after deductions of Regimental cuttings.

## 9. READ—

Note No. 1487 dated 27th July 1920, by the Revenue Member recommending abolition of the fee of one anna per maund levied on all Marwar salt sold to traders at the various salt sources, from the commencement of the next financial year.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.



**10. READ—**

Note No. 1492 dated 28th July 1920, by the P. W Member, inquiring with reference to C.R.No.32 dated 1st June 1920, whether any provision is to be made in the next budget for the erection of the old small ice machine at Jaswantpura.

**10. Resolution--**

Resolved that inquiries be made whether the machine is likely to deteriorate by being kept unused so long.

**11. READ.—**

Note No. 1493 dated 28th July 1920, by the Revenue Member, stating that there being no hope of the recovery of the sum of Rs 600/- outstanding against Kala Kishana of village Keselao, P. Bali, the amount may be written off.

**11. Resolution.—**

Resolved that the amount be written off.

**12. READ —**

D O. No 2516 dated 5th July 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S to the Senior Member of Council, intimating that as Mr Leslie Jones has gone on leave, he has arranged that Mr Madden will have charge of Maharaj Sri Ajit Singhji on the same conditions as sanctioned for Mr. Leslie Jones.

**12. Resolution.—**

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

**13. READ.—**

Note No. 1499 dated 29th July 1920, by the Revenue Member, stating that the Superintendent Hawala recommends sanction to 1½ months' privilege leave to Babu Sheonath Sahai, Assistant Superintendent Hawala. That the Auditor of Accounts reports that the leave applied for is due.

**13. Resolution—**

Resolved that the leave applied for be sanctioned.

**14. READ—**

Letter No. 58—2—18, dated 26th July 1920, from the Acting Manager, J. B Railway to the Finance Member regarding rails and fish-plates for the Desuri-Sanderao line and for relaying the main line between Merta Road and Marwar-Bikaner border, stating that the 50 lbs. rails etc. required for the relaying of the remaining portion of 37 miles, the Merta Road Bikaner Border main line may be ordered from Messrs. Randel, Palmer and Tritton, London, as Messrs. Tata were unable to quote rates at present. That the difference for 37 miles still to be ordered was Rs. 3,40,400/-. That as for the Desuri line after using the released rails from the Merta Road Bikaner border section and those from Kuchaman Road Merta Section, they would still require 40 lbs. rails costing 5,56,400/- and for these we may wait till Tata Iron and Steel Work Company can supply.

## 14. Resolution—

Resolved that 50 lbs. rails required for the relaying of Merta Road to Bikaner Border Section be ordered from Messrs. Rendal Palmer and Tritton. As for the 40 lbs. required for the Desuri line, the Manager can wait until Tata's are able to supply them.

## 15. READ.—

Note dated 30th July 1920, by the Revenue Member regarding remission to Anwal Contractors who have suffered a loss of about 35% owing to frost, stating that if a compassionate view is to be taken, a suitable remission may be granted.

## 15. Resolution--

Resolved that a remission of Rs. 35,000/- be given.

## 16. READ,—

Note No. 1505 dated 31st July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding application of Babu Nand Lal, Head Clerk, English Office, requesting six months' leave under rule 2 of the Marwar Leave Rules.

## 16. Resolution.—

Resolved that three months' leave be sanctioned as a special case.

## 17- READ.—

Note dated 31st July 1920, by the Revenue Member, suggesting that in order to efficiently cope with the dacoits who possess better class of rifles, the police should be provided with more efficient weapons, and that with this object in view 30 Henry Martin Rifles, with grooves and 1000 ball cartridges may be obtained for the use of State Police. That the approximate cost of Rs. 3,000/- may be debited to Head 'Purchases' as there is no provision in the Police budget.

## 17. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Resident, W. R. S. be requested to kindly obtain the rifles and ammunition required.

## 18. READ.—

Note No. 1509 dated 2nd August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating the Hakim of Bali reports that an indigenous case has occurred at Bali. That the Residency Surgeon is taking precautions and recommends that arrivals from Bali be kept under surveillance in Jodhpur.

That the Bali Hakim requests the use of the Kissala lines buildings for the Hakumat staff after having the lines white-washed. That he further requests to be supplied with 500 *sirkies* and 2000 bamboos and *moonj* for erecting huts.

That as the Assistant Surgeon, Bali suggests that no persons should be allowed to go out from and come into Bali, the judicial and other work may be stopped till plague subsides.

## 18. Resolution —

Resolved that necessary arrangements be made at Jodhpur for keeping arrivals from Bali under surveillance.

That only some quarters of the Kissala lines be occupied by the Hakumat staff after having them white-washed.

That *sirkies*, bamboos and *moonj* be supplied as requested, the *sirkies* being sent from out-of-the residue remaining after Baiji Lal Sahiba's marriage.

That the judicial work of the Hakumat be stopped for the time being.

## 19. READ—

Note dated 2nd August 1920, by the Revenue Member suggesting that on account of the rise in the cost of living the pay of the Police constables be increased by Rs. 2/- per month from 1st July 1920. That the increase recommended would involve an increase of Rs. 9,000/- during this year and of Rs. 36,000/- in the next official year.

## 19. Resolution—

Resolved that the pay of police constables be increased as recommended.

## 20 READ.—

Note No. 1516 dated 3rd August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding increments of pay in various Departments of the State, excepting the Military suggesting increases on the following scale:—

1. That starting pay of all Police constables to be raised to Rs 12/- p. m.
2. Pay of all whole time servants, now in receipt of less than Rs. 10/- p. m. be raised to Rs. 10/- p. m.
3. Pay of all employees drawing Rs. 11/- upto Rs. 30/- ... .. 40/- percent.
4. Rs. 31/- up to Rs. 50/- ... .. 30/- Do.
- 5/- Rs. 51/- „ „ 100/- ... .. 25/- Do.
6. Rs. 101/- „ „ 200/- ... .. 20/- Do.

7. Cases of those who are in receipt of above Rs. 200/- p. m. may be considered on the merits of each case individually, and that these increases may be given from the 1st of July 1920. That fractions of a rupee will be dropped or taken as a full rupee at the discretion of the Member concerned in each case.

## 20. Resolution.—

Resolved that salaries of whole-time servants of the State be increased on the following scale calculated on pay (excluding allowances) drawn for April 1919, subject to the conditions below mentioned:—

Salary for April 1919.	Percentages.
Rs. 1/- upto Rs. 30/-	35%
Rs. 31/- up to Rs. 50/-	30%
Rs. 51/- upto „ 100/-	25%
Rs. 101/- „ „ 200/-	20%
Rs. 201/- „ „ 600/-	15%

- (I) The minimum wage shall not be less than Rs. 10/- per mensem.
- (II) Employees who have received increments subsequently to April 1919, shall retain them and if their pay together with these increments should be less than they would have been entitled to under the above percentage scale, it shall be raised by that amount
- (III) To minimise anomalies it has been decided that in cases on the diving line between one scale and another (e.g. where a man was drawing slightly more pay than the maximum of the scale immediately below) the Member-in-charge shall have discretion to add any sum upto five rupees in each particular case.
- (IV) Fractions of rupees will count as whole rupees.
- (V) An employee entertained since April 1919, unless engaged on special terms on salaries of Rs. 100/- or more, shall receive percentage increment calculated on the pay of the individual to whose post he succeeded as it stood in April 1919
- (VI) In the case of employees on fixed incremental scales, or graded posts, the general principle of condition (II) above shall apply. Any anomalies which may come to light in this connection should be rectified by Members, if within their powers of sanction. If beyond their powers, sanction of Council may be applied for
- (VII) The increments are to have effect from July 1st 1920.

That increments to constables have been sanctioned under this day's Council Resolution No. 10 above on the note put up by the Member-in-charge.

The Finance Member is instructed to place the necessary funds at the disposal of Members of Council who will inform him of their requirements and the amount of extra grants needed for the budgets of their respective departments.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH,  
Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON,  
Sd. SUKHDEO.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH,  
Sd. MANGAL SINGH,



# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 6th August 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON C. I. E., I. A.,
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB B.A., C. I. E.,

## 1. READ.—

Note No. 1514/Edu-13 dated 3rd August 1920, by the Finance Member submitting his remarks on the resolution of the committee of Sardars appointed to consider measures for the improvement of the Chopasni Rajput Schools.

## 1. Resolution —

Resolved that the views of the Finance Member with which the Council is in general accord, be sent to the committee of Sardars for their information to enable them, should they so desire, to put forward a further representation.

## 2. READ—

Estimate of Rs. 1,029/- with plan, submitted by the Superintending Engineer for the construction of a new type of Customs Chouki at Bankli, Put up by the P. W. Member for orders.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and included the next year's budget.

## 3. READ—

Note No. 1502 dated 29th July 1920, by the P. W. Member, stating with reference to C. R. No. 3 dated 10th February 1920, that the Manager, J. B. Railway on being referred to informs that the P. W. D. boilers have always been examined by the J. B. Railway boilers Foreman and they can do so at any time on 3 days' notice.

That the Secretary, Government of Bombay (Mr. J. C. Kar) intimates that their Inspector can do the work on payment of certain charges by the Jodhpur Darbar.

That the Superintending Engineer is of opinion that the J. B. Railway Foreman can do the job cheaply, but on the other hand Government inspection is more reliable and relieves him of great responsibility.

### 3. Resolution —

Resolved that the work of inspecting P. W. D. boilers be done by the J. B. Railway, Foreman as hitherto.

### 4. READ.—

Letter No. nil dated 2nd August 1920, from the Chief Judge to the Vice-President Sahib, stating that as requested by the I. G. P. he cannot tender pardon to head constable, Lakhey Khan as the case does not fall in the category of section 337 and hence special sanction of Council is necessary.

### 4. Resolution—

Resolved that sanction to pardon Lakhey Khan be given as requested.

### 5. READ—

Note No 1513 dated 3rd August 1920, by the Revenue Member regarding a further remission of Rs. 21/6 to Mother Superior Ferdinand of Covenant School Ajmere, on account of royalty on a piece of marble from the Makrana marble quarries.

### 5. Resolution—

Resolved that remission be granted.

### 6. READ—

Note No. 1498/E 94 A dated 29th July 1920, by the Vice-President regarding 3 months' leave without pay applied for by Munshi Bidhram Hakim, Pali. That the Auditor of Accounts certifies that the leave was admissible.

### 6. Resolution—

Resolved that three months' leave without pay be sanctioned.

### 7. READ—

Note No. 1506/H. O. dated 31st July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Hakim of Sambhar requests that the pay of Hakumat Sowars, deputed with the Amins of the Haisyat Court and the Court of Wards for recovery of State dues, be charged to the Thikanas concerned.

### 7. Resolution—

Resolved that a list be called for from all Hakumats giving average number of Sowars deputed on such errands annually.

8. *READ—*

Note No. 1504 dated 30th July 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that the I G.P. reports that the Jagirdar of Mandavla, his son and others are liable to be charged under Sections 392 and 342, for trespassing in Dan Mall's house and causing him grievous hurt of which he died. That as the Thakur is a Tazimi Jagirdar necessary orders may be given for the Jagirdar and his Patvi Kuar.

8. *Resolution—*

Resolved that action be taken according to orders passed in a similar case vide C. R. No. 1 dated 22nd October 1913.

9. *READ—*

Letter No. 2869 dated nil from the Resident W. R. S. to the Senior Member of Council regarding rates of daily allowance to Col. R. E. A. Hamilton, inquiring whether the Darbar are prepared to agree to the terms noted below, being made applicable in the case of Col. Hamilton instead of those proposed by the Regency Council (C R No 5 dated 8th June 1920).

- (1) Travelling allowance according to C. S. R. for journeys by rail.
- (2) When travelling on State duty beyond the limits of State, travelling allowance for rail journeys according to C. S. R. and expenses of actual residence on duty at such places as Bombay and Delhi

9. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the Resident be informed in reply that the Darbar agree to the application of the terms suggested in the case of Col. R. E. A. Hamilton.

10. *READ—*

Note No. 1507/8. 55A dated 31st July 1920, by the Revenue Member regarding conveyance allowance to Babu Devi Dayal Assistant Superintendent, Court of Wards.

Also read note No. 1320/55 A dated 14th May 1920, regarding allowance to the Superintendent Court of Wards and his Assistant Thakur Ugam Singh.

10. *Resolution—*

Resolved that Babu Devi Dayal be paid his conveyance allowance at 40/- p. m. up to the date of his demise, and that Thakur Nathu Singh of Ras and Thakur Ugam Singh, his Assistant be given conveyance allowance of Rs. 50/- and 40/- p. m. respectively.



## 11. READ—

Application dated 21st July 1920, of the Secretary Arya Samaj to H. H. The Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur requesting that prostitutes should be ordered to be removed to some solitary part of the city instead of living in its very centre.

## 11. Resolution—

Resolved that papers be referred to the Consultative Committee for opinion.

## 12. READ—

Note No. 1484 dated 26th July 1920, by the Revenue Member suggesting certain proposals regarding rates of sale and auction of liquor and its control in the interior and on the border shops.

## 12. Resolution,—

Resolved that the proposals be approved, as also the rate of one rupee per *chhata* bottle.

## 13. READ.—

Application dated 7th July 1920, of Josi Deokaran to H. H. The Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur stating that some decrees have been given against him by the Civil Court which he can only pay according to his circumstances That the Civil Court have ordered to put his house and *Nora* to auction in execution of the decrees, and that by so doing all the honors granted by the Darbar will be lost.

## 13. Resolution,—

Resolved that the Vice-President be asked to inquire into the applicant's present circumstances and to put up papers again with his views.

## 14. READ.—

Note No. 1519 dated 4th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting his scheme for the formation of an ornamental park and fruit garden within the Jubilee Courts' compound.

## 14. Resolution—

Resolved that the whole work be placed in charge of the P. W. Member who should go into all the details and submit his report with estimate early. That it would be better to plant fruit trees in pits (Golras) during the rainy season

## 15. READ—

Letter No. 43 C. B. dated 3rd June 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. to the Senior Member regarding the Takhtabad villages under dispute.

Also read Note dated 27th July 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that the Takhtabad villages were not of the Bhomichara tenure and that under the circumstances he would advise the Council to appeal to the Resident and the Hon'ble the Agent to the Governor-General that in this case the vital question is one of tenure and requires more careful investigation, and it should be entrusted to the Darbar's Settlement Commissioner who is expected shortly and no final decision be given until that inquiry has been completed. That before the settlement of tenure and of the amount of tribute to be enhanced within a reasonable period, following action in the meanwhile may be taken after obtaining the Resident's approval:—

- (1) Pending final decision half the collected revenues of the villages after deducting the cost of collection be given to the applicants, who may be associated in future collections.
- (2) The applicant be informed that the nature of the tenure will be decided after a careful inquiry in the coming winter and the amount of enhanced Raj dues will be announced.

## 15. Resolution —

Resolved that the Resident be addressed on the lines suggested by the Revenue Member.

## 16. READ.—

Applications from the Kamdars of Maharaj Sri Bijey Singhji Sahib and Maji Sri Tuarji Sahiba, the latter requesting that her allowance may be paid direct from the Treasury, and the former that ornaments worth Rs.20,000/- now in possession of the Maji, may be handed over to him and a widow's ornaments only be allowed to her. Put up by H. H. The Maharaja Regent Sahib.

## 16. Resolution—

Resolved that an undertaking may be taken from the lady to keep the ornaments intact and her allowance may be paid her direct. The Vice-President refrained from voting.

## 17. READ.—

Letter No. 2952 dated 3rd August 1920, from the Resident W. R. S. intimating that the Government of India have sanctioned the loan of services of Mr. D. L. Drake Brockman I. C. S. to the Jodhpur State for a period of two years for employment as Revenue Member, Regency Council and Settlement Officer Marwar State on the following terms:—

- (1) Salary at the rate to which he will from time to time be entitled under the time scale of Indian Civil Service.
- (2) A deputation allowance at the rate of Rs. 200/- p m.
- (3) T. A. and leave according to the Civil Service Regulations.

- (4) The use of a Motor Car.
- (5) A rent free house simply furnished.
- (6) Leave and pension contributions according to C. S. R. to be paid by the Darbar.

That on his joining the appointment the attention of Mr. Drake Brockman be drawn to the instructions contained in Art. 773 to 776 of C. S. R.

## 17. Resolution —

Resolved that the fact be noted.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.

*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar Dated 8th August 1920,*

**P R E S E N T .**

HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA REGENT SAHIB BAHADUR.

MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.

COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.

RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.

RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB B. A., C. I. E.

**1. READ--**

Proceedings of the Court of Enquiry assembled at the office of the 1st and 2nd Regiments Sardar Rissala on August 2nd 1920, by order of the Council of Regency dated 31st August 1920, for the purpose of enquiring into certain grievances alleged to exist amongst the personnel of the two Regiments, and also to enquire into any other cognate matter which the Court may consider fit to deal with (Copy of report attached)

Also read His Highness the Regent Sahib's note on the subject for free rations and the demobilization of the 'C' Squadron.

**Resolution.**

Resolved that the following orders be passed on the various complaints put forward by the Rissala men.

It is due to the failure on the part of the deceased to nominate heir or death of heir and to many uncertainties that existed.

The procedure should ordinarily be that the Commanding Officers report to the Military Member who would communicate the matter to the Council.

- II. This is a mistaken complaint as admitted by the Committee.
- III. It is an unreasonable demand as admitted by the Committee.
- IV. This claim cannot be upheld; but the Council will concede that individual claims may still be admitted, and if proof is adduced of incapacity due to sickness etc. the invalid gratuity may still be awarded. Commanding Officers to take up cases.
- V. Regimental order of 2-1-15 order books to be sent for and examined.

( See order at the end. )

Appeals to be allowed in all cases of near dependant relations. The Marwar Soldiers' Board may be informed of this complaint and asked to look into and especially to investigate the list of cases reported to the Committee.

- VII. Similar action be taken as in VI above.
- VIII. Extract No. F. quoted has not the remotest connection with Rekh and Chakri dues. Council cannot imagine how such an idea could have arisen. They note, however, that the Committee do not suggest that there is any foundation for such a claim
- IX. This complaint is unfounded.
- X. The Resident has been addressed on the subject but no reply yet received.
- XI. This is very similar to No. VIII. Council has no knowledge of any promise having been held out.
- XII. These bills were under preparation. The Courts' recommendation is approved.
- XIII. The Council concur.
- XIV. The Committee's recommendation be approved (See remarks under (1) above)
- XV. Council concur. (Unreasonable complaint).
- XVI. Council concur
- XVII. The question necessitates further deliberation. The papers regarding grant of pension to Rissala be sent for and the Officers of the Committee now in Jodhpur be asked to attend next Council to discuss matter (see order at the end).
- XVIII. The Council agree that on account of high prices, free rations be granted.
- XIX. No order has been passed to charge to men the cost of the new uniform. The Council accepts the Courts views.
- XX. Groundless insinuation.
- XXI. The Council agree with the Committee.
- XXII. Committee's recommendation approved

Part III of  
the report.

The number of grievances, brought before the Court of Enquiry, was greatly in excess of the original complaints, and out of these no less than ten were found, on examination to be utterly groundless by the Court which has recommended their rejection. However, to allay the present unrest which has arisen to a great extent from misunderstandings and ill-advice, the Council have decided to approve the Court's recommendations. In regard to the grant of free rations, the discontinuance of which was the principal cause of the outbreak, it must be remembered that the concession was made by the late Darbar in compliance with a suggestion from his Excellency the Viceroy conveyed in his Kharita dated 24th March 1917. The suggestion

was that free rations should be allowed for the period of War only. On the return of the Regiment from the War, Council accordingly asked for and obtained a ruling from the Government of India through the Inspecting Officer as to the date from which the free rations ought to be stopped. The Inspectig Officer in his letter No. 768 dated 13th March 1920 recommended that, although War concessions under the Supreme Govenment's ruling were to cease from the date on which the Lancers completed their assembly at their Peace Station Jodhpur yet these orders might be given effect to from the 1st April 1920. But a further period for four months was allowed. This question properly speaking, has been worked up on the plea of high prices that are still ruling. The Council were alive to the fact that some increment was necessary but they naturally desired time to examine the question carefully as it involved substantial increases to recurring expenditure.

With this object in view, *viz*, that the simultaneous stoppage of all War concessions should not cause a sudden drop in the Rissala's pay and allowances:—

1. The increase in pay granted for the period of the War to the non-commissioned officers and *sowars* was still continued till the question of increasing their pay should be finally settled.
2. On account of grain dearth any excess over 3/8/- in the feeding charges were to be paid by the Darbar and this excess came to Rs. 8/- per man.

The next point is the demobilization of the 'C' Squadron.

With regard to this, the Council maintain that the order for its demobilization was perfectly justified. It was in keeping with the spirit of the orders of the Supreme Government on whose representation this increase was temporarily made vide Resident's letter No. 4640 of the 17th October 1914.

This Squadron was raised for the War. With the termination of the War, its raison d'être, clearly vanished. It was in every way a right order. In the Court of Enquiry two British Officers of mature experience were associated. They appear to share the conclusion that the recent behaviour of the men was not under the circumstances such as to call for very serious notice.

In reference to their views the Council, while approving the recommendation of the Court, feel constrained to place on record that the Darbar is now being compelled by force measure to agree to a demand which strikes at the root of military discipline.

They can not but entertain the gravest apprehension for the future unless a proper sense of loyalty and discipline can be reinstalled into this corps.

The Council thank the officers of the Court of Enquiry for the careful report which they have submitted and trust that the officers of the I. S. Troops will continue their efforts to improve the discipline and spirit of loyalty amongst the men, and bring them back to a right sense of duty towards their Darbar.

The practice crept in during the War and is being reduced to a minimum. The Council approves Court's views.

Council meeting dated 10th August 1920, Regarding point XVII above.

The Committee have not been able to uphold this complaint as the conditions of service in the Jodhpur Lancers are admittedly totally different but they have recommended that pensions be granted 5 years earlier. The Council are not prepared to concede any alteration of the Pension Rules which were introduced in 1914 and confirmed by His Highness the late Maharaja in October, 1916

They, moreover, consider that a man's usefulness as a soldier does not diminish until his fortieth year and in most cases a man retiring under the 20th years' rule is less than 40 years of age. They will make a special concession in favour of all officers and men who proceeded on Active Service. The period or periods from the date of embarkation at a port for any theatre of the Great War until date of his return to Jodhpur shall count as double for the purposes of pension.

Council Meeting dated 13th August 1920, Regarding point V above.

The Council has not power to make permanent alienations of land during minority, nor would they feel justified in recommending such permanent grants of land, to the Darbar when he comes of age. The Council, however, to remove any sense of grievance on account of this unauthorized promise will make the same money grants to the heirs of all officers and men killed and to officers and men who have been wounded as granted by the British Government.

(Sd) PRATAP SINGH.

(Sd) ZALIM SINGH.

(Sd) R. E. A. HAMILTON.

(Sd) MANGAL SINGH.

(Sd) SUKHDEO.

# PROCEEDINGS OF A COURT OF ENQUIRY.

*Assembled at the Office of the 1st and 2nd Sardar Rissala Jodhpur.*

**On August 2nd 1920.**

BY ORDER OF THE COUNCIL OF REGENCY OF MARWAR.

For the purpose of enquiring into certain grievances alleged to exist amongst personnel of the two Regiments, also to enquire into any other cognate matter which the Court may consider fit to deal with.

## P R E S I D E N T .

Major R. W. W. GRIMSHAW, Inspecting Officer, Raj, I. S. Cavalry & Transport.

## M E M B E R S .

Captain H F P. HORNEBY, M. C., Assistant Inspecting Officer.

Col. Maharaj SHER SINGH, A. D. C., Commandant 2nd Sardar Rissala.

Col. Thakur PRATAP SINGH, C. R. E. Commandant 1st Sardar Rissala.

Witness

The court having assembled proceed to take evidence. Risaldar Chatter-sal Singh, 1st Regiment Sardar Rissala being examined states:—

At Jodhpur on July 29th 1920, about 10 a. m. on conclusion of stables, and when all the officers had dispersed to their houses, I heard that eight or nine men of the Second Regiment had come over to the First Regiment, and, distributing themselves amongst the squadron had told the man on their oath that the men of 'C' squadron, Second Regiment were about to be sent to jail and that they urgently required the assistance of their comrades in the First Regiment. Thereupon all the N C Os and men of the First Regiment left the lines and started off in the direction of the Second Regiment.

As this was occurring, Risaldar Sher Singh and myself were having our meal in the mess. It was brought to my notice that the men were proceeding to the line of the Second Regiment so we got up and asked them why they were doing this and attempted to dissuade them from going. They paid no attention so I at once reported the matter to the acting Commandant, Capt. Anop Singh who having collected the officers proceeded with them after the men, when we caught them up Captain Anop Singh ordered us to fall in with our squadrons and to find out what the trouble was. The men paid no attention to us, we then tried to separate the men of the two regiments who were all mixed up, but this also failed.

Some N.C.O. told the men not to pay any attention to their Officers and that Hindus and Mahomedans who did not help their comrades in 'C' squadron would be branded as eaters of cows, pigs respectively. Captain Anop Singh then said if you will not obey orders then select delegates to come to me and state your grievances. No one came forward except Dafadar, Shaitan Singh who explained that alone he could do nothing. Captain Anop Singh then said I would like to address you, believe what I say or not as you like, but the men started talking and would not listen.



At this juncture the Officers of the 1st Regiment joined up with those of the Second. Captain Anop Singh then asked the men of the First Regiment why they had come to the Second Regiment: had they any complaints or grievances and if so, to state them. No reply was vouchsafed. Captain Anop Singh then moved away with the rest of the Officers which proceeding was greeted with clapping of hands by the men. Captain Anop Singh then went to the house of Major Aman Singh, Second Regiment, the Senior Officer on the spot, related to him what was happening and asked him to come at once. He did so. As he approached the men, the sound of a whistle was heard and the men moved away in a body. Major Aman Singh called for N. C. Os whereupon two came back. They said that amongst other things, the mustering out of 'C' squadron of the 2nd Regiment, discontinuance of free ration, and failure to grant pay and pensions as laid down for Troops in British Indian Regiment, had precipitated the disturbances.

Major Aman Singh then said- "Put your grievances on paper but do not stop work". No attention was paid to this appeal but the N. C. Os. did say that when their requests were acceded to they would return to duty. On this another blast on a whistle was heard and the men moved still further away.

A messenger was then sent to Colonel Maharaj Sher Singh, who at once came in his motor. He asked the men to gather round his car and state their grievances but they refused to move. He then went over to the men who remained seated and did not move or saluted. Major Aman Singh remonstrated with the men whereupon they stood up.

Colonel Maharaj Sher Singh again asked the men what their grievances were, pointing out at the same time the foolishness of their present action. The men replied that they had represented their case many times to their Commanding Officers, the Council and finally the Resident, but nothing had come of their repeated representation. They again reiterated the grievances already alluded to. Colonel Maharaj Sher Singh promised to lay them before the Council at once.

After that Colonel Maharaj Sher Singh accompanied by Captain Anop Singh proceeded to the Maharaja Regent Sahib and the men remained outside their lines awaiting the reply. This was at about 12 noon. The men behaved in an orderly manner and there was no incitement to violence.

The evidence is read over to the witness and acknowledged by him to be correct.

The witness withdraws.

Question 1.

Question by the President to Colonel Maharaj Sher Singh.

What is the significance of witness's statement that the men of 'C' squadron Second Regiment were about to be imprisoned.

Answer 1.

I cannot say. I know of no cause or action or statements made by any-one to warrant such a rumour being circulated.

Question 2.

Question by the President to Colonel Maharaj Sher Singh.

Would you kindly relate to the other members of the court your actions and movements after you returned to the Maharajah Regent Sahib.

Answer 2

At 1--30 p. m. I and Anop Singh returned with a verbal communication from the Maharaja Regent Sahib to the effect that he had lost faith in the Rajputs, that he would grant their requests for the time being, but that their prospect in future would be marred as he would enlist foreigners in their places. Then men replied that they wanted no verbal communications and that they would only accept written one signed by all the Members of Council and endorsed by the Resident. I then returned to the Maharaja Regent Sahib who said that nothing could be done until the next day when the Council would meet you; in the meanwhile he hoped the men would return to duty. I returned to the line with this message but the men refused to return to duty. This occurred about 6 p. m. I again returned to His Highness who directed me to see the Resident, as both the Inspecting Officers were absent from Jodhpur. He was out so I returned to the Regent. At about 8 p. m. hearing that the Resident had returned, the Maharaja Regent Sahib and myself went to see him. After discussing the situation the Resident Sahib decided to visit the men accompanied by me. His Highness suggested coming too but the Resident Sahib persuaded him not to do so on the grounds that violence might be offered.

When we arrived we found the men asleep where I had left them at 6 p. m. I woke them up saying the Resident Sahib wished to speak to them. The Resident Sahib asked them what their grievances were. The men replied that they had written many times but no notice had been taken. I then said, make whatever complaints you wish. Duttadar Tej Singh 1st Regiment then presented a petition (exhibit A).

The Resident having considered it promised to take cognisance of their complaints, pointed out the differences between their conditions of service and that prescribed for troops of the British Indian Army and exhorted them to return to their lines. The men began to go back but some one, so soon as the Resident Sahib and myself had departed, told them not to return to duty until the promises were recorded in writing, and all remained out the entire night.

On the morning of the 30th. I and Captain Anop Singh attended the Council Meeting at 11 a. m. The Resident Sahib was present. I received a copy of an order from the Council (exhibit B) to be communicated to the men. I went to the lines and read it out but the men demanded to see a signed copy endorsed by the Resident Sahib, I had got no such copy with me. I returned to the Council but it had dispersed. I found the Maharaja Regent Sahib to whom I related what had happened saying that the men demanded immediate action. In the afternoon Pandit Sukhdeo Prasad, Revenue Member, together with Lt. Col. Mul Singh, Commandant of the Bikaner Doongar Lancers and late officer in the Sardar Rissala, Poona Horse, and 26th Cavalry, addressed the men and endeavoured to persuade them to return to duty. They said they would do so when they received the signed

document already alluded to. The Pandit then took a *sowar* with him and sent back by the *sowar* a copy of the Council order bearing his signature. The men refused to accept this and again remained out all night.

On the 31st at 11 a. m. a messenger came to my house bearing a petition from the men (exhibit C) which demanded three concessions. If these were acceded to, over the signature of the Members of Council and endorsed by the Resident the men agreed to return to duty. I took the petition to the Council which then drafted exhibit D. which was signed by the Members and endorsed by the Resident. I then took the paper to the lines, read it out and gave it to the men. The men having heard it agreed to its terms and returned to duty. This was at about 3 p. m.

I saw no actual acts of violence or incitement thereto, but one N. C. O. did warn me that if H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib came to the lines I had better not accompany him.

I asked why and he replied that violence might be offered.

The evidence is read over to the witness who acknowledges it to be correct.

3rd witness.

Risaldar Major Hem Singh, 2nd Regiment Sardar Rissala being examined states:—

I am at present C squadron Commander. At a recent Council Meeting, it was decided that this squadron should be disbanded. This caused considerable feeling and, on the 28th July, a deputation of about 50 men awaited to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib at his house. There was only one N. C. O. in the deputation. I tried to stop the men going whilst I reported the matter to the Commanding Officer but they would not listen to me. They had an interview with His Highness who I understand said that if they were not satisfied they could be transferred to other State troops. The deputation then returned to the lines and I met them on the way. They were behaving in an orderly manner. I took four of them before Maharaj Sher Singh who enquired what they wanted. They complained that injustice had been done to them at a recent committee on their kit and that if they were discharged or transferred they demanded six month's salary. Maharaj Sher Singh told them to return to the lines and that he would bring their case to the notice of His Highness the next morning when he visited the lines as was his custom. They returned to the lines.

The next morning 29th the whole of C squadron except the N. C. Os absented themselves from stables. When the Commanding Officer came down I reported this to him and fell in all the men in front of him. The men then said that if C squadron were disbanded they all wanted to go at once. The Commanding Officer then said that this could not be arranged but that 64 men had been struck off the strength of the Regiment from different squadrons. Certain other men of C squadron who had absented themselves from stables and who wished to take their discharge, on being asked by Maharaj Sher Singh why they were absent, one of them Nand Singh replied that Major Aman Singh had told them that all C squadron were to go.

Maharaj Sher Singh then sent for Major Aman Singh ordered Nand Singh to be confined in the guard room for telling a falsehood. About five minutes later all the above mentioned men who had been absent from stables moved across to the quarter guard with the apparent object of releasing Nand Singh, Colonel Maharaj Sher Singh cautioned them that their action might lead to bloodshed so they desisted. The Commandant then left the lines (about 10 a.m.) about half an hour later same party returned to the quarter guard where shortly afterwards they were joined by many men of the first Regiment. The men of the second Regiment then released Nand Singh in spite of the protests of the Guard Commander. Captain Thakur Guman Singh then protested with the men at their action in releasing Nand Singh so the men put him back in custody.

About this time for some reason or other the "fall in" was sounded and both Regiments congregated as a crowd by the or guard of the second Regiments where the officers of that Regiment were assembled getting ready the pay. It was at this moment that the officers of the first Regiment mentioned by Risaldar Chattersal Singh came over. The remainder of my evidence with regard to the order of events which followed as is given by that officer.

Question 3

By the Court.

Is the narrative of events in Col. Maharaj Sher Singh's evidence subsequent to his first visit to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib, and which I have read over to you, in accordance with what you yourself have heard or witnessed?

Answer 3

Yes.

Question 4.

Do you know that certain men demanded the key of the Magazine.

Answer 4.

Yes. The magazine was opened by order of Jamadar Bhir Singh wished to obtain the weighing machine which was kept there. As soon as this had been produced the magazine was locked up again and Jamadar Bhir Singh asked the key-keeper, L. Dafadar Onar Singh, to make the key over to him. Onar Singh did this and Jamadar issued him a receipt for same. This took place at about 10 a. m. 31st July.

The evidence is read over to the witness who acknowledges it to be correct.

The witness withdrawn.

4th witness.

1143 Dafadar Sagram Singh being examined states:—

I was Commander of the guard 2nd Regiment on July 29th where Nand Singh was made over to my custody by order of the Commandant. About 20 minutes later some thirty men of C. squadron approached the guard and said they would take Nand Singh away with them. I remonstrated with them, but they released him.

Captain Guman Singh then appeared on the scene. He also remonstrated with the men so they put Nand Singh back again. The evidence is read over to the witness who acknowledges it to be correct.

The witness withdrawn.

## P A R T I I

The Court proceed to examine the complaints enumerated below of the rank and file of both Regiments.

In obtaining these complaints the Court adopted the following procedure. The President having assembled the personnel of both Regiments (excluding the officers) asked the personnel to nominate two N. C. Os and two *sewants* from each Regiment as delegates to voice the grievances of the men.

Units could also delegate ten men each to be present throughout the sittings of the Court.

Complaint 1 Failure on the part of the Durbar to pay family pension to the heirs of certain personnel, killed in Action and died of disease.

From enquiry the Court find that in a few cases, owing to failure to nominate an heir on the death of heirs, uncertainty exists as to who should receive the money. The matter is under reference to the Civil authorities.

The Court recommend that the Commandants of both Regiments submit a report to the Inspecting Officer by 1st January 1921, showing how many cases are still outstanding.

Complaint 2 Failure to pay a gratuity of Rs. 100/- to all ranks, or their heirs on return from Active service.

The Court examine a recruiting poster circulated widely during the War and find that above claim is based on a wrong interpretation of a certain paragraph. The mistake was explained to the delegates who agreed that they had made a mistake.

Complaint 3 That the one year's pay granted to the heirs of officers is not applicable to other ranks.

The Court cannot uphold this claim any more than a claim for equal pay all round

Complaint 4 That certain personnel who returned from Active service and who were passed fit for Report duty, subsequently voluntarily resigned owing to ill health. The State has failed to pay these men the Rs. 50/- laid down in Md. Qr. Order No. 36 dated 12th October 1916.

The Court find that this order laid down the gratuity of Rs. 50/- for all those invalided out by a Medical Board. The Medical Officer who was at the Depot during the War being called into the Court states that every facility was offered to the men to appear before the Boards which were held every three months, but that a number of individuals did take their discharge without appearing before the Boards. Under the circumstances the Court are of opinion that the claim cannot be upheld.

Complaint 5 That His Highness the Regent promised to grant 500 bighas of land with a well to the heirs of those killed in Action and 500 bighas of land without a well to those wounded, and that the promise has been broken.

In support of this claim the delegates produce Regimental Order No: 1 dated witter resse 2nd January 1915, which reads as follows:—

“His Highness the Maharajah Regent Sahib Bahadur Sir Partap Singh has ordered that the heirs of those men killed in Action will receive one well and that those who get wounds will get a field of Sawnoo land.”

The Court do not feel competent to adjudicate on this point but invite His Highness' attention to the order quoted.

Complaint  
6

That many civil cases were given against families of men on Active service.

The Court are of opinion that they cannot accept any evidence on this point but strongly recommend that every facility should be afforded to lodge appeals

Complaint  
7

That during the absence of the Regiment many of our relatives died from influenza and plague. Our property was seized by thieves, our cattle auctioned by the local Civil authorities and the proceeds of the auction have never been received by us.

The delegates have submitted the attached list (exhibit E.) of families who experienced the above hardship and the court recommends that the Darbar institute enquiries.

Complaint  
8

That “Rekh, Chakri” which has been in abeyance, has been again levied The delegated claim exemption for those who were on service with the Sardar Rissala.

The Court do not feel competent to deal with this matter, but with reference to this complaint and complaints 6 and 7 the Court would draw attention to exhibit which is a translation of an extract from a recruiting poster widely circulated by the Durbar during the War.

Complaint  
9

That Field *bhatta* has been computed on our dismounted pay instead of on our mounted pay.

As this is the procedure adopted in British Indian Units the Court consider the delegates have no case.

Complaint  
10

That no monetary rewards be paid with those medals granted by the British Government to which monetary rewards are attached This matter is under consideration by the Government of India and orders will be issued in due course. The Court are of opinion that some decision should be given at an early date

Complaint  
11

That the period the Regiment was on Active service shall be counted double towards pension as promised.

The Court are unable to discover any evidence to support this claim and must therefore disallow it.

Complaint  
12

That the War gratuity and Field Batta should be paid for the rank held whether it be permanent, acting or temporary. At present it has not been so paid.

The Court uphold this complaint as justifiable and direct that *bhatta* bills be prepared accordingly and be submitted to Government subject to any special restrictions prescribed by Government.

Complaint.  
12

That pay has not been given for permanent, acting, or temporary rank held in the field by N. C. Os for the period such rank was held. The Court consider the complaint justified. Attached (exhibit C) shows the amount dues to personnel under this head and the money should be paid at an early date.

In this connection the Court would point out that any excess over normal expenditure can be claimed by the Durbar from the Government of India.

Complaint  
14.

That certain allowances and increments, sanctioned by the Commanding Officer in the Field, for specialist etc. (e.g. Farriers Signallers etc.) have, owing to non-confirmation by the Darbar been disallowed. The Court find that detailed information on this point is not available and that it will take some time to prepare same. The Court, therefore, recommend that the evidence be collected and when ready a report be made to the Inspecting Officer when a Committee will be ordered to enquire into the matter.

Complaint.  
15

That acting and temporary promotion made in the field has not been made permanent.

The Court cannot uphold this complaint and recommend that the procedure followed with British Indian Units be adopted.

Complaint  
16

That men who received temporary and acting rank in the Field are not permitted to draw the pension of that rank.

The Court recommend that the procedure laid down by the Government of India for dealing with this matter in British Indian Units be observed. The procedure being a guide only for rulings as to eligibility for pension not the sums, to be paid which shall follow those prescribed for the Sardar Rissala.

Complaint  
17

That the pension rules in force for British Indian Units are not made applicable to us.

The Court cannot uphold this complaint. The conditions of service are totally different. The Court, however, recommend that the pensions now in force for the N. C. Os and men might be granted five years earlier, viz at 15 and 20 years respectively, and this have effect from the date of return of Regiment to Jodhpur.

Complaint  
18.

That free rations hitherto granted during the War have been suspended.

The Court proceed to examine the pay and cuttings of the rank and file and find that under the rules which do not provide free rations, but allowing for the recent increase of pay, until the Assamis are fully paid up, the following amount remains to the different ranks.

Sowars	L. Duffadars.	Duffadars
Rs. 6-4-0	12-12-6	18-0-0

At the present herrick rates about Rs: 8/- must be added to above for compensation for dearness of provisions (old standing order) making above sums respectively Rs. 14/4/0/- Rs. 20/12/6/- and Rs. 26/0/0/- Out of this sum the man has to feed himself. The present cost of the standard ration is Rs. 11/8/0/- consequently if free rations are abolished, the lowest grade young soldier gets Rs. 2/12/0 only an insufficient sum bit to ensure content.

If free rations are drawn the young soldier gets Rs. 2/12/0 plus Rs. 3/8/0 or Rs. 6/4/0.

It is an accepted principle in British Indian Cavalry Regiments (Silladar) that the young soldier cannot carry on with less than Rs. 6/- per mensem as pocket money. Further that it is better to give the food in kind than money to buy it. Finally with regard to the alternative of money or free rations the following points must be borne in mind: —

(a) Free rations are not drawn on leave.

(b) On active service Government grant free rations. It would be a difficult thing to withdraw monetary grant once it was given.

(c) The price of rations may fall.

The men do not ask for a monetary equivalent to free rations.

The court are, therefore, of opinion that free rations should be given.

Complaint.  
19.

That the rank and file will be put to great expense, if the Regiment goes to Delhi for the Princes' visit.

The Court understand that the State will bear the whole expense of the visit, if not it certainly should. For minor expenses the Court recommend a grant of Rs. 5/- per man.

Complaint.  
20.

That the Durbar has failed to distribute the amount received from the British Government to celebrate auspicious days.

The Court examined the delegates on this point but failed to elicit what sum of money they allude to as nothing is known to the court on the matter.

Complaint.  
21.

That the leave roster is no longer kept up and that furlough is withheld.

The court are of opinion that this matter must be left to the discretion of Commanding Officers and pointed out to delegates that this was a matter that would take some months to adjust.

Complaint.  
22.

That personnel eligible for pension is not first discharged before reducing men extra to the establishment (b). That the claims for all men for pension are not fully examined before discharge. The Court are of opinion that (a) must be left to the decision of Commanding Officers subject to last para of part III. (b) All claims are examined.

Complaint.  
23

That we are made to perform all kinds of work which does not pertain to the duties of a soldier.

The Court are aware that a certain amount of this work created during War and the custom thus established has continued.

The Court are of opinion that this kind of work should be reduced to a minimum as it seriously interferes with the Military duties but the Court feel sure that the men are not called upon to do anything derogatory to their position



## P A R T. 111

## General observations of the Court.

The Court whilst deploring the recent incidents are of opinion that the immediate cause of the disturbances revealed by the evidence was due to the recent Council decisions.

(1) Suspension of free rations.

(2) Abolition of C squadron 2nd Regiment.

A contributing cause was failure by the authorities to redress certain minor grievances which have been in existence for a considerable period.

With regard to (1) The Court has recorded its recommendations.

With regard to (2) The Court are of opinion that the order should be rescinded and that the necessary reductions be spread over all seven squadrons by accepting voluntary resignations only and that the question of abolishing C. squadron be postponed to a more favourable opportunity

In order to accelerate the reductions considered necessary recruiting might be suspended as a temporary measure.

(Sd.) R. W. GRIMSHAW, MAJOR,	President.
(Sd.) H. F. P. HORNSBY, CAPTAIN.	} Members.
(Sd.) T. PRATAP SINGH. COLONEL	
(Sd.) M. SHER SINGH. COLONEL	

# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency, Raj Marwar, dated 10th August 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. F.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.,

## *1. READ —*

Note No. 1522 dated 5th August 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting estimate of Rs. 2,681/- for certain additions and repairs to the dispensary building at Jalore.

## *1. Resolution,—*

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and included in next year's budget.

## *2. READ —*

Endorsement No. 1520 dated 4th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib on the application of the widow of late Maharaj Mohobat Singhji Sahib to the Resident, Western Rajputana States, requesting that her monthly allowance be paid to her direct and not through Maharaj Bijey Singhji Sahib.

## *2. Resolution —*

Resolved that the papers be filed, as orders for direct payment have already been passed vide C. R. No. 16 dated 6th August 1920.

## *3. READ.—*

Note No. 1521 dated 4th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding succession of Dan Singh to Simrath Singh, late Jagirdar of Unavra Pargana Phalodi.

## *3. Resolution —*

Resolved that a list be called for showing Ajivkas of all the Chhutbhaies together with the names of villages in which such Ajivkas are held by them

## 4. READ—

Note No. 5458 dated 22nd July 1920, by the Auditor of Accounts put up by the Finance Member suggesting certain amendments to Rule 14 of the Marwar Leave Rules.

## 4. Resolution,—

Resolved that Rule 14 (b) be amended and should stand as under  
 "An officer whose substantive pay is fixed and who acts in an office of which the pay is progressive—In this case the officer's acting allowance is calculated upon the lowest grade of the officer for whom the officer is acting"

## 5 READ.—

Note No. <sup>1495</sup><sub>Est 10</sub> dated 29th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating with reference to C. R. No 14 dated 1st May 1920, that Babu Nandlal's both sons have passed their examinations this year. The eldest has passed B A and the 2nd the Matriculation Examination. That the eldest is prepared to take up any suitable post that may be offered, while the second wishes to be sent up to the Government Railway Workshops and Technical Institute at Kauchrapara-Calcutta to learn Mechanical Engineering to fit him for the State Electrical branch or the J. B. Railway Workshops.

## 5. Resolution —

Resolved that the Vice-President be asked to propose a suitable post for the graduate, as for the second boy he may learn Mechanical Engineering, and if he succeeds he will be given a suitable post, either in the State Electrical Department or the J. B. Railway Workshops

## 6. READ —

Letter No 7419 dated 29th July 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member submitting an estimate of Rs. 3,929/- for supplying 3 layer of Chami in the remaining portion of the Polo ground near His Highness Maharaja Regent's Bungalow.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

## 7. READ—

Note No. 1523 dated 5th August 1920, by the P. W. Member stating that as desired by His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib, the Superintending Engineer submits an estimate of Rs. 1,873/- for repairs to the marble cenetoph of Maharaja Sri Jaswant Singhji Sahib and points out that there was a provision of Rs. 700/- for this work in the current year's P. W. D budget, out of which there is a balance of Rs 430/- only now available

## 7. Resolution.—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and included in next year's budget. Further that the attention of the Public Works Department be drawn to the fact that in future *Jalees* and *Takias* in this and other works should always be made of strong *Phadnsai* or *Sursagar* stones which are more durable than the ordinary red stone

8. *READ* —

Letter No. 2962/c. v. dated 3rd August 1920, from the Resident, Western Rajputana States, to the Senior Member of Council intimating that His Excellency the Viceroy has accepted the Darbar's invitation to visit Jodhpur in November next, and that His Excellency will make a public arrival at Jodhpur on the 20th November.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the fact may be noted and arrangements be made for their Excellencies stay at the Residency. The Guest House and the Bungalow in which Mr. Barr used to live, be also kept ready for the accommodation of other guests. The Superintendent Karkhanajats be asked to make all necessary arrangements after consulting the Resident.

9. *READ*—

Application dated 3rd August 1920, of Gouri Shanker Ojha to the Finance Member requesting purchase of some copies of his book Palaeography of India by the Darbar.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that 10 copies of the book may be ordered for the State Library

10. *READ* —

Note No. <sup>1528</sup><sub>E. 86</sub> dated 5th August 1920, by the Revenue Member recommending a gratuity equal of 9 months' pay to Lala Banshi-Dhar Supervisor at Takhtabad.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that gratuity be sanctioned as recommended

11. *READ* —

Note No. 1758 dated 5th August 1920, by the Finance Member stating that a sum of Rs. 568/4/- is outstanding since S. 1925 against Jat Nathia Fatia who is dead leaving no heir nor any property. Recommending that the amount be written off.

## 11. Resolution,—

Resolved that the amount be written off as recommended.

12. *READ* —

Copy of letter No. A 429 dated 31st July 1920, from Messrs John Fleming & Co. Bombay to the Electrical and Mechanical Engineer Jodhpur regarding purchase of one Locomotive Engine for the Conservancy Tramway stating that owing to the fall of exchange the Makers cannot put the order in hand at the last quoted price (20215/-) and so the lowest rate at which the order can be booked is Rs. 25,200/- F. O. R. Bombay. The Superintending Engineer forwards the above to the P. W. Member for sanction

## 12. Resolution—

Resolved that the Engine be ordered at Rs. 25,200/-.

## 13. READ—

Note No. 1429 dated 6th August 1920, by the P. W. Member requesting sanction to an estimate of Rs. 896/- for constructing quarters for Shikar Khana near Takhat Sagar and Guran-ka-Talao as ordered by His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib

## 13. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned

## 14. READ—

Note by the Finance Member on the Broad Gauge Railway Scheme.

## 14 Resolution—

Resolved that the papers be handed over to the Resident, Western Rajputana States when he goes to Simla this month.

## 15. READ—

D. O. Letter No. 2939 dated 2nd August 1920, from the Resident, Western Rajputana States to His Highness, the Maharaja Regent Sahib, put up by the Vice-President Sahib, intimating that owing to pressure of engagements H. R. H. the Prince of Wales will not formally receive minor Ruling Prince either at the Head Quarters of Local Governments or at Delhi.

## 15. Resolution--

Resolved that the fact may be noted.

## 16. READ,—

Estimate of Rs. 3,980/- for constructing office and additions to the existing building of the Customs Superintendent at Paota for the Inspector General of Police; submitted by the P. W. Member for sanction.

## 16. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Bangalow opposite the Jubilee Courts be given to the Inspector General Police for his residence.

## 17- READ.—

Note No. 819 dated 29th October 1919, by the Vice-President Sahib in the civil suit of:—

Plaintiffs,		Defendants.
Bhojraj and Hanoot Singh sons of Gaj Singh of Kalewa.	} Versus {	Thakur Padam Singh son of Pahar Singh of Jasol.

Claim—Portion of village Kalewa.

Also read Judgment dated 23rd October 1913, in the above case delivered by the Chief Court. The Plaintiff alleges that he has a four anna share in the lands of Kalewa and asks for a partition of the same.

The Defendant admits the four anna share, but urges that the partition can be made of only the land held as joint, but that the land held as "Awga" must not be touched.

Vakil Dolatram on behalf of the Plaintiff and Vakil Hindoo Mall for the Defendant appeared before Council and their arguments heard.

## 17. Resolution.—

Resolved that the case does not come under the general principal of partitioning Awga lands as the parties had already agreed in writing in Samvat 1913 to exclude from partition such portion of the land as was then held as Awga by the Defendant. That according to the wording of the agreement all other land which was not Awga in S. 1913 should be partitioned, and that with a view to give effect to this decision the Chief Court be asked, after considering the evidence on record to submit a report as to what amount of land was held in Awga by the Defendant in S. 1913 and what is the extent of the land which remains to be partitioned (i. e. after deducting the said Awga fields).

## 18. READ.—

Statement of rates of pay per mensem of men in the local Forces, submitted by the Military Secretary through His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib recommending a further increase of Rs 3/- per month in the pay of all non-commissioned Officers and men of the Local Forces with effect from the 1st of October 1920.

## 18. Resolution —

Resolved that from 1st July 1920, the rates of pay of the Non-Commissioned Officers and men of the local Forces be enhanced as under:—

Fort Artillery, Sardar Infantry and Summair Infantry—

Hawaldars from Rs. 16 to 20 per month.

Naiks „ 14 to 18 ... ..

Sepoys „ 12 to 16 ... ..

Summair Camel Corps including Flying Column and	} Dafedars from 24 to 28		
		Lance Dafedar	22 to 26
		Camel Sawars	20 to 24
Cycle Sowars.		Cycle Sowars	20 to 22

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.



# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 13th August 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. I. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.,
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.,

1. *READ.—*

Note No. 1534 dated 9th August 1920 by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Manager Rishi Kul Brahmacharya Ashram Jodhpur requests that a grant of Rs 100/- a month may be ordered to be paid from the Edward Relief Fund to enable him to maintain the Ashram consisting of 9 boys, one cook, and one supervisor.

## 1. Resolution —

Resolved that arrangements for feeding and residence of these boys be made in the Anath Ashram, where a Shrimali cook may be kept in place of the cook of another caste. As for the education of Rishi Kul boys, they should attend the Shrimali School.

2. *READ—*

Letter No 3011 war 145 dated 5th August 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. to the Senior Member of Council forwarding for communication to the grantees the extract Para I of letter No. 8167 dated  $\frac{28\text{th June}}{1\text{st July}}$  from the Government of India in the Army Department conveying sanction of the Government of India to the award of special grants of lands and assignments of land revenue to Indian officers residing in the Jodhpur State.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that necessary information be conveyed to the grantees as desired

3. *READ—*

Note No 1533 dated 9th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting suggestions of the Superintendent Rekh Hukamnama for realising arrears of Rekh Chakri dues outstanding against Kaim Khani Lal Khan Jagirdar of the village Suradund Jatodi Pergana Merta (Rekh Rs. 180). Also suggesting proposals for division of income under Heads "Khangi, Raj Dues and creditors."



### 3. Resolution—

Resolved that the Thikana be put under the Haisyat Court and apportionment of income be made as proposed.

#### 4. READ—

Note No. 1537 dated 9th August 1920 by the Finance Member submitting for sanction Establishment Authorization Rolls of the Pipar Bilara Light Railway for the year ending 31st March 1921, shewing an estimated expenditure of Rs. 32,839/- against Rs. 27,759/- the actuals for 1919-20. Also stating that the total income has been estimated at Rs. 35,000/- deducting the working expenses as estimated the net receipts have been estimated at Rs. 2,161/-.

### 4. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

#### 5. READ—

Note No. 1536 dated 9th August 1920 by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Comptroller of the Household submits for payment the two bills of the Electrical & Mechanical Department, one for Rs. 305/2/6 supplying 4 Kitson lamps at Jodhpur Abu and the other for Rs. 74/12 for Dak Bags, the charges of which were very high.

### 5. Resolution—

Resolved that the bills be paid if not already paid and the attention of the P. W. Member be drawn to the abnormally high prices of stores charged. A Committee consisting of the Superintending, the Electrical & Mechanical Engineers and the Auditor of Accounts and one Railway officer be formed to go through the list of stores now in stock and to fix prices in accordance with the present market value. The Committee will submit their proposals to the Finance and P. W. Members who are asked to examine the Committee's proposals and report their opinion to Council for final orders.

#### 6. READ—

Note No. 1531 dated 6th August 1920 by the Vice-President Sahib submitting application of Messrs. Amir Khan & Sons, Meerut requesting permission to open a shop at Jodhpur to vend amunitions.

### 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the Vice-President be asked to enquire from the applicant what fee he is prepared to pay to the State for the license.

#### 7. READ—

Letter No. 3031 dated 6th August 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. to the Senior Member of Council intimating that Mr. Cruickshank has been authorised by the Secretary of State for India to defer his sailing till September 1st 1920.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that the fact be noted.

## 8. READ—

Endorsement No. 1543 dated 11th August 1920 by the P. W. Member on a telegram received from Col. Mac George to the Vice-President Sahib intimating his inability to accept the post of Superintending Engineer Jodhpur.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the fact be noted.

## 9. READ—

Note No. 1544 dated 11th August 1920 by the P. W. Member submitting for consideration the case of Din Dayal son of late B. Shiv Saroop, Head Clerk, P. W. D. Office requesting for some maintenance allowance from the Darbar.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that a gratuity equal to six months' pay at the rate of Shiv Saroop's last pay be given to the applicant or his guardian.

## 10. READ—

Letter No. 7774 dated 9th August 1920 from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member requesting sanction to a sum of Rs.15,000/- to meet the expenditure for works at the Residency in connection with the approaching visit of His Excellency the Viceroy to Jodhpur.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that sanction be accorded as requested.

## 11. READ—

Note No. 1548 dated 11th August 1920 by the Vice-President Sahib stating in connection with plague arrangements at Bali, that the Residency Surgeon suggests that the means of restricting infection to a town is to prevent its inhabitants from going elsewhere, and that it would not be objected to if facilities are given to people to move into camp locally. He also remarks that it is the look out of the Executive officers whether it is practicable or not.

The Vice-President Sahib also intimates that on a former occasion before plague broke out in the City (Jodhpur) the Kotwal was empowered to levy a fine of Rs 50/- on a person coming from an infected area and either not attending for surveillance or sending his substitute.

## 11. Resolution—

Resolved that the Residency Surgeon's suggestion be approved and that necessary arrangements be made and facilities given to people to move into camp at Bali.

Also resolved that the Kotwal be empowered to levy a fine of Rs. 50/- in this connection in the same way as was done in 1917.

## 12. READ—

Note No. nil dated 11th August 1920, by the Revenue Member submitting Arzdasht of the I. G. P. and requesting that the Hakim of Pali be empowered to take security of the *Motbirs* of the Mohomeden & Hindu communities under section 107 C. P. C. on the occasion of the Moharrum & Riware Festivals falling on one and the same date, *i. e.* Bhadun Sudi 11th.

Also Read note dated 12th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib on the same subject, stating that the Hakim of Pali requests that the I. G. P. and the Commanding Officer Summair Infantry be directed to issue orders to make necessary *Bandovast* on the occasion.

## 12. Resolution,—

Resolved that Pali Hakim be empowered to take security under section 107 C. P. C. as requested and that he be asked to state what number of police and infantry men are required on the occasion.

## 13. READ.—

Note No 1497 dated 29th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting the criminal case of:—

Shri Darbar.	} <i>Versus</i> {	Aidan Singh Rajput of Ghatiali Pergana Phalodi.
--------------	-------------------	--

Charged under section 302 M. P. C

## 13. Resolution,—

The Council have gone through this case twice. The fresh plan of the scene of occurrence is now quite explicit and comprehensive. Although there is no fresh evidence available the Council considers the evidence of Deva Mahajan and Bhil Purbiya as reliable and true and there is no doubt that Jeth Mal died as a result of the beating received at the hands of the accused. The weapon used, however, was a *Lathi*, not a lethal weapon and it is doubtful if the accused Aidan Singh had any intention of killing the man outright when he struck him when insulted by the deceased. The Council, therefore, are unanimous that the charge be reduced to one of Culpable Homicide not amounting to murder, and order that the accused be sentenced to 7 years rigorous imprisonment. The sentence to run from the date on which he was charged.

## 14. READ.—

Note No. 1554/ Ry.-125 dated 12th August 1920, by the Finance Member regarding constitution of a Railway Board to administer the affairs of the Jodhpur Bikaner Railway. The P. W. Member of the Bikaner State Council in his D. 6. Letter No. 2670 P. W. 2499 dated

7th August 1920, makes the following suggestions for the approval of the Regency Council Marwar State.

- (1). That there should be two members from each State instead of one.
- (2). That the Manager J. B. Railway should not be by right either a Member or Secretary of the Board, though he may be invited to attend, if necessary.
- (3). That apart from the two representatives the members of the Board representing the State concerned should have the power to call in for the discussion of particular questions their expert advisors, such as the Accountant General, to put their case before the Board members of the other State though such advisors will not be members nor have a vote or voice in the final issue.
- (4). That the Bikaner State agree to the first meeting being held in Jodhpur and after that meeting should be held alternately in Bikaner and Jodhpur respectively as was done some years ago.
- (5). That the first meeting be held on 20th September or thereabout.
- (6). That to the list of subjects suggested for discussion at the first meeting the following may also be added.
  - (a). The question of appointing a successor to Mr. Harrison, the present Auditor of the Railway.
  - (b). Memorials from Messrs. Temperley and Jones regarding furlough pay while on Active Service.
- (7). Representatives of the Bikaner State at the first meeting of the Board will be as under:—
  - (a) Lieutenant Col. R. B. Thakur Sadul Singh P. W. Member of the State Council Bikaner.
  - (b) Mr. P. T. Vattal Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja of Bikaner.

The Finance Member in submitting the above recommends that the proposals made by Bikaner State be accepted and that the Regency Council may be pleased to appoint a second representative for Jodhpur.

## 14. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposals be approved and that R. B. Thakur Mangal Singhji be appointed second representative of the Jodhpur State That B. Umrao Singh Secretary of the Council shall act as Secretary of the Railway Board when it meets at Jodhpur.

## 15 READ—

Note No. 1553 dated 12th August 1920, by the Finance Member on a letter No. B. 50 A. 10353. dated 3rd June 1920 from the Manager, J. B. Railway requesting sanction to the following:—

- (1). Revised scale of pay for workshop and Loco Foremen as per scale attached with effect from 1st April 1920
- (2). Educational facilities for workshop and Loco Foremen's children.
- (3). Creation of new post of Carriage and Waggon Examiner Foremen.

## 15. Resolution—

Resolved that the revised scale of pay for workshop and Loco Foremen, which already has the concurrence of the Bikaner Darbar, be sanctioned. With regard to No. 2 & 3 the proposal be put up before the J. B. Railway Board when it meets at Jodhpur.

## 16. READ.—

Note No. nil dated 2nd August 1920, by the Finance Member stating that the Hakim of Sanchores requests for an extra sanction of Rs 125/- under Sub-head 'Prisoners Diet' Recommending that sanction be accorded from the Head Unforeseen Charges and that the provision under the Head Unforeseen charges' now administered by the Vice-President may be placed under him ( Finance Member ) so that no Member may be debarred from meeting any unforeseen expenditure that may be incurred in any of the Departments under his charge.

## 16. Resolution.—

Resolved that the extra sanction applied for by the Hakim of Sanchores be sanctioned as suggested and that the Head "unforeseen charges" be placed under Finance Member.

## 17. READ —

Note No. 1563/J. 101 dated 12th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Nigrani Officer Karkhanajats reports that Bhandari Pribhu Chand of the Karkhanajat Department was asked to despatch his two private motors to Bombay and was given the required sum from his private account, but on his return from Bombay it transpired that the man pocketed the amount and used Railway credit notes to the extent of Rs. 404/8 for payment of the freight of the two cars. Pribhu Chand when called upon to give an explanation prayed for mercy and paid back the amount of Rs. 404/8. That Pribhu Chand having thus proved himself entirely untrustworthy and unreliable has been suspended pending final disposal of his case.

The Vice-President Sahib in submitting the papers remarks that Pribhu Chand belongs to a respectable Mutasaddi family and is a young man. It is for the Council to decide whether Departmental punishment would meet the ends of justice in this case.

## 17. Resolution.—

Resolved that as Purbhu Chand has proved himself entirely unreliable and untrustworthy, he be dismissed from State service and is not to be employed again in any State Departments. He is fined Rs 404/8/- for his misconduct. That a notice to the above effect be also published in the Marwar Gazette.

## 18 READ.—

Note No. 1561/Est. 49 dated 12th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib suggesting in compliance with the orders of His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur that pay of Kishen Lal of the Farrash Khana be raised from Rs. 13/- to 50/- p. m. and he be made Naib Superintendent Farashkhana.

The service of Jamadar Lalu be retained and as he is a Jamadar and the scale of the Jamadar's pay is Rs. 20/- minimum, he be given an increment of Rs. 5/- and be ordered to work at the Bungalow of His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib and at the Guest House.

Also recommending that the salary of Farrash Kadar Bux and Kasim Bux working at the Bungalow occupied by Major Grimshaw be raised from Rs. 11/- to 16/-.

## 18. Resolution —

Resolved that the proposal be sanctioned.

## 19. READ —

Endorsement No nil dated 12th August 1920. by the Revenue Member on the note of the Superintendent Court of Wards regarding removal of G. N. Somani Manager Thikana Nimaj and the appointment of Mr Harish Chandra in his place with the starting pay of Rs 125/- rising by annual increments of Rs. 25/- to Rs. 200/- with an allowance of Rs. 25/- for looking after Khimsar Thikana. That there had been numerous complaints against the Manager and the Chief Judge was also of opinion that the procedure adopted by him in judicial cases was illegal and objectionable. That matters reached to a crisis and the people went into *Hartal*. That Thakur Devi Singhji of Chomu after enquiring into the present situation has recommended his removal and the appointment of Mr. Harish Chandra as stated above.

The Revenue Member intimates that as the matter was urgent, permission has been given to Zimmewar as noted above.

## 19. Resolution.—

Resolved that action taken by the Revenue Member be confirmed

20. *READ.*—

Letter dated 21st July 1920 from His Highness the Maharaja Sahib Bahadur of Gawalior to H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib requesting advice regarding disposal of the Photographs that were hung up in the Saloon of the Hospitalship 'Loyalty' and also a model of her presented laterly by the Canadian Pacific Railway Company.

## 20. Resolution —

Resolved that a reply be sent to His Highness the Maharaja of Gawalior suggesting that the photographs and model of the Loyalty be deposited in the Princes Chamber.

(Sd) PRATAP SINGH.

(Sd) ZALIM SINGH.

(Sd) R. E. A. HAMILTON.

(Sd) MANGAL SINGH.

(Sd) SUKHDEO.

**Revised scale of pay for Workshops and Loco Foremen  
with effect from 1st April 1920.**

Designation.	Proposed Minimum.	Yearly increment.	Proposed Maximum.
	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Erecting Shop Foreman ...	350	25	500
Boiler „ „ ...	300	25	450
Carriage & Wagon Shop Foreman.	300	25	450
Loco Foreman 1st Grade Jodhpur.	350	25	500
„ „ 2nd Grade Mirpur- khas ...	300	25	450
„ „ 3rd Grade Churu...	275	20	375
„ „ 3rd Grade Hanuman- garh ...	275	20	375
„ „ 3rd Grade Merta Road .....	275	20	375
Assistant Shops Foreman ...	200	15	260
Pump Inspector ...	200	15	260
Relieving Loco Foreman ...	250	20	350
Loco Inspector ...	300	25	450
Assistant Boiler Inspector ...	275	25	400





*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 17th August 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

**1. READ —**

Note No. 1552 dated 11 August 1920. by the Vice-President Sahib in the following criminal case of:—

Complainants.		Accused.
Poonam Chand, Chogh Mal sons of Uma Mahajan.	<i>Versus</i>	1 Zorawar Singh Thakur of Khinwara 2 Nainya Bambi. 3 Hazari Mal Mahajan 4. Padiya Lohar.

Charged under section 448 M. P. C.

The Chief Judge solicits orders as to whether the Thakur of Khinwara, being a Tazimi Sardar, be tried by a commission or by an ordinary court.

**1. Resolution,—**

Resolved that the case be proceeded with legally in the ordinary court against the Thikana and not against the Thakur personally.

**2. READ —**

Note No. 1551 dated 11th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, regarding succession of Bhati Bhim Singh to the late Jagirdar Bharat Singh of village Gorerikarna in Merta, Rekh Rs. 1,250/-.

**2. Resolution —**

Resolved that the succession be approved and the arrears recovered within a period of 5 years.

**3. READ.—**

Note No 1550 dated 11th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting the enquiry made from Dastri in connection with the usual *Saropao* being granted to Narsingh Dass Kamdar of Shri Prohitji Abhey Rajji on the occasion of the marriage of his daughter which took place in *Baisakh* last.

**3. Resolution —**

Resolved that no *Saropao* need be granted.

4. *READ*—

Note No. 1549 dated 11th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting estimate of Rs. 484/- for the construction of a new mortuary at the Railway.

## 4. Resolution,—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned

5 *READ*.—

Note No. 1540 dated 11th August 1920, by the Finance Member submitting statement showing the results of examinations of 1920, of the Jaswant College, Jodhpur and the Darbar High School, as compared with those of the Government College, Ajmer, the Maharaja College, Jaipur, and the University as a whole; as well as the result of the Rajputana Middle School Examination.

## 5. Resolution,—

Resolved that the Council are glad to note the highly satisfactory results obtained. The Finance Member be asked to issue letters of appreciation to the College authorities and Schools that have secured the best results.

6. *READ* —

Letter No. 4114 dated 20th March 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member, put up by the F. W. Member under his endorsement No. 1535 dated 9th August 1920, regarding powers of the Superintending Engineer.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that as Mr. Cruickshank is not expected to return to Jodhpur, this question be kept in abeyance, and that Mr. Stubbs, the Acting Superintending Engineer be allowed the same powers as former Superintending Engineers, Mr. Skelton and Mr. O'brien used to exercise.

7. *READ*—

Note No. 1545 dated 11th August 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting with his own views a copy of inspection note dated 9th April 1920 by Superintendent Archeological Survey, Western Circle Poona on the Sardar Museum Jodhpur for being placed before the Council for such action as may be deemed fit.

## 7. Resolution.—

Resolved that the views of the P. W. Member be approved

## 8. READ —

Note No. 1313 dated 11th August 1920, by the Finance Member proposing following special local allowances to the Customs staff at Head quarters:-

45. clerks attached to the District Head quarter office	Rs. A. P.
be given an allowance for house rent at Rs. 2/- each...	90-0-0
Personal allowance to above 45 clerks at Rs: 3/- p.m:	
each ... ..	135-0-0
Personal allowance to 16 clerks (Sadar Office) ...	48-0-0
Provision for house rent for two clerks (Sadar office)	
who might happen not to belong to Jodhpur, ...	4-0-0
	<hr/>
cost per mensem	277-0-0
cost per annum	3,324-0-0

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the following allowances are sanctioned:—

House rent allowance at Rs. 2/- each to 45 clerks attached to the District Head quarters	Rs A. P.
Provision for house rent for two clerks (Sadar Office) who may happen not to belong to Jodhpur	... 90 0 0 ... 4 0 0

As regards the personal allowances proposed the matter be referred back to the Finance Member for reconsideration as to whether it would not be better to give the clerks an increase in pay instead of personal allowance.

## 9. READ—

Note No. 1539 dated 11th August 1920, by the Finance Member requesting for sanction the appointment of Mr. Babu Ram B. A; a Head Master in the Darbar Middle School, Sojat on Rs: 80/-5/-100/- with effect from the 5th of July 1920 vice B. Sardari Lal transferred to Nawa:

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that the appointment be sanctioned as requested:

## 10 READ—

Note No: 1562 dated 12th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding the complaints of bribery against Bhandari Sheodan Chand Hakim of Nagour, stating that K. Madho Singh who was deputed to make enquiries, reports that after making enquiries in 3 or 4 cases, the informer declined to open fresh cases as long as the Hakim remained at Nagour. Suggesting that in order to have a thorough enquiry made, Bhandari Sheodan Chand may be transferred from Nagour to Merta and the Merta Hakim to Nagour till the result of the enquiry is known; that after the completion of the enquiry, if necessary, Bhandari Sheodan Chand may be allowed the chance of making his defence.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that Bhandari Sheodan Chand be transferred to Shiv instead of Merta and the Shiv Hakim to Nagour.

**11. READ.—**

Note [No. 1572/Milty. 102 dated 14th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib intimating that a sum of Rs. 75,900/- was received from the Imperial Relief Fund for the relief of Dependents of soldiers who died or were killed in Active Service, out of which Rs. 50,350/- have been distributed to men of the Indian Army and Jodhpur Imperial Service Troops. That there being no funds available for distribution to incapacitated soldiers of the Jodhpur Imperial Service Troops, no distribution in this direction could be made. In reply to an enquiry recently made by the Resident on the subject, an allotment of Rs. 10,000/- for the purpose has been asked for, which, on receipt, will be utilised for the relief of incapacitated and wounded soldiers of the Jodhpur I. S. Troops.

**11. Resolution.—**

Resolved that the action taken by the Vice-President be approved and that the Commanding Officers, Sardar Risala be directed to submit lists of casualties with all possible despatch

**12. READ —**

Note No. 1558 dated 12th August 1920, by the Revenue Member recommending an extra grant of Rs. 25,000/- for meeting the expenditure in connection with the marriage of Shri Baiji Lal Sahiba.

**12. Resolution—**

Resolved that an extra grant of Rs. 25,000/- be sanctioned as requested.

**13. READ.—**

Note No. 1560 dated 12th August 1921, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding pursuit and arrest of offenders by the Police in Jaisalmer territory, stating that the Jaisalmer Dewan intimates through the Resident, W. R. S. that the Jaisalmer Darbar will have no objection to permitting parties from Marwar, while in hot pursuit, affecting in Jaisalmer territory the arrest of any dacoit or robber and then handing him over with any property that may have been found to Jaisalmer authorities. The house searches be made in conjunction with Jaisalmer authorities, and that similar arrangement may kindly be made by the Marwar Darbar with a view to facilitate the arrest of culprits who are pursued by parties from Jaisalmer in Marwar territory.

**13. Resolution—**

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

**14. READ—**

Note. No. 1555 dated 12th August 1920, by the Finance Member, recommending a scholarship of Rs. 4/- p. m. to each of the 3 students namely:—Khalilurrahman Khan, Anayatullah Khan and Mohomed Zaman

Khan, the grandsons of Munshi Mohamed Khan, late Hakim of Malabar, tenable as long as they attain the ages of 13 years each or so long as they attend any school.

## 14 Resolution—

Resolved that the scholarships be sanctioned as proposed.

## 15 READ—

Note No. 1573/visit 37 dated 14th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that in view of the fact that the prices have gone up tremendously high, the estimate of cost of the forthcoming visit of His Excellency the Viceroy may be pitched at a much higher figure than the expenditure incurred in connection with the Viceregal visits in 1914 and 1916 aggregated Rs. 78,680/- and Rs. 68,139/- respectively.

## 15. Resolution—

Resolved that the Vice-President be asked to put up a detailed estimate.

## 16. READ,—

Note No. 1564 dated 13th August 1920, by the P. W. Member, submitting a statement of motor cars for sale, and stating that the selling prices quoted by Mr. Stubbs are nearly the same as formerly reported by the Superintending Engineer Mr. Cruickshank. That one of the Ford cars has lately been sold to Major Tale of Jabbulpur for Rs. 1,500/- for which formal sanction may be accorded.

## 16. Resolution.—

Resolved that the valuation of the remaining cars as settled by Mr. Cruickshank be approved and the P. W. Member be asked to advertise the sale of these cars in all the leading papers. That sale of one motor car to Major Tale for Rs. 1,500/- is sanctioned.

## 17- READ —

Note No. 1512 dated 3rd August 1920, by the P. W. Member, stating that Mr. M. G. Ardle has declined to accept the post of Garage Superintendent on the terms proposed in C. R. No. 12 dated 16th July 1920.

## 17. Resolution.—

Resolved that the P. W. Member be asked to advertise for a man for the post on a salary of Rs. 400/- rising to 500/- p. m.

## 18. READ.—

Note dated 16th August 1920, by the Revenue Member, soliciting orders in connection with the approaching Viceregal visit on the following points.

- (1). Appointment of Kr. Narpat Singhji as the Officer incharge of the Camp.

- (2) Obtaining the services of one C. I. D., official to assist and work under the Inspector General Police.
- (3). Allotment of funds for expenses to be incurred in connection with the Viceregal visit.
- (4). Instituting of hot and cold water and flush closets system at the Residency
- (5). Inviting outside officers, if any.

### 18. Resolution.—

Resolved that items No. 1 and 2 be sanctioned. With regard to point 3 the Vice-President has been asked to submit detailed estimates as per Resolution No. 15. above As to point No 4 the P. W. Member be asked to make necessary arrangements early. With regard to point No 5 the Vice-President be asked to put up a list of officers to be invited on the occasion.

### 19. READ.

Application of the 3 sons of the late R. S. Devi Dayal requesting the grant of some substantial scholarships and further aid in view of the long and faithful services rendered by their father.

### 19. Resolution.

Resolved that the application be referred to the Finance Member for opinion.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH,

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd, MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKH DEO.

~~50+89~~

31526

The following papers were settled by circulation amongst Members  
and approved by H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib  
on 22nd August 1920

1. Uzardari of Mochi Abdul Rahman against the decision of the Chief Court in the following case of:—

Plaintiff		Defendant,
Appellant Mochi Abdul Rahman of Jodhpur.	} <i>Versus</i> {	Respondent (1) Tamboli Shivnarain (2) Mahant Madhodass.

Claim.—Pre-emption over a house.

The Chief Judge dismissed the plaintiff's suit on the grounds that pre-emption can stand for the purchaser and his claim must embrace the whole house. He can not be allowed a portion and leave out the rest.

The plaintiff then appealed to the Vice-President Sahib and requested that if he be not given a portion the whole house may be put in his possession on payment of a reasonable price.

The Vice-President in submitting the papers to Council remarks that the ruling of the Chief Court that the claim should embrace for whole and not a part only is sound and should be upheld. That the Uzardar has expressed his willingness to buy the whole structure at what he calls a reasonable price. This was a new proposition and for its decision the file may be sent back to Chief Court.

## 1. Resolution —

Resolved that the file be sent back to the Chief Court to decide the new proposition as suggested by the Acting Vice-President according to law.

2. Note No. 1542 dated 11th August 1920, by the P. W. Member regarding grant of 20 days' leave to Assistant Engineer P. Laxmidatta as a special case.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that 20 days' leave be sanctioned under Rule 2 of Marwar Leave rules as a special case.

3. Note No. 1559 dated 12th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding permission to Maheswari Mahajans of Jodhpur to hold meetings of "Maheswari Maha Sabha" from the 20th to 22nd September 1920.

## 3. Resolution—

Resolved that permission can not be given owing to plague

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.





# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 24th August 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.,
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.,

## 1. *READ.—*

Note No. 1538/T & D 74 dated 11th August 1920, by the Revenue Member submitting his remarks on the Proceedings of the conference held at Delhi to consider the question of the Amendment of Criminal Tribes Act 1911, and the policy to be followed in administering it.

Also read summary of recommendations made by the conference.

## 1 Resolution—

Resolved that the Council agree with the remarks of the Revenue Member passed on the recommendations of the conference held at Delhi with the exception of Para 56 on the subject of Warders allowing any Members of Criminal Tribes to escape, the offence in the Council's opinion should be made cognizable.

With regard to separate jails for long term habitual criminals, the Council suggest that carpet making and other allied industries may also be introduced in such jails.

## 2. *READ—*

Letter No IF dated 11th August 1920 from Major A. J. Reynolds, Inspecting Officer I. S. Troops to the Military Secretary, Marwar State forwarding the petition of Gobind Singh s/o Jaswant Singh of village Samrao P. Phalodi to be dealt with according to the Proceedings of the recent Court of Enquiry (Complaint No. 6). The Uzardar prays for the cancellation of the *exparte* decision and states that he was absent on Active Service when the decision was given.

## 2 Resolution —

Resolved that the papers be referred to the Senior Member to be dealt with in accordance with Para 6th of C. R. No. 1 Dated 8th August 1920.

3. *READ—*

Note No. 1576/T. 102. D dated 16th August 1920, by the Finance Member requesting sanction to a sum of Rs. 5,000/- paid to the Kamdar of Baiji Shri Murdhar Kuar Baiji Sahiba during the months of June and July 1920.

## 3. Resolution—

Resolved that sanction be accorded as requested.

4. *READ —*

Note No. 1810/ Bkt. dated 14th August 1920, by the Finance Member on the following case of:—

Plaintiff.		Defendant.
Shri Darbar.	} <i>Versus</i> {	Patwas Partapmal, Chothmal and Jethmal.

Claim.—Rs. 7,400-11-3.

stating that from fresh inquiries it is found that altogether about Rs. 21,000/ have been recovered from the petitioners and there is no justification for any further attachment of the petitioners property.

## 4. Resolution—

Resolved that all the houses of Patwas that may be under attachment in connection with this case be restored to them.

5. *READ—*

Note No. 1568/ P. W. D. 75 dated 13th August 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that the Inspector General of Police reports that the Mint building now occupied by the Police at Sojat is useless and may be disposed of and the sale proceeds be utilized for erecting a new one or repairing the Fort Building for the Police. That in the latter case the Infantry men stationed at the Fort building may be removed.

## 5. Resolution—

Resolved that the latter proposal be approved.

6. *READ—*

Note No. 1335/ Cust. dated 13th August 1920, by the Finance Member recommending abolition of transit toll (Known as Ghoratidan) levied on cattle by the Hakumat and Customs Department at the Tejaji-ka-Fair.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

7. *READ—*

Letter No. 7573 dated 31st July 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member submitting for sanction estimate of Rs. 2,378/- for providing water tap at the slaughter House outside Siwanchi gate.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and included in next year's budget.

## 8 READ—

Note No. 1569 dated 14th August 1920, by the P. W. Member regarding gratuity to Head Khalasi Tej Singh of the P. W. Department.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that a gratuity of four month's pay, calculated on the last pay of the applicant be sanctioned.

## 9. READ—

Estimate of Rs. 1, 978/- for repairs and renewal of Jalties, Takies etc. at the Zenana Palace on the Fort, put up by the P. W. Member under his endorsement No. 1565 dated 13th August 1920.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and the P. W. D. be asked to construct walls with holes instead of Jalties which cannot stand strong wind.

## 10. READ—

Note No. 1567 dated 13th August 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that the Officer Commanding 1/43rd Erinputa Regiment forwards and recommends the petitions of pensioners Minas-Bhuta, Kishna and Kalia residents of village Siana Pargana Jalore, requesting to be exempted from nightly roll calls or surveillance.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that the applicants be exempted as recommended.

## 11. READ—

Note No. 1566 dated 13th August 1920, by the Revenue Member recommending that one year's pay as gratuity be given to Laxmandass *Dodhidar* Inspector Police Merta and that the I. G. Police be asked if his son is qualified for any post in his Department.

## 11. Resolution—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

## 12. READ—

Note No. 1570 dated 14th August 1920, by the Revenue Member submitting the case of Sindh Sahibzada not paying *Khangies* to his Chhutbhaies and stating that the Superintendent Haisyat proposes that one of his villages named Atpada may be taken under the direct management of Hawala Department which may deposit the realizations into the Haisyat Court who will rateably distribute it amongst the jagirdar and his Chhutbhaies.

## 12. Resolution,—

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

13. *READ* —

Note No 276 dated 27th July 1920, by the Military Secretary, put up by the Private Secretary to H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib, regarding complaints of Hakims against the camel sowars not allowing their *Ahalkars* to mount camels when they go with them on tours etc stating that the clerks should not be allowed to ride on the Sowars camels as the practice would mar the efficiency and discipline of the corps.

## 13. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Hakumat *Ahalkars* be allowed to ride on the back seat when they go on official duty.

14. *READ*.—

Note No. 277 dated 27th July 1920, by the Military Secretary to H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib stating with reference to C. R. No 8 dated 18th June 1920, regarding claim of Sardar Rissala Modi for grinding charges of barley, that no barley was given to horses before 1908. Also stating that H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib has ordered that a flour grinding and grain crushing machine be purchased for the use of the Rissala.

## 14. Resolution —

Resolved that the Military Secretary be asked to state what grinding charges for barley should be paid to the Modi. He should also inquire and report estimated cost of grinding and grain crushing machine delivered at Jodhpur.

15 *READ*—

Note No. 1577 dated 17th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Resident W. R. S. under his letter No. 3017/L & A. 214 dated 5th August 1920, intimates that Hon'ble the Agent Governor General has sanctioned transfer of the services of 2nd grade Assistant Rao Sahib Onkar Singh for employment in the Hewson Hospital at Jodhpur for two years on the terms mentioned in the letter.

## 15. Resolution—

Resolved that the fact be noted.

16. *READ* —

Note dated 16th August 1920, by the Finance Member recommending certain restrictions on the opening of private schools.

## 16 Resolution. —

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

17. *READ* —

Letter No. 7928 dated 16th August 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member submitting the letter of Lt. E. J. Todd Jubbulpur, requesting to purchase one more Ford motor car.

## 17. Resolution.—

Resolved that the sale be sanctioned.

18. *READ.*—

Letter dated 16th August 1920, from the Secretary Jodhpur Parsi Community to the P. W. D. Member stating with reference to C. R. No. 8 dated 20th July 1920, that the water required at their grave-yard is chiefly in connection with the performance of religious ceremonies.

## 18. Resolution —

Resolved that sanction be given for laying a pipe line to the grave-yard on the condition that the Parsi Community pay all expenses of the extension and also for the amount of the water used.

19. *READ* —

Note No. nil. dated 18th August 1920, by the Finance Member regarding gratuity to late Rai Sahib Babu Devi Dayal or scholarship to his sons.

## 19. Resolution,—

Resolved that a list of gratuities granted upto now showing length of service and the amount sanctioned in each case be sent for.

20. *READ.*—

Note dated 19th August 1920, by the Revenue Member regarding allowance of Rs. 150/- to Moota Sumerchand who is to receive Excise training in the United Provinces and of Rs. 20/- per mensem to the Excise Inspectors with whom the candidate is to be placed for training, stating that the former's allowance has already been decided by Council as per their Resolution No. 24 dated 13th November last and will be given effect to. As for the allowance of Rs. 20/ p m to the Excise Inspectors the same may be sanctioned.

## 20. Resolution --

Resolved that the proposal be sanctioned.

21. *READ* —

Note dated 19th August 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that owing to the death of R. S. Babu Devi Dayal Assistant Superintendent Court of Wards, Thakur Ugam Singh be promoted on a consolidated pay of Rs. 300/- p m. and in his place Mehta Kishen Mal be appointed on a consolidated pay of Rs. 250/- p. m

## 21. Resolution —

Resolved that the proposal be approved. The Vice-President dissented, his note of dissent is attached.

22. *READ.* —

Letter No. nil dated 23rd August 1920, from Capt. H. Hornsby submitting a list of instruments required for the State Band on the approaching visit of H. E. the Viceroy and stating that the estimated cost of the articles would be about £ 230-3-0 and they be procured early from Messrs Rose and Co., Bombay.

## 22, Resolution.—

Resolved that Captain Hornsby be authorised to purchase the instruments at the estimated cost submitted by him.

## 23. READ.—

Note dated 24th August 1920, by the Revenue Member suggesting that till the new distillery is built the supply contractor of liquor may be allowed an increase of -/4/9 per gallon Chhata instead of -/6/9 given last year and that with regard to Gur liquor the price may now be fixed at Rs. 2/4/- per gallon instead of Rs. 2/5/- raised last year.

## 23 Resolution.—

Resolved that the rates proposed be sanctioned till the new distillery building is ready.

(Sd) PRATAP SINGH.

(Sd) ZALIM SINGH.

(Sd) R. E. A. HAMILTON.

(Sd) MANGAL SINGH.

(Sd) SUKHDEO.

Note of dissent by the Vice-President of Regency Council on the Revenue Member's note placed before the Council on the 24th August 1920.

When the above note recommending the appointment of Mehta Kishen Mallji as Assistant Superintendent, Court of Wards was read in the Council, I reminded H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur that Kishen Mallji's working in his capacity of Superintendent, Rekha and Hukamnamah office, having been found unsatisfactory, he was removed from that post as specially desired by His Highness in the last Regency Regime and that so the previous papers may be perused before the recommendation be considered, whereupon I was told that Kishen Mallji is a good man and he does good work, so he may be appointed as recommended by the Revenue Member on a salary of Rs. 250/- p. m. ; and that there was no necessity of perusing the previous papers. I could therefore, say nothing beyond that I dissented in the proposal.

I have now gone through the previous papers and I find that in the last Regency time working of Kishen Mallji as Superintendent, Rekha and Hukamnamah was found to be unsatisfactory, that he had money-lending transactions with several Jagirdars and in this respect the great fault found in him was that from the moneys collected from the Jagirdars, he was found to have credited those collections towards his own private accounts with the Jagirdars and so during his incumbency as Rekha and Hukamnamah Superintendent the State dues got swollen instead of being curtailed, that in many instances he was found so much negligent of his duty that his pay was stopped, that he shew no improvement in spite of repeated warnings given to him by His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur and myself, and his action in having accepted Hukamnamah (Succession Fee) from Babhut Singh in the Odit Jagir succession (Adoption) case having been reported to the Council as reprehensible by the then Revenue Member as well in his note of 5th October 1913, his pay was reduced from Rs. 250/- p. m. to Rs. 175/- p. m. and he was removed from the responsible post of Superintendent Rekha and Hukamnamah, as he had monetary transactions with numerous Jagirdars, and he was transferred as a Darbar's Vakil at the Residency, Jeypur, on that reduced salary, vide Council Resolution No. 6 dated 20th December 1913, but Kishen Mallji then resigned his post.

Here, I feel, I must point out that in his note of 10th September 1908, R. B. Pandit Sukhdeo Prasadji, the then Senior Member, Mehakma Khas, reported to the then Ruler of the State H. H. Maharaja Dhiraj Sir Sardar Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I., that under orders of H. H., Mr. Rhoda Mall, the then Superintendent, Court of Sardars was asked to explain the circumstances under which he joined Rai Seth Chand Mall in money dealings with the Rohat Thikana, that Mr. Rhoda Mall stated that he was unable to explain the circumstances of the transaction with the Rohat Thikana as the same had been 2 or 3 years previous to his appointment in this State and during his absence in England by his late father R. B. Munshi Hardial Singhji, that after his death though he was



anxious to sever his connection with the Seth but he could not do so as the Seth naturally did not like to take the whole responsibility upon himself of a Thikana which was heavily in debt; that many other officers were doing such monetary transactions with Jagirdars in Marwar, etc.etc In this note R. B. Pundit Sukhdeo Prasadji remarked that:—

“It is hardly necessary for me to comment on the explanations submitted by Mr. Rhoda Mall. This practice is no doubt reprehensible and needs strong action if it is to be put a stop to.”

R. B. Munshi Harnam Dasji, the then Junior Member here remarked as below in his note of 29th August 1908.

“These transactions are rather incompatible with the position of an officer who has to direct the management of Jagirdars’ estates.”

On these papers His late Highness Maharaja Dhiraj Sir Sardar Singhji Sahib Bahadur passed orders as follows on 1st June 1909:—

“I have carefully gone through the papers and it is my deep regret that the Superintendent Court of Sardars has ignored his duty.”

For the purity of the administration of this branch I cannot permit its being any further entrusted to a Judge whose behaviour in this case amply disqualifies him for being kept there, nor can I trust a person of such habits.”

“Under the circumstances I order his dismissal, etc. etc.”

R. B. Pundit Sukhdeo Prasadji in his note dated Abu the 1st June 1909, to the then Junior Member says as follows:—

“Please see H. H.’s order dated the first June I am really sorry that Rhoda Mall should lose his service, but at the same time I must say that with all the education he has received, his behaviour is simply indefensible. His explanation that his father started the thing and he could not extricate himself cannot be held to be satisfactory. He could have done it if he really wished. He further says that others are doing the same. This is hardly a defence When the misdeeds of others will come to light they would be treated on their individual merits. Under the circumstances I feel it my duty to carry out H. H.’ orders with all loyalty and respect due to him. H. H. is perfectly right in keeping or say introducing purity in the administration and stopping malpractices, etc. etc.”

I have to say that Mehta Kishen Mallji had incurred grossest displeasure of H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur and that H. H. was extremely displeased with his behaviour for not only his continuing monetary transactions with Jagirdars, Kishen Mallji being Superintendent of the State office exclusively concerning the Jagirdars, and for his recognising such successions in Jagir cases by way of adoption without referring to the Darbar records in their Hazuri Daftar and without obtaining formal sanction from the Mehakma Khas, that H. H. had got him removed from the Rekha and Hukamnamah office and also reduced his salary and grade as stated above.

I cannot make out how H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur now reappoints that very man as an Assistant Superintendent in the Court of Wards office, — an office which is solely concerned with Jagirdars alone, despite the fact that the man's monetary dealings with the Jagirdars are in a very large number. This practice of the State officials was held to be much reprehensible also by the late Regency Council, vide Council Resolution No. 2 dated 21st March 1914, copy herewith attached.

I am also at a loss to understand that although R. B. P. Sukhdeo Prasadji has been deadly against the practice of monetary transactions of the State officials with the Jagirdars as so well depicted by him in his notes in the case of Mr. Rhoda Mall, how he himself has recommended the reappointment of Kishen Mallji in the Court of Wards Department, as this goes against a ruling laid down by His late Highness Maharaja Dhiraj Sir Sardar Singhji Sahib Bahadur.

It is also worth mentioning here that Mr. Rhoda Mal's monetary transaction with Jagirdars was seriously taken notice of by H. H. the late Maharaja Dhiraj Sir Sardar Singhji Sahib Bahadur at the instance of the then Senior Member, Mehakma Khas was with the single Thikana of Rohat, a transaction that was formed by his father when he himself was a student in England, while Kishen Mallji has regular monetary transactions with very many jagirdars in Marwar.

I feel I must honour the orders of His late Highness as passed on 1st June 1909, and that I should not also ignore the orders contained in the Council Resolution No. 2 of 21st March 1914.

Sd ZALIM SINGH, MAHARAJ,

Vice President of Council Jodhpur.

30-8-20.



Extract from the Proceedings of the Council of Regency, Raj Marwar, dated 21st March 1914.

2. *READ.*—

Note dated 6th December 1913, by the Chief Judge together with the notes of other Members regarding the question of prohibiting Hakims and other Raj officials from carrying on money lending business in Marwar.

2. Resolution—

Resolved (the Military Member dissenting) that the following rule prohibiting Raj officials from engaging in monetary dealings with the public be passed. A Darbar official may not lend money to any person possessing property, carrying on business or cultivation within the local limits of his authority, nor may he, except in the ordinary course of business with a joint stock Bank or a Firm of standing, borrow money, or otherwise place himself under a pecuniary obligation to, any person subject to his official authority or residing, possessing property, or carrying on business within the local limits of such authority.

Also resolved that a sub-committee (members to be selected hereafter) be appointed to frame rules regulating recoveries due to past monetary transactions.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 27th August 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

**1. READ —**

Note No. 1590/Ed. 16 dated 21st August 1920, by the Finance Member recommending a scholarship of Rs. 5/- p. m. to Joshi Jaggan Nath s/o. Joshi Nanoram, Head Master Darbar A. V. School Phalodi with effect from 1st October 1920,

**1. Resolution, —**

Resolved that sanction be accorded as recommended.

**2. READ. —**

Note No. 1600/Est. 22 dated 24th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, recommending that Moota Kanmall be appointed Charge Superintendent of Census Operations, Jasol Circle, on a salary of Rs. 100/- p. m.

**2. Resolution. —**

Resolved that the appointment be sanctioned as proposed.

**3. READ. —**

Note No. 1592 dated 21st August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, regarding extension of Mr. Paterson's services as Superintendent Central Jail.

**3. Resolution —**

Resolved that the Vice-President be asked to enquire from Mr. Paterson whether he is willing to continue in State service. He should also submit his remarks on the general management of the Jail under Mr. Paterson's charge and whether any improvement in the management and income of the Marwar State Press has been effected by him.

## 4. READ—

Letter No E. 49/17447 dated 13th August 1920, from the Manager, J. B. Railway to the Finance Member requesting Darbar's sanction to the creation of the post of Assistant Engineer for the Central District.

## 4 Resolution,—

Resolved that the matter be put up in J. B. Railway Conference when it meets at Jodhpur next month.

## 5 READ.—

Letter No. 5072 dated 21st August 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. to the Senior Member of Council, stating that several cases of anthrax due to infection contained in shaving brushes were reported. That majority of such brushes are suspected to have been made in Japan and unless arrangements can be made to exclude infected shaving brushes from the market, it is desirable to warn the public against the danger of contracting the disease, and that in all doubtful cases the brushes should be disinfected. Requesting that the above information and the method of disinfecting be given wide publicity.

## 5. Resolution,—

Resolved that a notice to the above effect be published in the Marwar Gazette and copies thereof be also affixed in prominent places in the city.

## 6. READ —

Copy of telegram dated 20th August 1920, from the I. G. P. Railway and Police Assistant to the Hon'ble the Agent to the Governor-General Rajputana to the Resident, W. R. S. giving detailed timings of special trains of repatriates etc. from Bombay to Erinpura Road ( Sumerpur. )

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the fact be noted.

## 7. READ—

Judgment dated 26th July 1920, of the Chief Court in the following criminal case:—

Sri Darbar.	}	<i>Versus.</i>	{	Mina Joria s/o Binja of village Farvsi P. Didwana.
-------------	---	----------------	---	---

Charged under Section 304 by the Police and under Section 302 by the Chief Court. The Court find the accused guilty of murder but recommend a sentence of milder punishment: imprisonment for life, (14 years.)

## 7. Resolution.—

Resolved that the decision of the Chief Court be confirmed.

8. *READ* —

Letter No. 5108 dated 21st August 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. to the Senior Member of Council, stating that the Government of India have sanctioned the grant to Lieutenant Colonel P. B. Haig, C. B., I. M. S., of the following allowances to be paid by the Jodhpur Darbar in consideration of the duties performed by him for the Jodhpur State: —

1. An allowance of Rs. 100/- per mensem for the period from August 9th till December 15th 1919, during which time he was in-charge of the routine work of the Residency Surgeon, W. R. S.
2. A fee at the rate of Rs. 75/- per diem for the number of days on which he actually visited Jodhpur.

Requesting that the amount due to Lieutenant Colonel Haig according to above rates may be remitted to him through his Agents Messrs. Grindlay & Co., Bombay.

8. *Resolution*—

Resolved that the amount be remitted as requested.

9. *READ*—

D. O. letter No. 5099 dated 21st August 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. to the Senior Member of Council forwarding the details of the maintenance charges of one aeroplane as desired in C. R. No 16 dated 24th July 1920, and inquiring whether the Darbar require any of the aeroplanes.

9. *Resolution*—

Resolved that the Resident be informed that on account of the heavy expenditure involved in the up-keep of aeroplanes and the difficulty in obtaining services of pilots, this Darbar is not inclined to have any of aeroplanes for the present. The Darbar thanks the Government of India for their kind offer.

10 *READ*—

Letter No 5028 dated 16th August 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. to the Senior Member of Council, intimating that the Government of India have been pleased to decide that the demand for the payment of super-tax on the income derived in British India by Ruling Princes and Chiefs shall be waived.

10 *Resolution*--

Resolved that the fact be noted.

11. *READ*—

Note No. 1587/J. T. 32A. dated 20th August 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that the Secretary and Treasurer Bank of Bombay ask for fresh guardianship certificates to transfer the accounts of the minor



Thakurs of Nimaj and Bhadrajun in the name of the Revenue Member who is now in charge of the Court of Wards. Requesting that the draft certificates may be approved and signed.

## 11. Resolution.—

Resolved that the draft certificates be approved and signed.

## 12. READ —

Note No. 1586 dated 20th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Kamdar of late Mir Fiazali and Vazirali reports that the sum of Rs. 83/- the aggregate salary of the staff of Mir Fiazali being inadequate, the chowkidars etc., are intending to give up work; that increments may kindly be granted to them at the rate of 35% which has been fixed for the State employees.

## 12. Resolution—

Resolved that increments to the Staff employees be given at the rate of 35%.

## 13. READ.—

Note No. 1585 dated 20th August 1920, by the P. W. Member requesting sanction to an estimate of Rs. 12,270/- for constructing a weir wall across the Ganga Bala and providing a sluice there.

## 13. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and included in the next year's budget.

## 14. READ—

Note No. 1578 dated 17th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib requesting sanction to the contract of Mint at Pali for 1920-21, for Rs. 570/- in the name of Silehraj.

## 14 Resolution—

Resolved that the contract be sanctioned as proposed.

## 15. READ—

Note No. 1589/P. W. D. 7 B. dated 20th August 1920, by the P. W. Member regarding contract of wiring and fitting electric wires to Roop Ram Thanvi of Jodhpur.

## 15. Resolution--

Resolved that the P. W. Member be asked to invite tenders for the contract and then to give the contract to the person who offers the best terms

## 16. READ,—

Note No. 1593 dated 21st August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding recovery of State dues from Panchwa Thikana.

The Vakil Thikana appeared before Council and agreed to pay Rs. 5,000/- annually towards arrears besides the current Rekh and Chakri.

## 16. Resolution.—

Resolved that a Sahukari Rukka be taken from Thikana as agreed to by the Vakil before Council and if the Thikana in any year fails to abide by the terms of the Rukka, a certain number of villages should be taken under Raj management till the whole of the arrears are recovered.

## 17- READ —

Endorsement No. 1580 dated 18th August 1920, by the P. W. Member on the application of Messrs. Eduljee Norowji requesting permission for laying a water pipe in his shop and dwelling house under construction near Ratanada.

## 17. Resolution.—

Resolved that permission be given on condition that all expenses of laying the water pipe, as well as the cost of water used, are paid by the applicant.

## 18. READ.—

Letter No. G. 4—16/1917/17398 dated 12th August 1920, from the Acting Manager, J. B. Railway to the Finance Member of Council enclosing two copies of the minutes of the meeting (copies attached) held in Jodhpur on 31st July 1920 regarding the financial position of the J. B. Railway Provident Fund. Also suggesting following two more points for inclusion in the decision arrived at the meeting:—

1. That no recalculation of interest at  $4\frac{1}{2}\%$  should be made on compulsory deposits or bonus withdrawn by depositors between 1st April 1920, and the date on which the confirmation of these arrangements shall be received by the Auditor of Accounts, J. B. Railway, Jodhpur.
2. That, when the amount at credit of the Sinking Fund is sufficient to meet all reasonable liabilities in regard to depreciation, all further sums which would otherwise have been credited to the Sinking Fund, shall instead be utilized towards increasing the interest on compulsory deposits and bonus.

Requesting to obtain the confirmation of the Darbar to the minutes (attached) and the above two additional points raised.

That on receiving the orders of the Darbar on the subject he would address the Secretary of the Railway Board as regards the share to be borne by the British Section.

## 18. Resolution.—

Resolved that the decision arrived at by the Committee held on 31st July 1920, regarding J. B. Railway Provident Fund as also the two further points on the same subject, as embodied in the Manager's letter quoted above, be confirmed.

## 19. READ —

Note No. 1582 dated 19th August 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting for final orders copy of letter No. 4097 dated 19th July 1920 from the Electric and Mechanical Engineer inquiring whether the privilege of exemption from paying 6% charges enjoyed by the Reverend. Dr. J. Sommerville extends even to his successors

## 19. Resolution—

Resolved that the concession be continued to Reverend Dr. Chalmers as a special case.

## 20. READ —

Note dated 25th August 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that Rur Singh Personal Assistant to the I. G. Police submits that owing to bad health he is unable to continue to serve the Darbar and he may kindly be granted as much privilege leave as may be due to him and that his resignation be sanctioned one day before the expiry of his leave. The I. G. Police recommends that applicant's request may be sanctioned and some remuneration be given to him for his honest and faithful services.

The Revenue Member recommends two month's pay as gratuity to Rur Singh and intimates that his work will be carried on temporarily by Inspector Piarey Lal pending the appointment of a permanent Personal Assistant.

## 20. Resolution—

Resolved that the recommendation of the Revenue Member be approved.

## 21. READ—

Estimate of Rs. 1,10,000/- required for expenses in connection with the visit of His Excellency the Viceroy in November next, put up by the Revenue Member.

## 21. Resolution—

Resolved that estimate be sanctioned.

## 22. READ—

Note dated 18th August 1920, by the Finance Member Sahib regarding gratuity to late Babu Devi Dayal, Assistant Superintendent Court of Wards submitting list of gratuities as required under C. R. No. 19 dated 24th August 1920.

## 22. Resolution--

Resolved that 12 months' gratuity calculated on the last pay of Babu Devi Dayal be sanctioned.

Sd PRATAP SINGH,  
Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON,  
Sd SUKHDEO.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH,  
Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Minutes of a meeting held at Jodhpur on 31st July  
1920 with reference to Manager's letter  
No. G4-16/15233 of 17th July 1920.

---

P R E S E N T .

1. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E.  
Finance Member of Council, Marwar State Jodhpur.
2. Mr. NIHAL CHAND,  
Accountant General, Bikaner State.
3. Mr. G. B. WARREN,  
Manager, Jodhpur Bikaner Railway.
4. Mr. A. N. J. HARRISON,  
Auditor, Jodhpur Bikaner Railway.

The meeting was arranged to discuss the financial position of the J. B. Railway Provident Fund and to decide the best means for placing the fund on a satisfactory basis.

On 31st March 1920, the market value of the securities of the Fund showed a depreciation of Rs. 2,66,946/- as compared with the purchase price viz Rs. 8,13,165/- This fall was due to the effect of the war on Government of India Securities in which 88% of the funds had been invested. A Sinking Fund which had been started in 1918-19 to act as a set off to depreciation amounted only to Rs. 5,378/- interest had further up-to-date been credited to depositors at 3 $\frac{3}{4}$ % as against 5 $\frac{1}{2}$  % allowed on other railways from 1st April 1919.

The following suggestions were put forward:—

- (1) For the three partners of the J. B. Railway system to provide an annual grant to the Sinking Fund until the amount was sufficient to cover the depreciation.
- (2) For the three partners to make good the amount of depreciation whenever it should be found necessary to sell securities in order to settle up a depositor.
- (3) For the Jodhpur & Bikaner Durbars to take over the fund on the lines adopted by the Government of India in regard to the Provident Funds of certain other railways ( vide note attached).
- (4) To sell all the 3 $\frac{1}{2}$ % Government paper now held by the fund and to invest the proceeds through the Agency of the Bikaner Government at the rate of 7%.

After considerable discussion it was decided:—

- (a) That the Management of the Provident Fund should remain in the hands of the Railway Administration and that the three partners would combine to maintain its solvency.
- (b) That the rate of interest for compulsory deposits and bonus should be raised from  $3\frac{1}{4}\%$  to  $4\frac{1}{2}\%$  with effect from 1st April 1920. As the present income derived from the investments of the Provident Fund was insufficient to meet this liability the deficit should be debited annually to the 3 partners in the ratio of gross earnings. That the rate interest for voluntary deposits shall remain @ $3\%$  as heretofore.
- (c) That in order to avoid the necessity of selling depreciated stock in an unfavourable market, whenever it will otherwise be necessary to sell securities in order to settle up one or more depositors at a time, no such sale of securities shall be made, but instead, a temporary advance free of interest will be charged to the partners in the ratio of gross earnings. The advance to be repaid at the convenience of the Provident Fund as soon as its finances permit. That the three partners will be liable for these temporary advances till such time as the market value of the securities of the fund is not less than their purchase price, or until depreciation is covered by the Sinking Fund.
- (d) That the Sinking Fund should be maintained and credited annually with interest earned from the funds at its credit, and that there is no objection to contributions from the Fine Fund being made to the Sinking Fund when the former is in a position to do so.
- (e) That as soon as the income from the investments of the Provident Fund exceeds the amount creditable to depositors at  $4\frac{1}{2}\%$  the balance should be credited to the Sinking Fund. until the depreciation on securities has been covered.

Sd/- R. E. A. HAMILTON,

FINANCE MEMBER OF REGENCY COUNCIL,

Marwar State.

Mr. NIHAL CHAND,

Accountant General, Bikaner State.

Mr. G. B. WARREN,

Manager, Jodhpur Bikaner Railway.

Mr. A. N. J. HARRISON,

Auditor, Jodhpur Bikaner Railway.

### The Jodhpur Bikaner Railway Provident Fund.

The Fund at present consists of compulsory and voluntary deposits from the Railway staff eligible for membership as well as the money at credit of the Fine Fund. The present state of the Provident Fund as on 31st March 1920, is described in the Auditor's Report for the year 1919-20. On that date the securities of the Fund show a depreciation of Rs. 2,66,946/- on Rs. 8,13,165/- invested. This depreciation is, of course, to a certain extent abnormal and will not be permanent but as the majority of the investments are in  $3\frac{1}{2}\%$  Government paper no rapid appreciation is expected in the near future. A Sinking Fund is in existence to act as a set off to depreciation but it only amounts to Rs 5, 378/- as the fund was started in 1918-19. The interest at present creditable to the depositors is only  $3\frac{3}{4}\%$  as against  $5\frac{1}{2}\%$  sanctioned by the Government of India from 1st April 1919 for the Provident Funds of State Railways and of the A. B., B. B. & C. I., G. I. P., Burma, E. I. R., and M. & S. M., Railway. The Sinking Fund can only be increased by keeping the rate of interest at  $3\frac{3}{4}\%$  and many years will elapse before the Fund will be strong enough to meet any call for payment to a depositor with large holdings unless the securities appreciate in a manner which seems unlikely at present.

The following proposal is, therefore, put forward for the consideration of the Durbars. The proposal is on similar lines to the arrangements made by the Government of India in regard to the Provident Funds of the above mentioned Railways:—

- (i) That the present securities of the J. B. Railway Provident Fund should be taken over by the Durbars at their purchase price.
- (ii) That all future deposits and bonuses should be credited to the Durbars.
- (iii) That all withdrawals should be made by the Railway by debit to the Durbars,
- (iv) That the personal accounts should be maintained by the Railway Auditor but that the books should be subject to such inspection or examination as the Durbars may desire.
- (v) That bonus at 100% be guaranteed to the depositors.
- (vi) That interest @  $5\frac{1}{2}\%$  be credited to the depositors with effect from 1st April 1919. On the same terms as in Railway Board's letter quoted in item (vii) below.
- (vii) That the other provisions of Railway Board letter No. 400F/16 of 20th July 1920, should be adopted and that in future all Government orders on minor points to be followed. Any Government orders on major principles to be referred to the Durbars for orders.
- (viii) That the Fine Fund be maintained & managed by the Railway administration *i.e.* that the funds standing at credit of the Fine Fund in the Provident Fund books *i.e.* Rs 3,047/- on 31st March 1920, be excluded from the above proposals.

As the J. B. Railway system is a tripartite system the following are the means suggested for carrying out the above proposal:—

- (a) That the present securities be transferred to the Jodhpur & Bikaner Durbars in the ratio of the average gross earnings for the five years ending 31st March 1920.
- (b) That the Government of India be requested either (i) to hand over their share of the securities to the Jodhpur & Bikaner Durbars and to make good the difference between the purchase price and the depreciation in cash or (ii) to pay to the Jodhpur & Bikaner Durbars their share of the purchase value of the securities in cash retaining their share of the securities. The credits to the Jodhpur & Bikaner Durbars will be made in the ratio of gross earnings of the Jodhpur & Bikaner railways for the 5 years ending 31st March 1920.
- (c) That the Government of India bear their share of the bonuses as hitherto, which will be credited to the Jodhpur & Bikaner Durbars half-yearly in the same ratio as suggested in (b) above.
- (d) That all future deposits bonus & withdrawals be credited or debited to the Durbars in the same ratio as in (b) above.

The ratio of gross earnings for the five years ending 31st March 1920 is as follows:—

Jodhpur Railway	Rs. 2,57,32,055/-	54.95 or 55.0
Bikaner Railway	Rs. 1,15,09,737/-	24.58, or 24.5
Jodhpur Hyderabad Railway	Rs. 95,86,213/-	20.47, or 20.5
		<u>1,00.00, or 1,00.0</u>

The securities and funds of the J. B. Railway Provident Fund as they stood on 31st March 1920, were as follows:—

	Face value	Purchase Price	Rate.	Market value.
Government paper 3½ % ...	4,29,000	4,13,051	58	2,48,820
<b>Railway shares:—</b>				
Alymensingh B. B. Railway ...	15,000	15,000	68	10,200
Sara Sorajgunj Railway ...	15,000	14,325	80	12,000
Futwah Islampur Railway ...	12,500	12,500	50	6,250
Chiparmukh Silghat Railway ...	12,500	12,500	75	9,375
Total ...	55,000	54,325		37,825
<b>Other Investments:—</b>				
British 5 % Loan 1929-49 ...	£ 6,650/-	93,950	2/4d	£ 5,694
Savings & Helpings Bank ...	Rs 99,750/- 1,253	1,253	85½ 100	48,806 1,253
5 % Indian War Loan 1929-47 ...	1,47,600	1,69,625	88	1,29,888
5½ % War Bonds 1928 ...	52,000	52,000	100	52,000
5 % New Indian War 1945-55 ...	26,900	25,692	92	24,748
Deposit with National Bank of India, London ...	£ 335/- 3,269/-	3,269	2/4d 100	2,879
Total ...	3,30,772	3,45,789		2,59,574
Grand Total ...	8,14,772	8,13,165		5,46,219

Add cash in hand.—Rs. 15,055/-. Hence this added to purchase price i. e. Rs. 8,13,165/- equal total deposits of subscribers on that date i. e. Rs. 8,28,220/-.

In addition to the above there is the Sinking Fund which on 31st March 1920 stood as follows:—

(1) With the Savings and Helpings Banks	..	3,649/-
(2) Cash ... ..	...	1,729/-
		<u>5,378/-</u>

If these funds are apportioned to the three partners in the above ratio of gross earnings the shares for each will be:—

	JODHPUR RAILWAY.		BIKANER RAILWAY.		J. H. RY.	
	Purchase Price.	Market value.	Purchase Price.	Market value.	Purchase Price.	Market value.
	Rs	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Government paper ... ..	2,27,178	1,36,851	1,01,198	60,961	84,675	51,008
Mymensingh B. B. Railway ...	8,250	5,610	3,675	2,499	3,075	2,091
Sara Serajgunj Railway ...	7,878	6,600	3,510	2,940	2,937	2,460
Fatwah Islampur Railway ...	6,875	3,438	3,063	1,531	2,562	1,281
Chiparmukh Silghat Railway ...	6,875	5,156	3,063	2,297	2,562	1,922
British 5 % Loan 29-47 ...	51,672	26,843	23,018	11,958	19,260	10,005
Savings & Helpings Bank ...	689	689	307	307	257	257
5 % Indian Loan 29—47 ...	93,294	71,438	41,558	31,823	34,773	26,627
5 % War Bonds ... ..	28,600	28,600	12,740	12,740	10,660	10,660
5 % New Indian Loan 1945-55 ...	14,131	13,612	6,294	6,063	5,257	5,073
Deposit with National Bank of India London. ...	1,798	1,524	801	705	670	590
Cash ... ..	8,280	8,280	3,689	3,689	3,086	3,086
Total ... ..	4,55,520	3,08,701	2,02,916	1,37,513	1,69,784	1,15,060
Depreciation for each Railway ...	...	1,46,819	...	65,403	...	54,724
Sinking Fund ... ..	...	2,958	...	1,318	...	1,102
Net Depreciation ... ..	...	1,43,861	...	64,085	...	53,622

If the Government of India agree to the proposal their share plus cash to make good depreciation or cash equivalent to purchase price of these shares i. e. Rs. 169,784/- less 1,102/- will be credited to the Jodhpur and Bikaner Durbars as follows:—

Jodhpur Railway @ 69,09, or 69	Rs.	1,17,151
Bikaner Railway @ 30,91, or 31	"	52,633
100	"	<u>1,69,784</u>

From the above figures Rs. 3,047/- being amount at credit of Sinking Fund should be deducted. It would be simplest to treat this as cash.



The Jodhpur & Bikaner Durbars will thus be in possession of all the Funds of the Jodhpur Bikaner Railway Provident Fund and it only remains to be seen how future deposits & bonuses will be credited to them and how future payments ( withdrawals ) will be debited to them. These credits and debits can be passed through the Railway accounts in the ratio given above *i. e.* 69% for Jodhpur Railway and 31% for Bikaner Railway. The share of bonus payable by the Jodhpur-Hyderabad Railway will also be credited in the same proportion. This ratio should be subject to revision at such times as the Durbars may desire.

By this proposal the Durbars will, it is hoped, be saved from loss as it is assumed they will not be forced to sell securities to meet withdrawals in the same way that the J. B. Railway Provident Fund would be forced. Thus time would be given for these securities to appreciate. If the general principle is accepted the details in regard to apportioning paper not subject to exact division may be settled later—also what should be done with the money invested in England.

It is suggested that interest on voluntary deposits be allowed at the same rates as that given in the Post Office Savings' Bank which is at present 3% and which is the present rate allowed by the J. B. Railway Provident Fund.

Annual Budgets for Provident Fund receipts & withdrawals will be required from the Railway administration. The charges for bonus appearing as a debit in the Authorisation Rolls will appear as a credit in the Provident Fund Budget.

				Face Value	Rate.	Market value on 29th July 1920. Amount.
				Rs.	Rs.	Rs.
Government paper	...	...	...	4,29,000	59·4	2,54,826
Mymensingh B B Railway	...	...	...	15,000	67	10,050
Sara Serajgunj Railway	...	...	...	15,000	68/2	10,275
Futwah Islampur Railway	...	...	...	12,500	50	6,250
Chapermukh Silghat Railway	...	...	...	12,500	53	6,625
5% British Loan	...	...	...	6,650	{ S. d. 1/10 a rupee	62,117
Savings' and Helpings' Bank	...	...	...	1,253		1,253
5% Indian War Loan 29—47	...	...	...	1,47,600	86·8	1,28,116
5½% War Bonds 1928	...	...	...	52,000	100	52,000
Deposit with National Bank of India...	...	...	...	26,900	92·8	24,963
Cash	...	...	...	£ 336	1/10%	3,665
				.....	.....	15,055
Total ... { M. V. ...				.....	.....	5,75,195
P. P. ...				.....	.....	8,28,220
Depreciation	...	...	...	.....	.....	2,53,025
Less Sinking Fund	...	...	...	.....	.....	5,378
Net depreciation on 31st July 1920.				.....	.....	2,47,647

# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 31st August 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.,
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B.A., C. I. E.,

## 1 READ.—

Note dated 14th August 1920, by the Revenue Member submitting the Bhoglawar case of:—

Plaintiff.	}	<i>Versus</i>	{	Defendant.
Vakil of Thikana Ramsin mortgagor.				Vakil Seth Sumermal Umed- mal-mortgagees.

Claim.—for redemption of 5 villages of Ramsin.

The Janch Partal Committee recommended Baraskati of 24 years to recover the balance of Rs. 27,820/- taking the average income per year at 1,600/-; subsequently the parties by mutual agreement fixed Baraskati of 30 years instead of 24.

The sub-committee remarks that the rule is to give Baraskati for 24 years only, that the parties without increasing or decreasing the sum due have agreed upon the Baraskati for 30 years.

The Revenue Member in submitting the papers remarks that it is a Baraskati by agreement and the period is only 6 years more. The question may be discussed in Council.

## 1. Resolution—

Resolved that according to the existing ruling a Baraskati of 24 years be sanctioned as recommended by the Janch Partal Committee.

## 2. READ—

Note No. 1601 dated 24th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting estimate of Rs. 740/- for payment and repairs to *Gao Shala* in *Juni Dhan Mandi*.

## 2. Resolution —

Resolved that the pavement and repairs to the *Gāushala* be carried out by the Darbar.

### 3 READ—

Note No. 1594/H. O. dated 21st August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that C. R. No. 4 dated 2nd April 1920, will be applied in other similar cases by the Rekha Hukamnama Department, but the latter solicit orders in the following cases:—

- 1 If a Jagirdar agrees to pay in kind and his village is given over to Hawala for realizing Hukamnama by taking produce of one year and then the Jagirdar agrees to pay in cash and Hawala reports that income by means of *Latas* would be less than that by cash payments, the cash payment, if allowed, would not put the State to any loss
- 2 That there are several Jagirdars who do not present themselves to agree to pay Hukamnama in cash or in kind and in consequence their villages are put under Hawala and afterwards they agree to pay in cash. Orders are solicited as to the limit of time by which Jagirdars should pay Hukamnama in cash. In such cases if the income assessed by Hawala be less than Hukamnama agreed to be paid in cash, there is no harm to the State in taking Hukamnama in cash, but if it be the reverse, orders are solicited whether *Uthantiri* be made or not and whether payment in cash be accepted or not.
- 3 If the Hawala be asked to make *Latai* of a certain village and the Hawala does not make *Latas* that year owing to the village yielding less than what was then estimated, but does the same next year when the crops are good and in the mean time the Jagirdar applies to pay in cash.

## 3. Resolution—

Resolved that according to the fundamental rule already laid down the Jagirdar has the first option to pay Hukamnama either in cash or in kind. But in the latter case if the *Latas* fall short of the Hukamnama figure, the Darbar are entitled as hitherto has been the practice to select another good year for realizing the same. Point No. 1 falls under the latter category and should be dealt with accordingly.

With regard to point 2 a period of three months be allowed to the Jagirdar and when he makes payment in cash *Uthantiri* should be made and cash accepted.

As to item No. 3 the Jagirdar cannot apply for cash payment when he has once accepted *Latai*.

### 4 READ.—

Note No. 1603/P. W. D. 84A. dated 25th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Household Comptroller solicits adjustment of 5 bills sent by the Electrical and Mechanical Department amounting to Rs. 828/7/3 after deducting Rs. 36/9/6.

#### 4. Resolution—

Resolved that adjustment be made by debit to the head "Arrears of late regime" after deducting the sum of Rs. 36/9/6 objected to by the late Comptroller of the Household.

#### 5. READ—

Note No. 1604 dated 25th August 1920, by the Revenue Member regarding trial of rebels Nathu Singh and Sal Singh by the Superintendent of the Parganas instead of by the Foujdar as the witnesses will be put to great trouble and expense in coming over to Jodhpur during the rainy season.

#### 5. Resolution—

Resolved that the Chief Court be asked to suggest the best course in the matter according to law.

#### 6 READ—

Arzdasht of Paras Mall heir of Sah Jit Mall Ghasimull of Nagour regarding execution of his civil decrees against certain Jagirdars.

#### 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the papers be referred to the Chief Court for opinion.

#### 7. READ—

Note No 1437 dated 24th August 1920, by the Finance Member recommending that the present rate of duty on cloth at 5% *ad valorem* be reduced to Rs. 3/2 - % for a period of two years after which the question of enhancing rate may be re-considered. That this proposal, if sanctioned, will be considered to have come into force at Jodhpur City station on the 7th of August 1920, and the rest as usual on the date of receipt of orders by other customs posts. Also suggesting certain facilities for prompt delivery of goods, their checking etc by the customs officials.

#### 7. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposal be approved as recommended.

#### 8 READ—

Note No. 1602/F. & T 10 dated 25th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating with reference to C. R. No 5 dated 20th July 1920, that in order to facilitate disposal of work the Census Superintendent suggests that he may be authorized to countersign the T. A bills of all the Census officials and submit his own T. A. bill to his Vice-President's office for counter-signatures.

#### 8. Resolution —

Resolved that the suggestion be approved.

## 9. READ—

Note No. 1608/ Mily. 104 dated 28th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib on a letter No. 5179/war. 129 dated 23rd August 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. to the Senior Member of Council regarding emergency landing grounds being established at Utarlai and Luni for air route between Karachi and Delhi.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that permission be given as desired and the Resident be informed.

## 10. READ—

Note No. 1465 dated 21st July 1920, (re-submitted on 28th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding application of Joshi Ganga-dass of Jodhpur for establishing motor service from Phalodi Railway station to Pokaran Ramdeora and other neighbouring village, suggesting that should it be deemed proper to allow motor service on the proposed route, tenders from others may as well be called for.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that the suggestion of the Vice-President be approved and tenders from others be called for.

## 11. READ—

Note No. 1607 dated 28th August 1920, by the Finance Member regarding distribution of War commemorative medallions to students

## 11. Resolution—

Resolved that the inquiries be made whether the medallions have been received and with whom they are.

## 12. READ—

Uzardari of the Thanvies of Phalodi against the order of the Chief Court:—

Plaintiffs Appellants. Thanvies of Phalodi.	{	<i>Versus.</i>	}	Defendants Respondants. Boras of Mallar of another Dhara of Phalodi.
--	---	----------------	---	--

Dispute regarding use of utensils of the *Niat* of *Pushkarna Brahmins* at Phalodi. The Chief Judge after hearing arguments of both the parties expressed his opinion that each party had a sufficient stock of utensils in its possession, that they were quarrelling for nothing and that in removing the utensils to the said temple (*Latialji-ka-Mandar*) both the parties would be amply rewarded and that the proposal of keeping the utensils in the temple appears a sound one.

The Boras of Malar pray that the utensils be kept where they are and should not be removed to *Latialji-ka-Temple*.

The parties were summoned to suggest an arbitrator but it could not be arranged.

A number of allegations were raised by the Thanvies against the conduct of vakil Amba Dass in this and other cases before the Council.

## 12. Resolution, —

Resolved that the utensils be ordered to be kept in *Latialji-ka-Mandar* as recommended by the Chief Judge.

With regard to the alleged conduct of Vakil Amba Dass the party agrieved may file a suit against him in proper Court if they so desire.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. SUKHDEO.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 7th September 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

**1. READ.—**

Note No. 1496 dated 29th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting the following Criminal case of:—

Shri Darbar.	}	Versus.	{	(1) Dayala son of Chhagniram. (2) Kaliya s/o Mangla Dhanka.
--------------	---	---------	---	--

Charged under Section 302 M. P. C. with murder of a boy Ram Kishen aged 6 years. Stating with reference to C. R. No. 17 dated 9th December 1919, that as stated by the Chief Court the Police have not been able to trace the Mohomedan boy.

The Court find the accused Dayala guilty as an accomplice in the offence since he himself has confessed but they acquit Kalya on the ground that there is no evidence against him except the statement of accused No 1.

Also read letter No. 547 dated 8th September 1920, from the Superintendent Jail intimating that as the accused No. 2 (Kaliya) had attempted to cut his own throat he is unable to be brought before the Council.

**1. Resolution —**

Resolved that papers be put up again when the accused No 2 (Kaliya) is able to appear before Council.

**2. READ.—**

Note No. 1609 dated 28th August 1920, by the P. W. Member, submitting application of Pandit Sundar Lal, Accountant P. W. D. requesting increment in his pay.

**2. Resolution —**

Resolved that an increment of Rs. 20/- p. m. instead of 10/- be given to the applicant as a special case, but in future years he will only receive an annual increment of Rs. 10/- rising to Rs. 175/- as already sanctioned.



3. *READ* —

Letter No. 3347 dated 17th August 1920, from the Superintendent of Education requesting sanction to the appointment of Mr. D. V. Wadekar B. A. as Assistant teacher in the Darbar High School Jodhpur on Rs. 80/- p. m. rising to Rs. 100/-.

## 3. Resolution —

Resolved that the appointment be sanctioned as requested.

4. *READ*.—

Note No. 1613 dated 30th August 1920, by the Finance Member regarding additions and alterations to Ravna School Building.

## 4. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposed addition of 4 rooms be sanctioned and an estimate be called for from the P. W. Department.

5. *READ* —

Estimate No. 608 for construction of a metalled road from the Railway Station to *Bhenruijika-thán* in Didwana city amounting to Rs. 16,070/- submitted by the P. W. Member, stating that the excess amount of Rs. 6,100/- over and above Rs. 10,000/- deposited by the Seth of Didwana in the State Treasury, has also been provided in the next year's budget.

## 5. Resolution,—

Resolved that the construction of the road be sanctioned as proposed. The P. W. Member be asked to report whether Jodhpur Municipality can supply 35 spare lanterns for the road.

6. *READ*.—

Note No. 1846/ Bakiat dated 30th August 1920, by the Finance Member recommending that the debt of Rs. 30,094/5/6 due from Solankhi Anji Malji may be written off, as the debtor possesses no assets except some ornaments on his wife's person.

## 6. Resolution —

Resolved that the debt be written off as recommended.

7. *READ* —

Application of the Chowdhries of Surpura Pargana, Jodhpur against the Vakil of Maharaj Fateh Singhji Sahib for turning them out of the village and for unnecessarily troubling them.

## 7. Resolution —

Resolved that the application of the Chowdhries be sent to Court Sardaran for necessary action as the case respecting this village is pending in that Court.

8. *READ.* —

Note No. 1611 dated 30th August 1920, by the Revenue Member recommending sanction of one year's gratuity at Rs. 40/- p. m. to Lala Ishardass the Record-Keeper of the Survey Settlement and the Hawala Department.

8. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that a gratuity of one year's pay be sanctioned as recommended by the Revenue Member.

9. *READ.*—

Note No. 1610 dated 28th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting letters from the Right Hon'ble the Earl of Carnwath, Editor of the publication "Royal Rulers and leaders of the East" addressed to His Highness the Maharaja Sahib Bahadur and to H. H. the Regent and stating that the Editor requests to be furnished with the data asked for by the Publishers Messrs. Zachnsdarf Id., London and also to evince the appreciation of the movement by extending the liberal patronage to it.

9. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the Vice-President be asked to send the required particulars and photoes and to order two copies of Special Edition De Luxe and four of the ordinary edition.

10. *READ.*

Note No. 1453 dated 16th July 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib soliciting orders about rate of Rekh at which *Neota* and *Nazar* may be realized from village Risanya in Sojat.

10. *Resolution* —

Resolved that Rekh and all other Durbar dues be charged at 1,200/- from and after the 21st February 1914 ( C. R. No. 8 ).

11. *READ*—

Note No. 1617 dated 31st August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding recovery of Hukamnama from Mutsaddi Jagirdars.

11. *Resolution* —

Resolved that the question be kept in abeyance till His Highness the Maharaja Sahib is invested with full ruling powers.

12. *READ*—

Note No. 1482 dated 24th July 1920, by the Revenue Member submitting application of the *Sahukars* of Merta city praying that they may either be allowed to file their *Ganwai Karzu* suits in the Bhoghlawa Committee or in the Civil Court as otherwise they have to suffer considerable loss

## 12. Resolution—

Resolved that the applicants be asked to state why they did not file their suits before the Janch Partal Committee within the period allowed for the institution of such cases.

### 13. *READ.*—

Letter No. 5210 dated 25th August 1920, from the Resident, W. R. States to the Senior Member of Council intimating in connection with the gift of æroplanes by the Government that the D. H. Q. æroplane will take two passengers besides the pilot and that the Handley-Paque Indo-Burmese Transport Ltd., Calcutta, will undertake to train pilots.

## 13. Resolution.—

Resolved that the fact be noted.

### 14. *READ.*—

Note No. 6433 dated 30th August 1920, by the Finance Member intimating that in response to C. R. No. 21 dated 30th April 1920, he has, out of the Reserve Fund of the State, procured 6½ Bonds of 1930 for Rs. 2,00,000/- endorsed in the name of His Highness Maharaja Sahib Sri Umed Singhji Sahib Bahadur. That they were in the safe custody of the Treasury Officer, Jodhpur, and the interest will be credited to His Highness' private account with the Alliance Bank of Simla Ltd., Ajmere every half-year. That the State Reserve Fund will be recouped out of the surplus revenue of the year 1919-1920.

## 14 Resolution —

Resolved that the action taken by the Finance Member be approved.

### 15. *READ.*—

Note dated 23rd August 1920, by the Revenue Member suggesting, in order to decentralize the work of the Court of Wards, to place 67 Thikanas under the direct management of the Hakims of Parganas.

## 15. Resolution—

Resolved that the Council is in favour of the proposal, but the Vice-President would like to have more time to consider whether the Hakims, who are already over-worked, will be able to undertake this addition to their charge. The case may be put up again after a fortnight.

### 16. *READ.*—

Note No. 1615 dated 30th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the number of execution cases in the Civil Court No. 1 is 336 and in order to clear off the arrears it is desirable to transfer about 125 executive cases from Civil Court No. 1 to Civil Court No. 2 and that 100 original cases of a simple nature as may be selected by the Chief Judge be given to B Sukhdeo Narain in addition to his present work and he be directed to work in the Civil Court No. 1 for such period as may be considered necessary by the Chief Judge.

The Chief Judge's proposal is that the execution cases pending in the Court of Civil Judge No. 1, may be transferred to Civil Court No. 2, and B. Sukhdeo Narain, Mr. Moti Lal, and B. Nani Lal Bhattacharya may be deputed to assist the Civil Judge No. 1 and to work in his Court for 3 or 4 months to clear off the arrears.

## 16. Resolution.—

Resolved that the attention of the Civil Judge No. 1 be drawn to the remarks of the Chief Judge that the disposal of cases was not satisfactory and that in future he must try to remove this defect. The Council, however, in order to reduce the heavy arrears, order that B. Sukhdeo Narain's deputation to the Civil Court No. 1 be extended to a further period of three months and that 100 original cases of simple nature to be selected by the Chief Judge may also be given to B. Sukhdeo Narain. That 125 execution cases be transferred to Civil Court No. 2 as proposed by the Vice-President.

## 17. READ —

Note No. 1605/ Budget 86 dated 27th August 1920, by the Revenue Member requesting sanction to an extra grant of Rs. 1,250/- under head "Arboriculture" owing to His Excellency the Viceroy's visit. The Finance Member has no objection to the extra grant being sanctioned.

## 17. Resolution.—

Resolved that an extra grant of Rs. 1,250/- be sanctioned as requested.

## 18. READ.—

Note No. 1347 dated 31st May 1920, by the Finance Member regarding grant of allowance of Rs. 100/- p. m. with effect from 1st January 1920, to Mr. Rodrigues for assisting the District Manager, Central District, Jodhpur-Bikaner Railway, in addition to his own duties of construction of the Desuri Sanderao line.

## 18. Resolution.—

Resolved that the allowance be sanctioned as proposed.

## 19 READ

Note dated 7th June 1920, by the Superintendent, Court of Wards, endorsed by the Revenue Member dealing with certain complaints against Vakil Ralla Ram in connection with the management of the Sindhri Thikana.

Thakur Hem Singh and Pandit Harbux, who made inquiries about the alleged irregularities of Ralla Ram appeared before Council and explained all the circumstances of the case.

Babu Ralla Ram also appeared and his arguments heard.

## 19. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Council are unable to give any final decision in this case until they have seen the original Will said to have been executed by late Rawal Kushal Singh of Sindhri. That Vakil Ralla Ram be directed to produce this Will which is said to be with the Maji. The case be put up after the Will is received.

## 20. READ.—

Letter (D. O.) No. 3018-3035 C. dated 31st August 1920, from the Hon'ble the Agent to the Governor-General, Rajputana, regarding donations to the Royal Air Force Memorial Fund.

## 20. Resolution—

Resolved that the Vice-President be asked to ascertain what Jaipur and Bikaner States have contributed towards the Fund.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH,

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON

Sd, MANGAL SINGH.

Sd SUKHDEO.

# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 10th September 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.,
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B.A., C. I. E.,

## 1. READ.—

Note No. 1620 dated 2nd September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting with reference to C. R. No. 8 dated 14th July 1920, the claim of Kuar Gulab Singh to acting allowance for working as Officer Commanding Sardar Infantry from 1st September 1918 to 21st December 1918.

## 1. Resolution —

Resolved that the acting allowance be sanctioned.

## 2. READ —

Note No. 1622 dated 4th September 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting an estimate amounting to Rs. 7,021/- for the construction of the following metalled roads in the city:—

- (1) From the existing paved read near Sobhawat Ranjit Singhji's House via Gulabsagar to meet existing paved road near Ganesh Prasad's house.
- (2) From *Mahavton-ki-Masjid* to meet the existing *Kankar* road near Nagori gate.

Also stating that the Executive Officer, Municipal Board strongly recommends the pavements of the following streets:—

- (1) Moti chowk with Daftries lane.
- (2) Sonaronki Ghati.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that construction of the two roads paved with Phadusar stone at a cost of Rs. 10,712/- be sanctioned and included in next year's budget. With regard to other roads in the city a programme with estimate of all the important roads in the city which should be paved or metalled be prepared and put up to Council.

### 3. READ —

Note No. 1623 dated 4th September 1920, by the P. W. Member stating that the Superintending Engineer, P. W. D. submits for sanction the revised estimate for construction of a combined Hakumat and Police Thana Building at Siwana amounting to Rs. 36,634/- in supersession of the original estimate of Rs. 31,572/-. That the excess of Rs. 5,062/- is due to the high rates of labour and materials. He further adds that the estimate be sanctioned chargeable to B. I. No. A II (3-1) Rs 10,000/- to clear up the long standing account.

### 3. Resolution—

Resolved that the revised estimate be sanctioned as proposed.

### 4. READ.—

Note No. 1621 dated 2nd September 1920, by the Revenue Member submitting for approval the transfer of Bakhtawar Singh, Superintendent Police, Barmer to Jalore and that of Sardar Mall Superintendent Jalore, to Barmer as suggested by the Inspector-General of Police owing to various complaints from the people of Malani against Thakur Bakhtawar Singh.

### 4. Resolution—

Resolved that the transfers be sanctioned as proposed.

### 5. READ —

Note No. 1626 dated 4th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting the application of the Probationary Hakims for increase in their pay.

### 5. Resolution—

Resolved that the pay of the Probationary Hakims be raised to Rs. 75/- p. m.

### 6. READ.—

Note No 1625 dated 5th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting an estimate amounting to Rs. 15,022/- for the construction of a new Bungalow and Office for the Superintendent of Post Offices, Western Rajputana Circle as desired by the Post Master General through the Resident, W. R. S.

### 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and the Resident, W. R. S. be addressed on the subject of rent which should be enhanced to 12% p. a. on the actual amount to be spent on the Building as the rate of 7½% from which the cost of annual repairs has to be deducted is low compared with the prevailing high rates of interest.

### 7. READ.—

Note No 1624 dated 4th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib asking for an extra grant of Rs 1,000/- under general budget head "Stationery."

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that the extra grant applied for be sanctioned.

### 8. READ —

Note dated 4th September 1920, by the P. W. Member requesting sanction to an estimate of Rs. 5,000/- for the renewal of the pipe line attached to the Sardar Rissala, and suggesting re-appropriation of the amount from the head "Electric and Power Scheme" in the current year's budget. The Finance Member has no objection to the re-appropriation suggested.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned as proposed.

### 9. READ —

Note No. 1619 dated 2nd September 1920, by the Finance Member requesting sanction to an increase from £ 300/- to £ 750/- to the annual salary paid to the Consulting Engineers of the Jodhpur Railway Messrs. Rendel Palmer and Tritton of London.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposed increase be sanctioned

### 10. READ —

Note No. 1629/Social 4 dated 7th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating with reference to C. R. No. 12 dated 13th August 1920, that the Hakim of Pali has requisitioned 50 men of Infantry and 75 Police and the Sojat Hakim 50 men of either Infantry or Police on the occasion of *Katal-ki-rat*.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that 70 men from Infantry to Pali and 50 from Police to Sojat be deputed to work under the orders of the Hakims.

### II. READ —

Letter No. 1202/S. dated 28th August 1920, from the Officer Commanding 2nd Regiment, Sardar Rissala to the Military Secretary regarding appointment of certain officers in the 2nd Regiment.

## 11. Resolution—

Resolved that the papers be referred to Captain Hornsby for opinion.

### 12. READ.—

Endorsement No. 1627/ Mil 67 dated 4th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting copy of letter No. 5147 dated 11th August 1920, from the Secretary to the Government of India Commerce Department to the Hon'ble the Agent to the Governor-General in Rajputana regarding disposal of Life Insurance Policies issued to German Nationals.

## 12. Resolution—

Resolved that a notice on the subject be published in the Marwar Gazette.



## 13. READ.—

Note No. 1640 dated 8th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting with reference to C. R. No. 14 dated 24th July 1920, the following recommendations of the Committee appointed to settle the question of the number of Sowars that are really necessary for the conveyance of the Census Supervisors and the Census Dak arrangements:—

- (1) That the co-operation of the public may be invited to provide conveyances for the Supervisors as was done on the previous occasions.
- (2) That in the absence of the Jamiat Sowars from whom such duties used to be taken formerly a foot or a peon may be given to the Supervisor instead to help him and in urgent cases to carry his Dak to the Hakumat Head Quarters.
- (3) That out of 263 Supervisors, 89 will be provided by Thikanas, and it is hoped that the Thikanas will provide an equal number of peons, and thus the number of Supervisors for whom "foot" or peons will have to be arranged will be reduced to 174. If this number could be arranged from the Military Department all extra expenditure would be avoided, otherwise the Hakims may be directed to engage the requisite number of temporary hands at the rate of Rs. 10/- p. m. for about 7 months.

The Committee further recommend.—

- (a) That owing to abnormal rise in prices and increase in the pay of State employees, the pay of the Supervisors should be fixed at Rs. 20/- each and that under this proposal they are not to receive anything on account of conveyance allowance.
- (b) That the pay of the Assistant Charge Superintendents for reasons stated above should be fixed at Rs. 25/- those getting below Rs. 25/- may be given the difference as allowance.
- (c) That Rs. 40/- for 2 Shutar Sowars p. m. at the rate of Rs. 20 per Shutar Sowar would do as conveyance allowance for the Assistant Charge Superintendents.
- (d) That the Assistant Charge Superintendents' Moharirs should be paid at the rate of Rs. 15/- p. m.

## 13. Resolution—

Resolved that the recommendations of the Committee be approved with the exception of item (1) about which the Vice-President be asked to ascertain and report what the Committee meant by the phrase "Co-operation of the public."

With regard to the employment of foot or peons the Hakims be ordered to engage the requisite number as no men will be available from the Military Department.

(Sd.) PRATAP SINGH.  
(Sd.) R. E. A. HAMILTON.  
(Sd.) SUKHDEO.

(Sd.) ZALIM SINGH,  
(Sd.) MANGAL SINGH.

*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 14th September 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

**1. READ.—**

Letter No. 942/S and 684 S. dated 15th March 1920, and 17th March 1920, from the Officers Commanding 1st & 2nd Regiments respectively recommending the following officers and men for specially distinguished service during the War,

First Regiment:—

Major Thakur Dalput Singh of Deoli for Jagir to his brother Jagat Singh in Ajmere Merwara.

Trumpet Major Sher Singh for Jangi-imam to his son Amar Singh.

Second Regiment:—

Capt. Thakur Aman Singh Bahadur for suitable Jagir.

Duffedar Jor Singh (1029)—for Jangi-imam in the form of special pension:—

Langri Sukhia—for a pension.

**1. Resolution.—**

Resolved that Resident, W. R. S. be requested to kindly address the Government of India for the grant of rewards to the officers and men as recommended.

**2. READ.—**

Note No. 1642 dated 9th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting certain suggestions made by the Census Superintendent with a view to put a stop to the obliteration of the numbers marked on houses, else it would hamper the progress of the Census operations.

**2. Resolution.—**

Resolved that the suggestions be approved and order issued accordingly. The Municipality be also directed to help in this respect.

**3. READ.—**

Estimate No. 649 for Rs. 1,430/- for raising the jumping stands higher in the four Sardar Rissala lines and constructing wooden jumps.

**3. Resolution —**

Resolved that the Officer Commanding be asked to have the necessary work done in Amani and submit his bill to P. W. Member who would charge it under Civil Agency. By removing the earth that has accumulated underneath the original required height of the stands would be restored and that no further horses' dung being thrown on the spot. The wooden jumps (Jangla) should be constructed of ordinary undressed wood similar to those at His Highness The Regent Sahib's stables.

**4. READ—**

Note No. 1639 dated 8th September 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting proposals for obtaining services of a town planning expert for a fixed period for the town of Jodhpur outside the city walls.

**4. Resolution,—**

Resolved that the Resident, W. R. S. be requested to secure services of a good town planning expert.

**5. READ.—**

Note No. 298 dated 28th August 1920, by the Military Secretary put up by the Private Secretary to His Highness The Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur recommending with reference to C. R. No. 15 dated 30th April 1920, that the money advanced as reward for recruiting to officers need not be recovered as it would have an undesirable effect.

**5. Resolution,—**

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

**6. READ —**

Note No. 1633/ Railway dated 8th September 1920, by the Finance Member regarding the Stroncho-Dutton Loco. Tractor System, intimating that on the matter being referred to the Manager, J. B. Railway the latter points out that the system was unsuitable for Marwar where the District roads are merely sandy.

**6. Resolution—**

Resolved that the fact be noted.

**7. READ—**

Note No. 1632/C. & D42 dated 8th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding adjustment of the price of 18 horses presented to Government as contribution towards War, stating that on a reference to the Nigrani Officer, the latter suggests that the average price of each horse may be calculated at Rs. 300/- and be credited to "Khasa Tabela" and debited to "War Charges".

## 7. Resolution.—

Resolved that book adjustment be made as suggested.

## 8. READ —

Letter No. 8241 dated 31st August 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member submitting an estimate amounting to Rs. 1,840/- for purchasing 8 sluice valves for Balsamand and Kailana mains, put up by the P. W. Member.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

## 9. READ—

Note No. 1644 dated 10th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding Post Bellum distribution of Relief to Soldiers

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that the answer to question No. 2 be again cleared as to whether there is really no difference in the scale of relief to be granted between an invalided and a wounded soldier.

The Commanding officers of both the Regiments of Sardar Rissala be reminded to submit early the statement required under C. R. No. 11 dated 17th August 1920.

## 10. READ—

Note No 1634/Ed. 16 dated 8th September 1920, by the Finance Member recommending scholarship of Rs. 8/- p. m. to Shamlal studying in the 1st year class of the Jaswant College.

## 10. Resolution--

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

## 11. READ—

Letter dated 18th August 1920, from Messrs. Deware & Co, Bombay regarding paintings and albums ordered by His late Highness Maharaja Shri Sumer Singhji Sahib.

## 11. Resolution.—

Resolved that the papers be referred to the Debt Committee for disposal.

## 12. READ.—

Arzdasht dated 27th August 1920, of the Vakil of Baiji Shri Murdhar Kuar Baiji Sahiba to His Highness The Maharaja Regent Sahib requesting that Baiji Sahiba's *Ha'h Kharach* and *Poshak* money should be ordered to be sent direct to the Zanani Deodhi and copies of the bills against Baiji be also sent to him and that Kamdar Gopi Vallabh be ordered to make over the *Nora* to him.

## 12. Resolution.—

Resolved that Baiji Sahiba be requested to nominate in place of Gopivallabh a good reliable man and that all the above requests of the Vakil be sanctioned and necessary orders issued.

## 13. READ.—

Letter No. 2087 dated 30th August 1920, from the Officer Commanding 1st Regiment Sardar Rissala to the Military Secretary, put up by the Private Secretary to H. H. The Maharaja Regent Sahib, stating that the current official year is about to close and the Durbar might stop making any further provision under the heading "War Charges" during the next financial year. That the War account of the Regiment cannot be finished during the current year and it is, therefore, requested that a suitable sum may either be provided in the State budget under head "War Charges" or the amount may be added in the budget of this Regiment for 1920-21.

That accounts will have to be settled under the following heads:—

1. Loss in the value of Field Treasure chest balance caused by variations of exchange.  
Sums advanced                      ...                      ...                      Rs 5,000/-
  2. On account of recruiting...                      ...                      .. 5,000/-
  3. Gratuities to be paid                      ...                      ... .. 5,000/-
- That the total sum required for this Regiment is  
estimated at                      ...                      ..                      .. 15,000/-

## 13. Resolution.—

Resolved that provision be made for items 2 & 3 in the next budget.

With regard to item No. 1 it is not understood how loss has occurred in the value of Field Treasure chest balance. The Commanding Officer be asked to explain point No. 1 more clearly by citing two or three concrete instances.

## 14. READ —

Note No. 1636 dated 8th September 1920, by the P. W. Member regarding improvement of the canal of *Ranisar* tank at Phalodi by the inhabitants of the town.

## 14. Resolution—

Resolved that the inhabitants of Phalodi be permitted to improve the Canal at their own cost as requested by them.

## 15. READ —

Note by the Finance Member on J. B. Railway Manager's letter No. E. 54/18718 dated 26th August 1920, soliciting sanction for the following—

1. Confirmation of Mr. J. H. Stirling as Loco. Carriage and Wagon Superintendent
2. Recruiting another Assistant Loco. Officer in England on the revised scale of pay for the Officers of the J. B. Railway.
3. One year's leave to Mr J. H. Stirling on medical certificate from October 1920.

## 15. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposals be referred to the joint Conference of the J. B. Railway to be held on the 20th instant. That final orders will be passed with the concurrence of the Bikaner Durbar.

## 16. READ,—

Note No. 1630/R. R. 44 F dated 8th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding the proposed extradition arrangements between the Jodhpur Durbar and the Darbars in Central India stating that the Resident, W. R. S. in his letter No. 3033/499E dated 6th August 1920, informs that the States mentioned below have intimated their willingness to enter with the Marwar Durbar into such an agreement on the basis of the Wyllie Rules as they stand and desires to be informed the date from which the arrangements are to be given effect to. That the Resident, also desires to know whether the Darbar's acceptance of the rule in force in Central India in respect of the mutual waiver of feeding and transit charges of accused persons and property in the case of Gwalior is also to apply to other Darbars in Central India who have now agreed to the extradition reciprocity with them.

(a) INDORE AGENCY.—

Indore.

(b) BHOPAL AGENCY.—

Bhopal.

Narsingarh.

Rajgarh.

Khilchipur.

(c) BAGHALKHAND AGENCY —

Rewa.

(d) BUNDELKHAND AGENCY.—

Orcha.

Datia.

Samthat.

Punna.

Chorkari.

Ajaigarh.

Bijawar.

Baoni.

Chhatarpur

Sarila.

(e) MALWA AGENCY.—

Dewas Senior and Junior.

Jaora

Ratlam.

Sailana.

Sitamaoo.

Piploda.

Bagli

The Vice-President Sahib remarks that as regards feeding and transit charges it has been decided between Gwalior and Jodhpur that the surrendering State will bear all charges for feeding and detention

That in the list sent by the Resident there were several petty States and it is for Council to decide whether it would be advisable for the Durbar to enter into extradition reciprocity with those States as well.

## 16. Resolution—

Resolved that the Resident, W. R. S. be informed that the Jodhpur Darbar agree to enter in to extradition arrangements on the same lines as with Gwalior Darbar with those States only of the list given above who enjoy the honor of Salutes from the Government of India, and that this arrangement be given effect to from 1st November 1920.

17. *READ*.—

Note No 1638 dated 8th September 1920, by the P W Member stating that the Superintending Engineer on being asked has submitted certain draft rules and regulations together with Government Indian Factories Act XII of 1911 as also rules put forward by the Electrical and Mechanical Engineer for the guidance of the Mill owners and Municipality. The P W Member recommends that the simple rules suggested by the Electrical and Mechanical Engineer may be passed.

## 17. Resolution—

Resolved that rules suggested by the Electrical and Mechanical Engineer be passed as amended (copy attached.)

18 *READ*.—

Letter No. E 58/17841 dated 17th August 1920, from the Acting Manager, J B Railway to the Finance Member stating that in the Vice-President's letter No. 4199/Est 9 G 2 dated 27th July 1915, it has been laid down that the original terms laid down by Government of India in Home Department in their No. 2430/2441 dated 12th November 1914, shall apply also to officers on this Railway. That from the above he concludes that all subsequent rules issued by the Government of India on the same subject from time to time will also apply. If such is the case, then as per Government of India, Finance Department letter No. 384 C. S. R. of 28th April 1919, Major Garden and Captains Evans and Temperley are due pay and allowances for the period between their demobilization and joining the Jodhpur Bikaner Railway. That Captain Temperley was unable to accept the first passage offered as he was about to be married, and under the Government of India rules applying to his case, has applied to him for privilege leave to cover this period between the day on which he was offered his first passage and the day on which he was offered his second passage viz from 8th September 1919 to 15th December 1919. Requesting that the Durbar's sanction may be obtained to the same.

## 18. Resolution--

Resolved that subject to concurrence of the Bikaner Durbar, the Council agrees to the application of Government of India's (Finance Department) letter No. 384 C. S. R of 28th April 1919, in such cases and that Capt. Temperley's case also be treated in accordance with Article 2 of Government of India Finance Department letter No. 215 dated 11th October 1918, to the Secretary of State being enclosure to letter No. 384 quoted above.

19. *READ*.—

Note No 1637 dated 8th September 1920, by the P. W. Member stating that the Superintending Engineer submits for sanction a revised estimate amounting to Rs. 2,087 for the construction of a *Pucca* well with two Persian wheels on the Erinpura Road in the limits of Gahani

## 19. Resolution.—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and included in the next year's budget.

## 20. READ.—

Note No. 1613 dated 8th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding extradition arrangements between Jodhpur and Bikaner States.

Also read copy of letter No. 2028 dated 6th July from the Political Member of Council Bikaner to the First Assistant to the Hon'ble the Agent Governor-General in Rajputana forwarded by the Resident, W. R. States under his letter No. 2912 dated 31st July 1920.

## 20. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Council agree to Bikaner Darbar's suggestion that Rajputs as a class and persons whose females according to the custom and usage of the country and of the society they live in, do not appear in public, be treated as *Pardah Nashin* classes and that the addition of the following sentence at the end of Section 5 is approved "but the *Zenana* quarters of a *Pardah Nashin* class shall in no circumstances be searched without such a warrant".

That the epithets "*Fazim* and high" in section 17 need not be inserted.

That the Council agrees to the retention of Section 477 A. so far as it relates to the offence of desertion from any body of Imperial Service Troops

With regard to last para of the Bikaner Political Member's letter, the Jodhpur Durbar is prepared to modify the rules. A draft for modifying the rules be put up by the Vice-President Sahib.

Resolved that the Vice-President may then reply to the Bikaner Darbar's communication on the subject on the above lines.

## 21. READ.—

Note dated 20th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib to the Finance Member requesting for an additional grant of Rs. 1,00,000/- one lac) under head "Rajasthan" to meet payment of heavy bills in connection with the visit of Sri Suraj Kuar Baiji Lal Sahiba and His Highness the Maharaja of Rewa.

## 21. Resolution.—

Resolved that sanction be accorded as requested.

## 22. READ.—

Copy of telegram dated 10th September 1920, from the Police Assistant to the Hon'ble the Agent Governor-General Rajputana to the Resident, Western Rajputana States, intimating departure of two special trains of repatriates from Bombay to Erinpura Road for Sumerpur Prisoner's Camp.



## 22. Resolution.—

Resolved that the fact be noted.

## 23. Resolution.—

Resolved that on the verbal representation of the Vice-President the C. R. No. 12 dated 27th August 1920, regarding certain increments to staff servants of late Mir Fiaz Aliji be cancelled as the said servants have now been found to be stipendiaries, increments sanctioned under C. R. No. 12 dated 27th August 1920, may be cancelled.

## 24. READ.—

D. O. Letter No. 5385 dated 9th September 1920, from the Resident W. R. S. to the Vice-President Sahib suggesting the name of Sir Alfred Dent as the most suitable expert advisor for the Broad Gauge Railway scheme under contemplation.

Also read Finance Member's note suggesting that in case the Council approves of the above appointment the Resident be asked to carry through the negotiations for securing Sir A. Dents' services this winter.

## 24. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Council approve the proposed appointment and that the Resident may be requested to negotiate in the matter.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH,

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON,

Sd. SUKHDEO.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH,

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

## RULES FOR THE GUIDANCE OF THE MILL OWNERS AND MUNICIPALITY.

---

1. Any person intending to open a mill in the Marwar territory must apply to the Mehkma Khas for the permission, stating the site proposed and should not begin work until sanction has been obtained.
2. The site will be inspected by the State Electrical & Mechanical Engineer, and the permission will be given to the owner to start the work, if it is approved.
3. Persons intending to run their mills by electricity, in Jodhpur city, must state so in their original applications and the power will be supplied to them if available, and sanctioned by the Mehkma Khas.
4. Persons wishing to install oil engines get their machinery first inspected by the Electrical & Mechanical Engineer and permission will be given to them if the Engineer is satisfied that the machinery is quite safe and in proper working order.
5. The Owners of the oil engines will have to provide for some sort of guard as may be thought fit by the State Electrical & Mechanical Engineer, to safe-guard the danger to human life. They will have to engage an expert fitter to work their engines to avoid accidents.
6. The owners of the mills will have to get their mills inspected quarterly and if the Electrical & Mechanical Engineer passes them as workable machine the licensee can continue working them and if the Engineer finds the machines defective the licensee shall have to stop pending proper repairs. Any violation of this will render the license liable to being forfeited.
7. The Municipal officer will not allow any body to construct any building meant for mills unless he obtains the permission of the Mehkma Khas to do so.
8. The working hours for these mills will be between sunrise and sun-set and under no circumstances they will be allowed to run during the night time.
9. The labourers will have to be given 4 holidays in a month, and can be engaged on overtime on holidays only if they work willingly without any compulsion.
10. A fee of Rs. 25/- will be charged for the first inspection to be made by the Electrical & Mechanical Engineer and this amount will be deposited in the State Treasury, and a fee of Rs. 5 will be charged for subsequent examination.



The following paper was settled by circulation and approved by His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib on 14th September 1920

---

1. Note No. 1282 dated 29th April 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib recommending a lump sum of Rs 125/- as acting allowance to Lala Devi Persad for working in charge of the Historic office for 4 months and 10 days after the death of late Rai Bahadur Thakur Guman Singhji.

## 1. Resolution—

Resolved that the amount be sanctioned as recommended

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 18th September 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

1. *READ.—*

Note No. 1653 dated 11th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding outstandings due from several persons to the Sardar club.

1. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the Council agree to take over the debts as a set off against the sum of Rs. 1,275/- due by the Sardar club to the Ice Factory. The amount due from K. Gulab Singh be deducted from the Acting allowance ordered to be paid to him for acting as Commandant Sardar Infantry, and that due from the Secretary Polo club be written off, and the rest of the list be sent to the Bakiat office to make recoveries from the persons concerned.

2. *READ—*

Note No. 1652 dated 11th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding payment of certain bills amounting to Rs. 971-2-0 for articles supplied for the Indian War Memorial.

2. *Resolution —*

Resolved that the bills be passed and payments made as requested.

3. *READ—*

Letter No. 1266/S dated 7th September 1920, from the Officer Commanding 2nd Regiment Sardar Rissala to the Military Secretary, put up by the Private Secretary to H. H. The Maharaja Regent Sahib, requesting that a sum of Rs. 10,000/- may be provided in the State Budget under the head "War Charges" or the amount may be added in the budget of the 2nd Regiment in order to meet certain charges the account of which was under preparation.

### 3. Resolution—

Resolved that provision be made in the next budget under head "War Charges" as requested.

### 4. READ.—

Note No. 1647 dated 11th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding revision of the Rekhs of the Patta villages of Thikana Daspan after ascertaining its income for the last ten years.

### 4. Resolution—

Resolved that a fresh reminder be issued to the Thikana to submit their figures of ten years' income at an early date.

### 5. READ—

Note No. 1649 dated 11th September 1920, by the Revenue Member regarding smuggling of liquor from Jaipur side into Marwar territory.

### 5. Resolution—

Resolved that the Resident, W. R. States, be requested kindly to move the Railway Police Authorities to co-operate with the Darbar Excise officials in the detection of country liquor smuggling cases occurring in R. M. R. limits.

### 6. READ—

Note No. 1651 dated 11th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding addition of the following offence in the extradition agreement between Marwar and Mewar "desertion from the State troops" and "failure by a member of the State forces to return to duty on the expiration of his leave."

### 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the addition of the offences proposed by Mewar be accepted.

### 7. READ—

Note No. 1648 dated 11th September 1920, by the Revenue Member, regarding completion of the Forest road from Khejri-ka-bala to Haripur Railway Station stating that the Superintending Engineer was of opinion that any further outlay on the road was not advisable and that he (Revenue Member) agreed with the Superintending Engineer.

### 7. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposed construction of the road need not be undertaken.

8. *READ—*

Note No. 1654 Dated 13th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting with reference to C. R. No. 20 dated 17th February 1920, the report of the inquiries made on the following points:—

- (1) For what amount, the Ahor Thikana had given the present contract of bricks and for what sum it used to be given before.
- (2) What amount was received from the Ahor Thikana towards War loan subscription and whether any War bond was purchased for the amount received.

8. *Resolution.—*

Resolved that the applicants be informed that the contract of bricks given by the Thikana is right and proper, the price of bricks must have increased along with the present day general increase in other commodities. With regard to War loan, the Thikana be directed to issue separate receipts to the Royals for the amounts they contributed and to give an undertaking in writing that the amounts with interest will be paid to each of them when received.

9. *READ—*

Letter No. 8474 dated 9th September 1920. from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member submitting an estimate of Rs. 1,316/- for repairs and colour washing of the State Bungalow formerly occupied by P. Chhaju Ramji.

9. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

10. *READ—*

Estimate of Rs. 1,574/8/3 by the Superintendent of Gardens for laying down an extra strip of lawn in front of the large tent at the Residency and to supply extra pots with plants for the Camp and at Mandor, Balsamand and Palace gardens from the amount provided for His Excellency The Viceroy's visit.

10. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned as requested.

11. *READ—*

Letter No. 8325 dated 4th September 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member, submitting an estimate of Rs. 11,942/- for supplying Electric light, telephone and fans for the Hewson Hospital.

11. *Resolution—*

Resolved that, in view of proposal to build a new hospital an expensive Electric installation should be avoided, a fresh estimate being called for to cover the cost of temporary arrangements for only such a number of lights & fans, besides the telephone, as may be essential. The work, however, should be expedited.



**12. READ—**

Note No. 1650 dated 11th September 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that the Zimewar Thikana Nimaj proposes a sum of Rs. 1,000/- being paid to Thakur Sheonath Singh, the Kamdar of the Thikana as gratuity on his retirement.

**12. Resolution —**

Resolved that a gratuity of Rs. 1,000/- be sanctioned as proposed.

**13. READ.—**

Note No. 1646 dated 10th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, submitting the Arzdasht of the Hakim of Sambhar requesting that the camel Sawars and Sepoys there be supplied with guns, percussion caps and cartridges and that 25 or 30 men of the Sumer Infantry with arms and amunition may be deputed as a safe guard for prevention of dacoity at Sambhar.

**13. Resolution —**

Resolved that inquiries be made from the Jaipur Darbar whether such a large force is really necessary.

**14. READ.—**

Note No. 1655 dated 13th September 1920, by the Finance Member stating that the Manager, J. B. Railway forwards copy of a letter from Messrs. Tata & Sons expressing their inability to enter into contracts for the supply of rails to this Railway. That the Manager further states that as the purchase in England of the rails required for relaying between Merta Road & Bhagu has already been sanctioned, the only rails still remaining to be ordered for new construction are the rails for the extension of the Desuri Railway from Desuri to Sanderao. That it would be better to wait until he hears from the Consulting Engineers in England as to the general trend of the market there before placing the order for these rails in England. That at the present rate the capital cost of the Desuri Railway will be greatly enhanced.

**14. Resolution.—**

Resolved that the Manager's recommendation be approved.

**15. READ.—**

Note No. 1,645 dated 10th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, submitting with reference to C. R. No. 5 dated 2nd April 1920, the papers regarding the dispute between Thikana Kuchaman & Mithri over village Banwali.

**15. Resolution —**

Resolved that the papers be referred to the Consultative Committee for opinion.

**16. READ.—**

Note dated 15th September 1920, by the P. W. Member, suggesting that an advertisement in the leading papers may be published for filling up the post of Superintending Engineer P. W. D. and that the Resident may also be addressed with a view to finding a suitable incumbent for the post.

## 16. Resolution.—

Resolved that the suggestion be approved.

## 17. READ.—

Letter No. 8653 dated 14th September 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member forwarding a telegram dated 13th September 1920, from Messrs. Rolls Royce & Co., Bombay, asking whether precedence may be given to Government over Darbar's chassis.

## 17. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Firm be informed in reply that precedence be given to Government over this Darbar's chassis.

## 18 READ.—

Note dated 17th September 1920, by the Revenue Member, stating that there is no light at present on the road from the Residency to the Railway Station. As His Excellency The Viceroy will, during his visit to Jodhpur, pass by this road after dark, it would be advisable to have the road lighted, and that an arc lamp in front of the Railway Station is equally necessary as a permanent arrangement. That there are sufficient materials to put up electric light on the road except that water-tight-brackets, lamp pipe etc. will have to be ordered at an estimated cost of Rs. 1,500/-. Recommending that the work may be sanctioned and the P. W. Member may be asked to take it up immediately.

## 18. Resolution.—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

## 19. READ.—

Note No. 1485 dated 26th July 1920, by the Revenue Member recommending Jamadar Gulab Singh, Sardar Rissala being sent to Philour or Moradabad for Police training and that he be given a scholarship of Rs 70/p. m. for the period of his training and be appointed Police Inspector on his return.

## 19. Resolution —

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

## 20. READ —

D. O. Letter No. 5540 dated 17th September 1920, from the Resident, W. R, States to the Vice-President Sahib, forwarding copy of letter dated 14th September 1920, from the General Manager of Reuters Ltd. Bombay to the Resident, and stating that from the said letter it is clear that the sender of the telegram from England of 20th April regarding the purchase of two new Locomotives was Mr. E. B. Cawthorne.

## 20. Resolution.—

Resolved that the fact be noted.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.



# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 22nd September 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj Genera  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.,
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B.A., C. I. E.,

## **1. READ.—**

Note No: 1662 dated 15th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, regarding the realization of arrears of *Gharoo* lag from Thikana Kuchaman on villages held by it in virtue of mortgage.

## **1. Resolution —**

Resolved that inquiries be made as to the date of the late Thakur of Kuchaman's death and whether the delay on the part of the Thikana in complying with the Mehkma Khas orders dated 3rd October 1916 was due to advent of the minority regime in the Thikana. Papers be put up again with the above information.

## **2. READ —**

Note No: 1664 dated 15th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, regarding the Prisoners of War Camp at Sumerpur, stating that the Resident, W. R. States in his letter No: 5358 dated 8th September 1920, intimates that owing to the present situation in Mesopotemia the prisoners from that country will have to be brought over to India and that, therefore, it is hoped the Darbar will have no objection to the postponement for the present of the work of dismantling the camp.

Also read Resident's letter No: 5459 dated 13th September 1920, requesting permission to demolish for Military reasons two small buildings on the west side of the Camp: that the question of compensation for the occupants of the buildings was under reference by the Commandant with the Divisional Commander.

## **2, Resolution—**

Resolved that in view of the present situation in Mesopotemia and the possibility of more prisoners coming from that country, the Darbar have no objection to the postponement for the present of the work of dismantling the Prisoners of War Camp at Sumerpur. That necessary orders for the demolition of the two buildings on the west side of the Camp be issued as desired.

### 3. READ —

Note No: 1661 dated 15th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, stating that Khan Sahib Merwanji Khambatta has been promoted in British service with effect from 1st March 1920 to the post of Registrar of the Hon'ble the Agent Governor-General's Office to Rs. 400-20-500. That the Officer's pay was fixed here at Rs. 425/- i.e., he was allowed Rs. 25/- per cent more than the pay (Rs. 340/-) he actually drew in British Service on the date of his transfer. That under Article 764 IV (a) Ibid Khan Sahib, appears to be entitled to increased pay in foreign service, which should be a sum not exceeding Rs. 20% of his revised pay of Rs. 400-20-500 in British Service, with effect from 1st March 1920.

### 3. Resolution—

Resolved that increment be granted accordingly under Article 764 IV (a) C. S. R. with effect from 1st March 1920.

### 4. READ.—

Note No: 1663 dated 15th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, regarding the dispute between the Hindus and Mohomedans of Pali about the passage of Gher party by the Chhipas mosque.

### 4. Resolution—

Resolved that papers be put up again after two weeks.

### 5. READ.—

Letter No: 5441 dated 11th September 1920, from the Resident, W. R. States to the Senior Member of Council, stating that Mr. Robert Sewell has applied to the Government of India for permission to publish an official issue of a volume on Indian Chronology, and inquires whether any copies of the publication are required by the Jodhpur Darbar; submitted by the Vice-President Sahib, under his endorsement No: 1665, dated 17th September 1920.

### 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Resident be informed in reply that this Darbar would like to purchase five copies of the book.

### 6. READ.—

Note No: 1609 dated 17th September 1920, by the P. W. Member intimating with reference to C. R. No: 11 dated 9th July 1920 that the Resident, W. R. S. informs that the original telegram regarding purchase of locomotives for the conservancy tramway having been destroyed under rule 137 Section II Indian Telegraph Guide; it is impossible to trace the person who sent it from Bombay.

### 6. Resolution —

Resolved that the fact be noted.

## 7. READ.—

Note No: 1666/K. 16 dated 17th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, stating with reference to C. R. No: 4 dated 25th June 1920 that the Hakim of Jalor reports that inquiries show that Rebari Jaswanta has got no private herd of camels of his own.

## 7. Resolution —

Resolved that under the circumstances mentioned in the Vice-President's notes the camels standing in the name of Rebari Jaswanta may be taken to have died and that the said Rebari be now released from custody.

## 8. READ. —

Note No: 1667 dated 17th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, stating with reference to C. R. No: 13 dated 12th March 1920, that the Thakur of Kurki requests that the sum of Rs. 5/- allotted to him for fruits be raised to Rs. 10/- p. m.

## 8. Resolution.—

Resolved that the increase applied for cannot be sanctioned

## 9 READ —

Note No: 1668/- T. & F. 102 E. dated 17th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, intimating with reference to C. R. No. 3 dated 16th July 1920 that the Daroga Zenani Dodhi under his Arzdasht No: 81/596/8 dated 10th August 1920, reports as follows:—

1. That Singar Kuar Baiji receives nothing from her *Susral*
2. That Besar Kuar Baiji also receives nothing from her *Susral* and
3. That Kisher Kuar Baiji is at her *Susral*'s and her allowance from this State is sent to her by money order.

## 9 Resolution.—

Resolved that the following increments be sanctioned in the allowances of the three Baijies with effect from the same date as those sanctioned under C. R. No: 3 dated 16th July 1920:—

			Rs
1.	Singar Kuar Baiji	...	30
2.	Besar Kuar Baiji	...	30
3.	Kisher Kuar Baiji	...	5

## 10. READ.

P. O. No: 5522 dated 16th September 1920, from the Resident W. R. States to the Senior Member of Council forwarding copy of a letter from Mr. Wilcox residing in Bournemouth who has apparently been defrauded by Mr Cawthorne.

## 10. Resolution —

Resolved that the fact be noted

11. *READ—*

Note No: 1673 dated 20th September 1920, by the Revenue Member requesting sanction to a sum of Rs. 13,000/- for Siropao etc. on the occasion of betrothal of Awa Baiji to Mandwa Kuarji and stating that a sum of Rs. 10,000/- had been provided in the Thikana budget in connection with Baiji's marriage and that a further grant of Rs. 3,000/- may be sanctioned.

## 11. Resolution —

Resolved that sanction be accorded as requested.

12. *READ—*

Letter dated 19th September 1920, from H. H. The Maharaja Sindhia of Gwalior to H. H. The Maharaja Regent Sahib, asking for a supply of 9705 cubic feet of Makrana Marble to erect a marble canopy as a memorial to his deceased mother.

## 12. Resolution—

Resolved that necessary orders be issued to allow of 9705 cubic feet of marble being exported to Gwalior free of royalty.

13. *READ.—*

Note dated 21st September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, regarding the purchase by the State of crockery belonging to Mr. Cruickshank for Rs. 502/-.

## 13. Resolution,—

Resolved that sanction be accorded as requested.

14. *READ.—*

Note dated 21st September 1920; by the Vice-President Sahib, regarding a house being given to Dr. Abdul Rasaq on rent.

## 14. Resolution —

Resolved that the house behind Neniji's temple be given to Dr. Abdul Razak of a rent of Rs. 7/- per mensem and that Sita Ram be directed to live in his own house in the city.

15. *READ—*

Extract from confidential Memo No: 20/1313/R, dated 8th September 1920 from the D. A. D: R. T. embarkation staff Bombay regarding disposal of details of EX. S. S: "Coconada" destined for Sumerpur Prisoners Camp.

## 15. Resolution—

Resolved that the fact be noted.

**16. READ.—**

Letter No: 5524 dated 16th September 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. to the Senior Member of Council intimating that the United Provinces Government hope to release Mr. D. L. Drake Brockman for employment in the Jodhpur State from about the 31st October 1920. That the definite date will be communicated some time in October.

**16. Resolution,—**

Resolved that the fact be noted.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. SUKHDEO.

Sd. ZALIM SINGH.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.





# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 28th September 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.,
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B.A., C. I. E.,

## 1. READ.—

Application dated 12th September 1920, of Jamnadass resident of Jalore to H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib through the P. W. Member requesting permission to open a motor Agency to ply Motors on certain terms between Erinpura Road station and Jalore via Takhatgarh and Ahore.

## 1. Resolution—

Resolved that the P. W. Member be asked to issue a notice in the Marwar Gazette inviting tenders from the public. That besides including the terms stated in this application the following further particulars may also be called for:—

1. The time limit of the contractor's lease.
2. Whether the construction and maintenance of the road would be undertaken by the leasee or the Darbar. The leasee should state his conditions in both cases separately.
3. Whether state cars and other private motors not plying on hire, will be allowed to run free of charge or will there be any special restrictions for use of the road in the event of its being built and maintained by the contractor.

## 2. READ —

Letter dated 16th September 1920, from the Manager Sir Pratap School to H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib requesting permission on certain conditions to float another lottery of Rs. 60,000/- in aid of the School.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that sanction be accorded for floating the lottery as proposed, subject to drawing taking place under the control and supervision of the same Raj officials as in the first lottery.

### 3. READ —

Letter No. 787 dated 19th September 1920, from the Principal Rajput Schools Chopasni to the Finance Member requesting confirmation of the appointment of Sundar Singh (Rajput) appointed vice Harcharan Dass to the vacant post of a graduate Assistant Master.

## 3. Resolution —

Resolved that the appointment be confirmed and that the Principal be asked to report the place of residence of Sundar Singh and the clan of Rajputs to which he belongs.

### 4. READ —

Note No 1679 dated 20th September 1920, by the Finance Member recommending approval of the following suggestions made by the Acting Principal Rajput Schools Chopasni,

1. That the supply of free *Dhoties* to the boys of the Rajput Schools be stopped.

2. That all replacements of pen and inkpots after a first free issue, be made at the boy's own expense.

## 4. Resolution.—

Resolved that item No. 1 be approved; boys who want *Dhoties* should pay for them themselves.

With regard to point (2) after the first free issue of writing materials, these will not be replaced at State expense within a period of a year from the date of issue except for special reasons.

### 5. READ.—

Note No 1681 dated 20th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding levy of Nazrana and fixing of Rekh on Ramgarh Khera Thikana Nimaj.

## 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that further enquiries be made from Hazuri Dafter and Dastri if any Khera or Kheras were mentioned in any of the Pattas of Thikana Nimaj and if so in which year.

### 6. READ,—

Note No 1964/Bakiat dated 17th September 1920, by the Finance Member proposing that the word 'houses' under C. R. No. 4 dated 24th August 1920, may be changed and the words 'immovable property' may be inserted instead, so that the remaining property of Patwas as mentioned in his former note may also be returned to them.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the Patwas be directed to produce further proofs of title to lands claimed.

### 7. READ —

Note No. 1687/P. W. D. dated 20th September 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting application of Vakil Ralla Ram for a water tap in his house.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that the application of Ralla Ram be registered and dealt with when the general water distribution scheme comes up.

### 8. READ.—

Copy of letter No. 397 dated 2nd September 1920, from the Vazir Pallanpur State to the Political Agent Pallanpur State, forwarded by the Resident W. R. States asking the Marwar Darbar's views on the question of reciprocity in delivering for identification any stolen property found in either States.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the Resident be informed in reply that the Jodhpur Darbar agree to observe reciprocity in similar circumstances.

### 9 READ —

Letter No. 1867 F 1088 S. dated 3rd August 1920, from the Officers Commanding 1st and 2nd Regiments Sardar Rissala to the Military Secretary stating with reference to C. R. No. 4 dated 18th June 1920, that on an urgent letter having been received on 21-6-19 from the Inspecting Officer Rajputana I. S. Cavalry and Transport to the effect that the Officers and non-commissioned officers selected to join 'Peace Celebrations' in England, should report themselves to the officer commanding Indian details camp Deolali by the 27th June 1919. That owing to marriage celebrations of Shri Baiji Sahiba in those days and as the party had to leave about immediately, there was no time to obtain regular orders for issuing the articles.

## 9. Resolution —

Resolved that bills for articles supplied from the stores be paid after deducting the cost of or restoring the original articles mentioned in C. R. No 4 dated 18th June 1920

### 10. READ.—

Note No 1676/Ed 13 dated 20th September 1920, by the Finance Member stating that the Principal Rajput Schools suggests that a course of Agriculture, theoretical and practical should be added to the curriculum of the schools, so that a career of scientific farming may be opened up to the boys of the Schools when they leave. That the best openings for these boys lie in the direction of training in (1) Agriculture (2) Industries and (3) Engineering. That sanction for the appointment of a well qualified agricultural Instructor and for the expenditure necessary for the practical demonstration work of training may be sanctioned.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that the Revenue Member be asked to formulate a scheme on the lines suggested.

### II. READ.—

Letter No. 2031 dated 21st August 1920, from the Officer Commanding 1st Regiment Sardar Rissala to the Military Secretary, put up by H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur, submitting with reference to C. R. No. 25 dated 20th April 1920, the original report of the officers of the Regimental committee appointed to check the account in connection with the bill amounting to Rs 16,011-9-9 incurred by the Regiment from time to time from the date of its leaving Jodhpur to its transfer from France to Egypt.

## 11. Resolution—

Resolved that papers be referred to the Finance Member for expression of his opinion.

### 12. READ.—

Note No. 1671 dated 18th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Residency Surgeon requests formal sanction to an increase of 50% in the rate of daily allowance to Mr. Ravi Sanker Chhaya Assistant Superintendent of vaccination as sanctioned by the Government of India under their letter No. 1139/E. B. dated 27th June 1919.

## 12. Resolution,—

Resolved that sanction be accorded as requested.

### 13. READ —

Letter No. 5545 dated 17th September 1920, from the Resident W. R. S. to the Senior Member of Council making an appeal to the Marwar Darbar for greater trust and co-operation with the Sirohi Darbar in connection with the extradition of offenders and similar matters.

## 13. Resolution—

Resolved that the Resident be informed in reply that this Darbar will continue, as in the past, to render all possible assistance to the Sirohi Authorities.

### 14. READ.—

Letter No. H 4 dated 19th September 1920, from Captain Hornsby to H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib stating with reference to C. R. No 11 dated 10th September 1920, that it would be a mistake to make any temporary promotions in the Rissala now and that the Officer Commanding 2nd Regiment should be allowed to promote up to his proper complement of officers for a 2 squadron Regiment and no more,

## 14. Resolution—

Resolved that Captain Hornsby's opinion be accepted and that the Commanding Officer 2nd Regiment be informed accordingly through the Military Secretary.

## 15 READ.—

Note No. 1675 dated 20th September 1920, by the Finance Member stating that the Principal Rajput Schools Chopasni recommends continuance of scholarships to students Dhonkalsingh and Premsingh.

## 15. Resolution—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

## 16. READ —

Note No. 1680 dated 20th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting papers regarding joint trial of Bhur-Singh's gang case.

## 16. Resolution—

Resolved that the joint trial be abandoned as recommended by the Resident under his letter No. 2645 dated July 1920.

## 17. READ.—

Note No. 1683 dated 20th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting with reference to C. R. No. 2 dated 22nd June 1920 the papers with the list showing the different grades of *Siropaos* in connection with the request of *Sah* Kasturchand of Khiwandi Pergana Bali.

## 17. Resolution—

Resolved that 1st class *Siropao* of Kara, Moti Dushala and Mandil be granted to *Sah* Kastoor Chand in appreciation of his philanthropic work of selling grain at cheap rates during the last famine.

## 18. READ—

Note No. 1678 dated 20th September 1920, by the Finance Member stating that the Manager J. B. Railway proposes that the Jodhpur Railway which has hitherto been the Banker of the Bikaner Railway as far as account is concerned, should continue to be, but that it should get some return for the money so laid out and that interest at 5% *i. e.* the usual interest of 3½% plus the 1½% *p. c.* increase recently sanctioned by the Government of India on all such charges should be paid by debit to the three partners on each half yearly balance.

## 18. Resolution--

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

## 19. READ—

Note No. 1682 dated 20th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib requesting sanction for a sum of Rs. 729-12-6 from head 'Teohar Kharach' for making an effigy of *Rawan* for the ensuing Dasehra festival.

## 19. Resolution.—

Resolved that the amount be sanctioned.

20. *READ.*—

Note No. 1674/Milty. 70 D-1 dated 20th September 1920, by the Finance Member regarding the distribution of War commemorative medallions to students on the occasion of the visit of H. R. H. the Duke of Connaught to India

20. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the Resident W. R. S. be requested to arrange for the supply of the medallions as soon as they may be available.

21. *READ.*—

Note dated 20th September 1920, by the Revenue Member recommending that the following five candidates be sent to Moradabad Police Training school to be employed as Sub-Inspectors on their coming out successful:—

- |                 |                       |                   |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| (1) Devi Singh. | (2) Bijey Raj.        | (3) Billam Chand. |
| (4) Asa Ram and | (5) Inayat Ulla Khan. |                   |

Intimating that Nos. 1. 2 4. and 5 will draw a scholarship of Rs. 30/- p.m. each and No. 3 of Rs. 40/- a month.

21. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the proposal be sanctioned.

22. *READ.*—

Letter No. 5567 dated 18th September 1920, from the Resident W. R. S. to the Senior Member of Council forwarding for necessary action copy of letter No. 1057 dated 21st August 1920 from the Chief Secretary Sirohi State requesting Marwar Darbar to send to Sirohi Sadh Anopdass who is now in village Jakhora near Undri in Marwar, to deal with his disciples undergoing sentence in the Sirohi Jail.

22. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the Jodhpur Darbar will assist in arresting and handing over Sadh Anopdass to Sirohi if the latter will send their representatives to point out the Sadh, to the Jodhpur Police.

23. *READ.*—

Endorsement No. 1691 dated 22nd September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib on a note by the Auditor of Accounts addressed to the Finance Member requesting sanction of the Council for an extra grant of Rs. 7,000/- for the bills of Sri Mirdhar Kuar Baiji Sahiba over and above her budget allotment.

23. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the Kamdar of Baiji Sahiba be asked to report the reasons why the Baiji's expenditure has exceeded her budget grant.

24. *READ—*

Note dated 25th September 1920, by His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur regarding increments to officers etc., in the State Forces on account of increased cost of living observing that the Military Department may conveniently be divided in three categories;—

1. Imperial Service Troops.
2. State Local Forces.
3. Ministerial officers, clerks and followers of all branches.

Stating that as regards (1) he is in consultation with the Inspecting Officers and hopes very shortly to be able to propose the increases necessary in the pay of all the officers. As for the rank and file, their case has already been met by concessions in the matter of free rations and the retention of extra pay which was originally granted for war time only. That all that remains to be done in this connection is to give a *Sais* allowance of Re. 1/- per man to enable the men to pay *Saices* the wage of Rs. 10/- which the latter now demand and which the Council may sanction.

As regards No. 2 the State Local Forces, the pay of junior officers and rank and file has recently been raised and no further increase is therefore suggested. All therefore that remains to be considered is the pay of the Commandants, Assistant Commandants of units and of the Military and Assistant Military Secretaries. That the increases proposed are shown in Schedule 'A, (attached) which the Council may be pleased to pass.

With regard to item (3) of the clerical and menial establishment of all branches, it is recommended that all whole time clerks and followers be granted increments on the same percentage basis as was granted to civil employees under C. R. No. 20 dated 31st August 1920.

Finally that all increments proposed above, as well as the increases in pay which are eventually to be granted to the officers of the Imperial Service Lancers, shall have effect as from 1st July 1920.

24. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the proposed increments to officers and clerical staff and menial establishment as well as the allowance to *Saices* be sanctioned with effect from 1st July 1920.

25. *READ.—*

Letter dated 27th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib addressed to H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur, submitting his resignation of the post of Vice-President and Senior Member of Council and requesting early acceptance of the same.



## 25. Resolution—

Resolved that the Vice President's resignation be forwarded to the Resident W. R. States with the recommendation that it may be accepted.

## 26. Resolution—

At the suggestion of H. H. the Maharaja Regent it was resolved that a spare set be ordered for the Electric Installation and it may be kept in reserve and that the P. W. D. Member be asked to arrange accordingly.

## 27. Resolution—

At the suggestion of His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib it was resolved to sink wells on the Khokaria side to water the cattle Fair camping ground. The Revenue Member be asked to submit proposals accordingly.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH,

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON,

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.

## **SCHEDULE A.**

# SCHEDULE .A

## LOCAL MILITARY FORCES MARWAR.

Nominal Roll of Senior Officers for increments showing the dates of their entering service and the various promotions received up to date.

Rank and appointments.	Names.	Date of entering services and pay.	Various promotions received with dates of same.	Present rate of pay.	Proposed to Council.	Council orders.
1 Fort Officer	Panwar Man Singhji	Rs 7 per mensem Sambat 1931 (1874) A. D.	Transferred to paid Bakshi Office in Sambat 1934 (1877 A. D.) on Rs 30 per mensem with food. Pay increased to Rs 100 per mensem from 29th May 1885 and appointed Assistant Guardian December 1885.  Appointed Guardian 1st April 1887 pay increased to Rs. 200 from April 1887. Commandant Marwar Artillery from 31st January 1889 A. D. C. to His Highness  Pay increased to Rs 300 from February 1892, Rs 500 from 8th January 1896 pay reduced owing to famine in Sambat 1956 to Rs 300 relieved of duties 19th September 1906. Re-instated on Rs. 300 in 1911. Services dispensed with from 1st July 1918  Reinstated from October 1918.  Appointed Commandant Summair Camel Crops from 1st August 1918.	Rs ...  300  ...	Rs. ...  350  ...	
2 Officer Commanding Summair Camel Crops	Maharaj Guman Singhji	Rs. 500 per mensem as companion to late Highness in 1911. Private Secretary from 1913.	He was Officer Commanding Summair Camel Crops till 31st July 1918, when relieved by Maharaj Guman Singhji Re-instated and appointed 2nd in-Command from 15th February 1919.	500	600	
2nd-in-Command Summair Camel Crops.	Thakur Giridhari Singhji.	Rs 250 from 1st October 1913.		300	350	
				...	...	

4	Commandant Sardar Infantry.	Rao Raja Tej Singhji II.	Rs. 400 from 22nd December 1918.	Former service as Pilkhana Nigrani Officer @ Rs. 200 per mensem from Sambat 19 57 (1900 A D ) till May 1916. 16 years. "Additional duties Officer Command Infantry. August 12th to July 19—2 years allowance of Rs. 80 per mensem	400	450
5	2nd-in-Command Sardar Infantry.	Rao Raja Sujjan Singhji	Rs. 115 from 22nd December 1918.	.....	115	200
6	Commandant Summair Infantry.	Solanki Panna Lalji	Rs. 125 from 27th December 1918.	.....	125	150
7	Military Secretary ...	Major Thakur Dalpat Singhji,	Rs. 400 per mensem as A. D. C. to His Highness Maharaja Sahib. Bahadur	Appointed Military Secretary in August 1916	400	500
8	Assistant Military Secretary.	Lieutenant Allahdinji	Rs. 200 August 1916	Joined State service as Assistant Personal Military Secretary on Rs. 235 per mensem from 1st March 1916 on the abolition of which appointment he was Quarter Master to all Forces on 1st June 1916 and Assistant Military Secretary from 4th August 1916.	200	250



# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 28th September 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI ZALIM SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.,
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

## **1. READ.—**

Note of dissent dated 30th August 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding reappointment of Mehta Kishen Mall as Assistant Superintendent Court of Wards vide C. R. No. 21 dated 24th August 1920, passed on a note dated 19th August 1920, put up by the Revenue Member.

Read Note dated 10th September 1920, by the Revenue Member in reply to the above note of dissent submitted by the Vice-President Sahib.

Also read the following File No. 63 of the Odit succession case (Hukamnama).

File No. 27 regarding Munshi Roda Mall's debt against Rohat Thikana and his dismissal.

File No. 92 (1907) Est. Rekh Hukamnama.

File No. 1 Pt. General appointments.

File No. 27 Thikana Busi V. S. M. Roda Mall.

File No. 219 Regarding realizations of horse-nazar on Raj Tilak occasion.

Mr. Cobb's letters regarding M. Rodamalji

## **1. Resolution—**

The Council are of opinion, that the Vice-President acted rightly in bringing into prominence the circumstances in which Kishen Mall was obliged to resign the post of Superintendent Rekh Hukamnama, but in

drawing a parallel between the case of Kishen Mall and Rodamall he was wrong, and especially so in making insinuations against the Revenue Member's honesty of purpose.

The Vice-President's personalities also have upset the harmony of Council who consider that these should be withdrawn.

As to the appointment itself, the Council consider that the proposal to nominate Mehta Kishen Mall may be dropped, as it has not been accepted unanimously. But they desire to put on record that there is nothing in the record of Kishen Mall to debar him from State service.

As regards Council Resolution No. 6 dated 20th December 1913, it is observed that subsequent events especially the final settlement of the Odit case, go to show that Kishen Mall was hardly dealt with on that occasion, as no specific instances of irregularities were alleged against him and he was not given an opportunity to defend himself.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH,

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency, Raj Marwar, dated 1st October 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

## 1. READ.—

Note No. 1695 dated 25th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that Messrs. R. C. Mehta & Co. of Pallanpur request in connection with the Steam or Motor Transport service for goods and passengers, whether the Marwar Durbar desire the Company to extend the line within their territories or up to the boundary station bordering the limits of the Pallanpur State. The Vice-President in submitting the papers remarks that he is not inclined in favour of granting the permission sought for.

## 1. Resolution,—

Resolved that the matter be kept pending for the present till the question of a Railway line to Deesa now under consideration of the Durbar is determined.

## 2. READ —

Note No. 1696 dated 25th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding enhancement of the magisterial powers of Kuar Madho Singh Assistant Foujdar to pass sentence up to 5 years' rigorous imprisonment in a case of dacoity entrusted to him for trial by orders of Council vide Council Resolution No. 17 dated 19th March 1920.

## 2. Resolution —

Resolved that the Assistant Foujdar K. Madho Singh be empowered to pass sentence up to five years' rigorous imprisonment in this dacoity case as a special case.

## 3. READ.—

Note No. 1697 dated 25th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding varnishing and polishing of the furniture of the Residency Surgeon's Bangalow at a cost of Rs. 668/- as per estimate submitted by the Superintending Engineer.



### 3. Resolution —

Resolved that the estimate of Rs. 668/chargeable to Farrashkhana be sanctioned and included in the Budget for 1920-21.

#### 4. READ—

Note No. 1698 dated 25th September 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting with reference to C. R. No. 14 dated 6th August 1920 the details and estimates of Rs. 8,910/ for the formation of an ornamental park and fruit garden within the premises of the Jubilee Courts.

### 4. Resolution,—

Resolved that the idea of forming an ornamental park be dropped and that only fruit trees be planted all over the compound for which a fresh estimate be called for.

#### 5. READ.—

Letter No. 5632 dated 22nd September 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S to the Senior Member of Council forwarding for the information of the Darbar a list of the Ruling Princes and Chiefs who are or have been selected as Members of the Chamber of Princes

### 5. Resolution,—

Resolved that the fact be noted.

#### 6. READ —

Note No. 1701 dated 25th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding succession (by adoption) of Narain Singh to Rathor Ridmall Singh Mertia (Govindasot) the late Jagirdar of Habaspura ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) Rekh Rs. 1000/-.

### 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the succession of Narain Singh be sanctioned and that a Rekh of Rs. 80/- be levied when the new Patta is issued.

#### 7. READ—

Note No. 1690/Fac. 20 dated 22nd September 1920, by the P. W. Member requesting orders about the disposal of the big ice machine as no tenders from any of the *Seths* of Didwana, Phalodi, Nagour, Desuri etc. for the purchase of the same have yet been received and that the machine is likely to be spoiled if kept unused for a long time.

### 7. Resolution.—

Resolved that the sale of the bigger machine be advertised in some of the leading papers and that the Superintending Engineer be asked to report whether the small machine kept for Jaswantpura was in proper working order.

8. *READ* —

Note No. 1694 dated 25th September 1920, by the Finance Member submitting applications from the Jagirdar of Riain Samdass P. Merta requesting that the proposed new Station between Merta Road and Gotan may be named either by the name of Jogi Magra or Khan Station or after his own name-Kishorpura, and further prays that in case his request be not granted, he may be given compensation for his Jagir land taken under the Station premises.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the Railway Manager be asked to name the Station as "Jogi Magra."

9. *READ*—

Note No. 1693 dated 25th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding extension of the deputation of Mr. C. Paterson, Superintendent Central Jail and Manager Jodhpur State Press,

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that the Resident be addressed to obtain two years' further extension of Mr. C. Paterson's services on the same terms.

10. *READ*—

Note No. 1692 dated 25th September 1920, by the Finance Member requesting sanction to the grant of Pargana allowance at Rs. 15/-p. m. to graduate teachers working in the Districts and that due provision for the same may be made in the budget for the next year.

## 10. Resolution--

Resolved that the proposal be sanctioned.

11. *READ*.—

Letter No. 523 F. Dated 7th January 1920, from the Officer Commanding 1st Regiment, Sardar Rissala to the Military Secretary, put up by His Highness The Maharaja Regent Sahib, suggesting that in recognition of the services of late Major Dalpat Singh the amount of Rs. 6,275/12/- standing against his name (Dalpat Singh's) in the regimental books may be paid to the Regiment from the Darbar Treasury so that the account may be adjusted and closed in the Regimental books.

## 11. Resolution.—

Resolved that in appreciation of the distinguished service of the late Thakur Dalpat Singh the amount standing against his name be written off and paid by the Darbar Treasury to the Regimental account.

12. *READ* —

Note No. 1706 dated 28th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding Betalbi Sanads to Thakur Narain Singh Jagirdar of Jaola Pargana Parbatsar, stating with reference to Council Resolution No. 15 dated 9th July 1920 that Betalbi Sanads for the villages of Jaola, Antroli, Jhalra and Merana were issued to three successive jagirdars Partap Singh, Bijey Singh and Lachman Singh and that Sanad for Dhandota was issued to Partap Singh's father Kesri Singh. The Superintendent Rekh Hukamna-mah solicits orders whether village Merana be inserted for Dhandota along with Jhalra, Antroli and Jaola.

12. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the Rekh Superintendent be informed that village Merana should be included instead of Dhandota for the issue of Betabi Sanads, along with Jaola, Antroli and Jhalra.

13. *READ.*—

Note No. 1717/Ry. 113 dated 29th September 1920, by the Finance Member regarding alignment of the Marwar Junction Desuri Railway stating that the Manager J. B. Railway informs that in 1915 the Military Member objected to the above Railway running through the Jor at Bali on account of the danger to grass *Jor* by fire from the engines. That the proposed alignment from Desuri runs through Ghanerao and Sadri to Seware and thence to Bali and Sanderao. That Saderao is a small Station where only slow trains stop, while all trains stop at Erinpura Road which is within Marwar.

The Manager suggests two alternative alignments into Erinpura Road Station as under:—

- (1) Taking off from about a point marked A in the map would keep about  $1\frac{1}{4}$  miles to N. E. of Sewari then to a point about  $1\frac{1}{2}$  miles West of Bali, thence to Erinpura Road.
- (2) Would keep to the West of Sewari and run direct to Erinpura Road.

13. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the 2nd alternative be approved,

14. *READ.*—

Note dated 28th September 1920, by the P. W. Member recommending an increase of Rs. 25/- p. m. in the pay of Pandit Bisheswar Nath from 1st October 1920 and further suggesting that his maximum pay be fixed at Rs. 200/- by annual increments of Rs. 15—15 and 20/-

14. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that his pay be raised to Rs. 150/- p. m from 1st October 1920.

194E AD.—

Statement dated 11th September 1920, by the Revenue Member submitted with his remarks to His Highness The Maharaja Regent Sahib regarding certain paid and unpaid bills of Shri Baiji Lal Shri Murdhar Kuar Baiji Sahiba

Paid bills amounting to Rs 2,246-12-9

Unpaid bills „ „ „ 255- 0-0

### 15. Resolution —

Resolved that the Kamdar of Baiji Sahiba be instructed to deal with the account as suggested by the Revenue Member against each bill.

### 16. READ —

Letter No. 63-1/21318 dated 28th September 1920, from the Acting Manager, J. B. Railway to the Finance Member intimating that he is leaving for Simla to attend the Railway Conference on the 8th October, and requesting 7 or 9 days' leave after the Conference to enable him to proceed to Bombay to meet his wife.

### 16. Resolution.—

Resolved that the leave applied for be sanctioned.

### 17. READ.—

Note dated 30th September 1920, by the Finance Member submitting for Council's approval the proceedings of the J. B. Railway Board (copy attached) held at Jodhpur on the 20th September 1920.

### 17. Resolution —

Resolved that the recommendations of the Board be confirmed subject to concurrence of the Bikaner Darbar.

### 18. READ.—

Note dated 30th September 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that owing to rise in cost of living, the rate of pay of different units in the Military and Civil Departments has been raised.

The foot are drawing Rs. 16/- and the camel Sowars Rs. 25/- a month. Under the circumstances it would be advisable to grant an increase of Rs. 2/- to the Head Constables, Constables and Sowars of the Police Department.

### 18. Resolution —

Resolved that the increase be sanctioned as proposed.

### 19. READ—

Letter dated 25th August 1920, from Lords Islington and Amptill addressed to His late Highness Maharaja Shri Sumer Singhji Sahib, put up by the Private Secretary to His Highness The Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur, appealing for funds for the "Strangers Home for Asiatics," suggesting that all donations and subscriptions be sent either to the President or Vice-President or to Messrs. Barclay & Co, Ltd, 54 Lombard Street Ec 3. London.

## 19. Resolution—

Resolved that a sum of £. 100/- be remitted as donation from the Durbar.

## 20. READ—

Note dated 1st October 1920, by His Highness The Maharaja Regent Sahib regarding Bhandari Sheodan Chand who on account of certain cases of bribery pending against him was transferred to Sheo to obviate the possibility of his influencing officials and others who will be called on to give evidence. The officer has not joined at Sheo but is staying in Jodhpur on leave.

## 20. Resolution—

Resolved that the papers of enquiry against Sheodan Chand which have been completed should be put up to Council at an early date.

Sd/- PRATAP SINGH.

Sd/- R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd/- MANGAL SINGH.

Sd/- SUKHDEO.

# *Proceedings of the J. B. Railway Board held at Jodhpur on the 20th September 1920.*

*The first meeting of the Board was held to-day under the Chairmanship of Colonel R. E. A. Hamilton, C. I. E., I. A, Finance Member, Council of Regency, in the Mehkma Khas Hall,*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB,  
P. W. D. Member—Jodhpur.
2. COLONEL RAO BAHADUR THAKUR SADUL SINGHJI SAHIB,  
P. W. D. Member—Bikaner.
3. MR. P. T. WATTAL, PRIVATE SECRETARY,  
to His Highness the Maharaja Sahib, Bikaner.

## 1. READ.—

Constitution and draft rules of procedure and business of the Board formed for the purpose of dealing with matters concerning the joint system of the Jodhpur Bikaner Railway.

## 1 Resolution—

Recommended that the constitution and rules of procedure may be settled by mutual correspondence between the two States.

## 2. READ—

Note by the Manager J. B. Railway on Messrs, Jones and Cazalet's period of absence on Active Service with the consent of the Government of Their Highnesses the Maharajas of Jodhpur and Bikaner that they will be granted as much leave as may be due to them, and their further absence on Military duty shall be considered as leave without allowances.

That the only leave due to these officers was privilege leave which they have availed themselves of.

Neither Officer can have any claim on the Darbars for any easement of their situation. They can only hope that their employers, taking into consideration the fact that they obey the call for Active Service placing this call above all personal and financial interests, will see their way to some measure to ease the position in which they now find themselves.

That Their Highnesses have agreed in Messrs, Evans and Temperley's cases to apply all the rules regarding pay, allowances etc, which the Government of India have applied to their staff who went on Active Service and which many other Company Railways have also sanctioned.

That Mr. Gregory Jones left the J. B. Railway on 6th October 1915 and rejoined on 6th January 1920, and under Secretary, Government of India Home Department No. 2430/2441 dated 12th November 1914, if applied to his case, Mr. Jones could have drawn Rs. 10,049/- furlough pay while absent on Military duty, and Mr. Cazalet would have drawn Rs. 8,404-8-0 Again under Government of India, Finance Department No. 384 of 28th April 1919 Government servants are granted pay from date of demobilization to date of resumption of duty as joining time. If made applicable to him Mr. Jones would have drawn Rs. 2,048/- and Mr. Cazalet Rs. 106/5/-.

That Mr. Cazalet returned to Jodhpur and reported for duty on 14th September 1919, and at the same time applied for 6 months' leave. Mr. La Touche however ruled that he could not under the circumstances rejoin for duty, but from a remark of his on the file it was evidently his intention to refer the matter to the Darbars for instructions. Mr. Cazalet then left Jodhpur for England on 22nd September 1919. Mr. La Touche under the circumstances had to treat him as still absent on Military duty without pay.

The Government of India have ruled for their servants (Finance Department No. 562 C. S. R. of 19-6-1919) that Military service shall count as service for leave but at present neither officer can count their period of absence on Military service, earning leave and will therefore have to put in some 6 years more service before they will have earned any furlough.

The question of whether Mr. Cazalet should be considered to have returned to duty or not in September 1919, is to him important since, if he is considered as having returned to duty he might be regarded as entitled to leave under Government of India Army Department letter No 12650 dated 24th August 1917.

(Periods lost for qualifying for furlough leave.)

Mr. Jones from 6th October 1915, to 6th January 1920.

Mr. Cazalet from 9th June 1916, to 24th April 1920.

Period lost for privilege leave.

Mr. Jones 3 months.

Mr. Cazalet 4 months 17 days.

## 2. Resolution —

The Board recommended (A) the cancellation of Vice-President Marwar State No 691 dated 16th November 1915. Member Bikaner. Bikaner No. 661 dated 23rd February 1915. viz. "that these officers were granted as much leave as was due to them and their further absence on Military duty shall be considered as leave without allowances" (B) that the Darbars be pleased to order that these officers be allowed (1) furlough allowances in addition to their Military pay, (2) joining time pay after demobilization, (3) that their absence on Military duty may count as service qualifying for furlough and privilege leave.

(C) In the case of Mr. Cazalet, that Officer may be considered to have rejoined on 24th September 1919, and subsequently to have proceeded on leave with effect from 22nd September 1919.

### 3. READ—

Letter No. E- 49/17447 dated 13th August 1920, from the Manager J. B. Railway, to the Finance Member requesting Darbar's sanction to the creation of the post of Assistant Engineer for the Central District J. B. Railway.

### 3. Resolution—

We recommend that the proposal of the Manager be sanctioned.

### 4 READ.—

Letter No. E 160/12865 dated 1st July 1920, from the Manager J. B. Railway to the Finance Member of Council regarding loan of services of a successor to Mr J. Harrison Auditor J. B. Railway.

Also read-Council Resolution No. 2 dated 31st July. 1920,

Read D. O. Letter dated 4th August 1920, from Mr. A. N. J. Harrison giving terms on which he can stay on as Auditor J. B. Railway.

Read D. O. letter dated 15th September 1920, from Mr. Warren to Col: R. E. A. Hamilton regarding retention of Mr. Harrison stating that the latter was willing to curtail his leave to 12 months. If the two Durbars agree to this they would still have to get a man from Government to carry on during Mr. Harrison's absence on leave.

### 4. Resolution—

The Board recommends that, if possible the service of Mr. A. N. J. Harrison be retained on the understanding that the latter agrees to return from leave within 12 months. That the Government of India may be asked to depute a fairly senior officer for the period of Mr. Harrison's absence on leave, on the same terms as Mr. Harrison as regards pay and allowances.

### 5. READ—

Letter No. E. 248/3935 dated 1st March 1920,  $\frac{350 \text{ A.}}{10353}$  dated 3rd June 1920 from the Manager J. B. Railway to the Finance Member on the following subjects:—

- (1) Revised scale of pay for workshop and Loco Foremen.
- (2) Educational facilities for Workshop and Loco Foremen's children.
- (3) New post of Carriage and Wagon Examiner Foreman on a scale of Rs. 200-20- 300.

### 5. Resolution—

With regard to point I, the Board recommend that it is not necessary to follow other Railways, and the date from which the revised scale of pay for workshop and Loco Foremen should be given effect to, shall be as usual from the date of sanction by the Jodhpur and Bikaner Governments. That the Bikaner representatives are agreeable that the date of Jodhpur Council Resolution may be taken for the purpose of commencement of these increments i. e. 13th August 1920.



As to point No. II the Board recommend:—

- (a) that an annual grant of Rs. 1,500/- may be provided in the next year's budget (20-21) for Educational facilities to children of workshop and Loco Foremen and that the grant be debited to revenue in proportion of gross earnings.
- (b) That a Bangalow for the childrens' School costing Rs. 9,500/- may be built at the expense of the Jodhpur Railway, the Bikaner Railway paying its usual share of rent which would be debited to revenue.

With regard to item III the Board recommends that the proposal be sanctioned.

## 6. READ—

Letter No. E. 248/3935 dated 1st March 1920, from the Manager J. B. Railway to the Finance Member of Council forwarding Railway Boards Resolution No. 1656 E 16 dated Delhi 10th December 1919 and requesting to obtain the Darbar's sanction to the adoption of the new scale of pay for Railway Engineers from the above date.

Read Col. R. E. A. Hamilton's Note dated 29th March 1920, on the above subject.

Also Read copy of Government of India Resolution No. 454 E. 20 of 1st September 1920, submitted by the Manager, J. B. Railway under his D. O. dated 18th September 1920, to the Finance Member Jodhpur stating that since the issue of the Government of India Railway Department (Railway Board) Resolution No. 1656 E 16 dated the 10th December 1919, promulgating revised scales of pay for Engineers of the State Railway Department, His Majesty's Secretary of State for India has sanctioned the amalgamation of the Imperial Service and of the Provincial Service as constituted hitherto into one service. He has also had under consideration the question of effecting the necessary differentiation in the remuneration of Europe recruited and India recruited Officers, respectively, by the grant to the former of special allowances in addition to the Indian or normal rate of pay. He has decided that European Engineers recruited for the State Railway Department should receive an over-seas allowance in common with European Officers of other Departments. On the ground of Expatriation and that all Europe recruited Engineers, whether European or Indian should receive a technical allowance on the ground of the superior professional qualifications at present obtainable in the United-Kingdom. This decision has necessitated a revision and re-arrangement of the scale detailed in Paragraph I of the Resolution of 10th December 1919. The Governor General in Council is pleased to announce that the Secretary of State has sanctioned the time scale of pay (copy attached) with effect from the 1st of January 1920, for Assistant Executive Engineer and Executive Engineers.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the new scale of pay introduced for Engineers of British India State Railways under Government of India Notification No. 454 E 20 of 1st September 1920, may be conceded to the Officers of the J. B. Railway.

That the new scale may have effect from 1st March 1920, and that simultaneously with the grant of the new scale, Officers shall be liable to pay house rent, and in future to pay local taxation such as customs duty. House rent will be recovered as from Officers on British India State Railways under P. W. D. Code.

As regards the case of Mr. Rogers, the Board recommends that he may be offered special graded pay of six hundred rupees rising by annual increments of Rs. 50/- to twelve hundred rupees. He will also be subject to the same rules in the matters of house rent and local taxation.

As regards Mr. Dady the Board recommend that the Manager be addressed and requested to inform the Darbars what are the grades of pay, and prospects of officers having similar qualification and length of service on State Railways. Without this information, the Board are unable to frame any proposals.

#### 7. READ—

Note by the Finance Member Regency Council on J. B. Railway Manager's letter No. E. 54/18718 dated 26th August 1920, soliciting sanction for the following:—

(1) Confirmation of Mr. J. H. Stirling as Loco Carriage and Wagon Superintendent.

(2) Recruiting another Assistant Loco-Officer in England on the revised scale of pay for the Officers of the J. B. Railway.

(3) One year's leave to Mr. J. H. Stirling on Medical Certificate from October 1920.

#### 7. Resolution—

As regards Mr. Stirling the Board recommends that he should be confirmed from the date of the late Mr. Jenkyn's death. He should be put on special scale of pay commencing from Rs. 1300/- a month and rising by annual increments of Rs. 50/- to Rs. 1800/- Mr. Stirling will be subject to house rent and local taxation as other officer.

The Board recommend that the Manager should endeavour to secure the services of an Officer possessing about the same qualifications as Mr. Rogers.

That the leave applied for by Mr. Stirling may be granted.

#### 8. READ—

D. O. Letter No. A. G. 3714 dated 26th August 1920, from the Accountant General Bikaner to the Finance Member Jodhpur regarding certain additions and alterations in the minutes of the meeting held at Jodhpur regarding the Financial position of the Provident Fund of the J. B. Railway.

#### 8. Resolution.—

The Board recommend that the points in question may be left for settlement between the Finance Member Jodhpur and the Accountant General Bikaner.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON,  
Sd. SADUL SINGH,

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.  
Sd. P. T. WATTAL,

## Copy of Notification No. 454 E. 20 of 1st September 1920.

(Taken from Gazette of India part 1, No. 36 of Sept 4/20)

Since the issue of the Government of India, Railway Department (Railway Board) Resolution No 1656-E. 16 dated the 10th December 1919 promulgating revised scale of pay for engineers of the State Railway Department. His Majesty's Secretary of State for India has sanctioned the amalgamation of the Imperial Service and of the Provincial service as constituted hitherto into one service. He has also had under consideration the question of effecting the necessary differentiation in the remuneration of Europe-recruited and India-recruited Officers, respectively, by the grant to the former of special allowance in addition to the Indian or normal rate of pay. He has decided that European engineers recruited for the State Railway Department should receive an overseas allowance in common with European Officers of other departments on the ground of expatriation and that all Europe-recruited engineers, whether European or Indian should receive a technical allowance on the ground of the Superior professional qualifications at present obtainable in the United Kingdom. This decision has necessitated a revision and re-arrangement of the scale detailed in paragraph 1 of the Resolution of the 10th December 1919. The Governor General in Council is pleased to announce that the Secretary of State has sanctioned the following time scale of pay, with effect from the 1st January 1920 for Assistant Executive Engineers and Executive Engineers:—

Years.	JUNIOR SCALE.				SENIOR SCALE			
	Pay.	Technical Allowance.	Over seas Allowance	Total	Pay.	Technical Allowance	Over seas Allowance.	Total.
1st	300	75	150	525	...	...	...	...
2nd.	350	75	150	575	...	...	...	...
3rd.	400	75	150	625	...	...	...	...
4th	450	75	150	675	550	75	150	775
5th.	450	75	150	675	550	75	150	775
6th	500	75	150	725	600	75	150	825
7th.	550	75	150	775	650	75	150	875
8th.	550	75	200	825	650	75	200	925
9th	600	75	200	875	700	75	200	975
10th	650	75	200	925	750	75	200	1025
11th	700	75	200	975	800	75	200	1075
12th.	750	75	250	1075	850	75	250	1175
13th.	800	75	250	1,125	950	75	250	1,275
14th.	850	75	250	1,175	950	75	250	1,275
15th	900	75	250	1,225	950	75	250	1,275
16th.	...	...	...	...	1,000	75	250	1,325
17th	...	...	...	...	1,050	75	250	1,375
18th.	...	...	...	...	1,100	75	250	1,425
19th.	...	...	...	...	1,150	75	250	1,475
20th.	...	...	...	...	1,200	75	250	1,525
21st.	...	...	...	...	1,200	75	250	1,525
22nd	...	...	...	...	1,250	75	250	1,575
23rd	...	...	...	...	1,250	75	250	1,575
24th.	...	...	...	...	1,300	75	250	1,625

2. The technical allowance is admissible to all Europe-recruited engineers, whether Europeans or Indians. It will reckon as pay for all purposes of the Civil Service Regulations.

3. The overseas allowance (which will also reckon as pay for all purposes of the Civil Service Regulations) will be admissible only to Officers of non-Indian domicile, except that Indians recruited in England under the 10 per cent, rule up to and including the year 1924, will receive this allowance. The question of the abolition of the arrangement under which 10 per cent, of the appointments made in England are allotted to Indians will be considered later.

4. An Engineer, who is now in receipt of a higher rate of pay than that to which he may be entitled under the scale now introduced may be allowed to continue to draw the excess amount as pay until it has been absorbed by annual increments.

5. The rates of pay of Superintending and Chief Engineers sanctioned in the Resolution of the 10th December 1919, remain unchanged. These Officers will not draw either the overseas allowance or the technical allowance.

6. The terms and conditions stated in paragraph 2 to 6 of the Resolution of the 10th December 1919 will continue to be applicable. ORDERED that this Resolution forwarded to the Officers noted below for information and guidance, and that it be published in the Gazette of India for general information.

The Agent, North Western Railway.

The Agent, Eastern Bengal Railway.

The Agent, Oudh and Rohilkand Railway.

The Senior Government Inspector of Railway circles Nos. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6,

The Government Inspector of Railways, Circle No. A, Burma.

The Superintendent of Works Nushki Extension Railway.

The Engineer-in-Chief, Agra-Karachi Railway Survey.

The Engineer-in-Chief, Hukong Valley Railway Survey.

The Engineer-in-Chief, Khyber Railway Survey.

The Engineer-in-Chief, Hardwar Karaprayag Railway Survey.

Ordered also that this Resolution be communicated to the Home Finance Revenue and Agriculture, Commerce, Public Works, and Army Departments of the Government of India, and to the Accountant General, Railways, and Central Revenues the Chief Auditors, North Western Eastern Bengal and Oudh and Rohilkhand Railways, and to all Government Examiners of Railway Accounts and the Audit Officer Nushki Extension Railway.

H. L. COLE,  
Secretary, Railway Board.



The following paper was settled by circulation amongst Members  
and approved by His Highness The Maharaja Regent  
Sahib on 3rd October 1920.

---

Letter No. 8081 dated 21st August 1920 from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member requesting sanction to an estimate of Rs. 604/- as an extra grant for constructing a small filter tank at the Residency owing to dirty state of the water there.

### 1. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned as requested.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 5th October 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

**1. READ.—**

Note No. 1711/Ry. 13p dated 28th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that Messrs. Parmanand Pirthi Dass Bros: of Hyderabad (Sindh) request permission to run a Motor-buss service between Barmer and Jeysalmer and are ready to pay wheel tax, if any, provided the roads are levelled at State expense and no other Company is granted the same permission.

**1. Resolution —**

Resolved that the company be asked to submit an approximate estimate for levelling the road within Marwar limits from Barmer.

**2. READ —**

Note No. 1709 dated 28th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib requesting sanction to an extra grant of Rs. 6,000/- under the head of "Good service reward."

**2, Resolution—**

Resolved that an extra grant of Rs. 6,000/ be sanctioned as requested.

**3. READ —**

Note No. 1721 dated 29th September 1920, by the Revenue Member requesting sanction to an extra grant of Rs. 1,158/- for planting and maintenance of trees at the Chopasni Schools as under:—

Capital expenditure	---	...	Rs. 702 -
Recurring charges	...	...	Rs. 450, -
			<hr/>
			Rs. 1,158/-



### 3. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned as requested and that the Principal of the Schools be asked to induce the boys of the School to help in the work of these plantations as much as they can.

#### 4. READ.—

Note No. 1705 dated 28th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur has ordered the following appointments and increments:—

- (1) Veterinary Assistant Dr. Hiralal who has been looking after the horses of the *Khasa Tavela* may be appointed on Rs. 50/ p. m. plus Rs. 20/ as horse allowance with effect from 18th September 1919.
- (2) Doctor Patram now drawing Rs. 60/ p. m. and horse allowance of Rs. 15/ p. m. be given an increment of Rs. 20/ in pay and Rs. 5/ per month in horse allowance with effect from 18th September 1919.

That as there is no provision in the budget to meet these charges an extra sanction for the sum of Rs. 1,555/- shall be necessary. The Auditor of Accounts suggests that it would be better to make provision of the sanctioned amount in the budget of 1920—21.

### 4. Resolution—

Resolved that the appointment and promotions be approved and provision made in the budget of 1920-21 as suggested by the Auditor of Accounts.

#### 5. READ —

Note No 1710 dated 28th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Kamdar of Maharaj Sri Bijey Singhji requests an increase of Rs. 150/ p. m. in the budget of Maharaj Sri Bijey Singhji Sahib to provide for the educational expenses of his son.

### 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that a report be called for from the Principal Rajput School giving approximate monthly expenditure of the Maharaj's son if admitted in the Powlett's Nobles School at Chopasn.

#### 6. READ.—

Note No. 1724 dated 30th September 1920, by the P. W. Member stating that the Superintending Engineer solicits sanction to give one month's pay in lieu of notice on termination of services of Baboos Keshab Chandra and Ram Narian late Head Clerk and Accountant respectively of the Electrical and Mechanical Engineer's office, with effect from the dates they were relieved of their duties.

## 6. Resolution --

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

### 7. READ.—

Note No. 1704 dated 28th September 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that Thakur Guman Singhji's original Jazir consisted of Chekla, Golawa, Bundar  $\frac{1}{2}$  and Javia. That under Council Resolution No. 2 dated 4th December 1915, with a view to pacify that tract, the said Thakur was granted some other Khalsa villages. But that in some of the villages, especially in Panthari and Deldari, there are any number of privileged Rajput cultivators who refuse to pay any rental to the new Jagirdar and thus a serious cause of dissatisfaction has arisen. Nor has the country side been pacified in any way by this exchange. That in the time of His late Highness Maharaja Sri Takhat Singhji Sahib, one of the above named villages (Javia) was taken for the Shikarkhana and compensation to the extent of Rs. 250/ a year was granted to the Thakur therefor.

That T. Guman Singh when sounded expressed his willingness to take back his original villages if Javia were also restored and one Bundar to be excluded on the ground that to a portion of the latter village had been assigned to an opponent by the Court against his will; that this grievance of the Thakur was now useless

The Revenue Member recommends that the best course would be to restore Javia to Thakur Guman Singh as well as the other villages and to make him responsible for the good conduct of the Bhils and Minas who will form part of his population.

## 7. Resolution —

Resolved that the proposal of the Revenue Member be approved with the proviso that Guman Singh would enjoy only that share in Javia which he possessed before it was taken over in the time of his late Highness Maharaja Shri Jaswant Singhji Sahib.

### 8. READ. —

Note No. 1702 dated 28th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating with reference to Council Resolution No. 29 dated 20th April 1920, that on a reference to the Chief Judge, the latter is of opinion that there is no harm in renewing the notification issued by the late Chief Judge regarding punishment of offenders in petty cases of theft and house trespass by whipping instead of imprisonment.

## 8. Resolution.—

Resolved that the suggestion of the Chief Judge be approved and the notice again issued but that in the Council's opinion it would be better to specify in the fresh notice the offences in which the punishment of whipping may be awarded.

9. *READ —*

Note No. 1718 dated 29th September 1920, by the P. W. Member stating that the Superintending Engineer submits list of outstanding bills against Maharajas, Rao Rajas and Jagirdars, Mutsaddies, officers, private persons and Departments amounting to Rs. 1,85,658/8/8, and requests that early action may be taken with a view to the clearance of the account.

9. *Resolution.—*

Resolved that all bills outstanding against Departments be dealt with by the Members-in-charge and a notice be issued to all other debtors asking them to settle their bills with the Electrical and Mechanical Department within a period of two months from the date of the notice.

10. *READ.*

Note No. 1719 dated 29th September 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting with reference to Council Resolution No. 4 dated 9th July 1920 the procedure adopted in the Bikaner State for installing electric light etc. in the Railway officers' Bungalows there.

10. *Resolution.—*

Resolved that installations in all the Railway officers' Bungalows here be provided at State expense and be carried out by the P. W. Department and that the Railway officers be charged, in addition to the current consumed, at the rate of 6½ p. c. per annum on the total capital outlay incurred by the State; that as regards repairs and replacements to wiring these will be carried out by the State without claiming any additional rental, but any extensions to the wiring should be considered as an additional charge on Capital outlay and rent correspondingly increased.

11. *READ—*

Note No. 1720 dated 29th September 1920, by the Finance Member stating with reference to C. R. No. 1 dated 11th May 1920 that the members of Jawahir Khana, on being asked, report that no jewellery supplied by Messrs. Rangi Lal Chuni Lal of Nesirabad to Her Highness Maji Sri Hadiji Sahiba was received in Jawahir Khana in the Maji Sahibas "Bhar" with the other Jewellery of the Maji Sahiba.

11. *Resolution —*

Resolved that the fact be noted, and the Vice-President be asked to appoint reliable officers to check afresh the whole inventory of the late Maji Sahiba's property and to report result to Council.

12. *READ—*

Note No. 2 Ry. 15/1 dated 1st October 1920, by the Finance Member stating that the Resident, W. R. S. intimates that survey party is to survey alignment of a Broad Gauge Railway line from Viramgam to Balotra in the next cold weather and requests that if the Darbar have no objection they will kindly arrange to afford necessary facilities to the survey party.

## 12, Resolution—

Resolved that the Resident, W. R. States be informed in reply that the Darbar will be glad to afford necessary facilities to the survey party. In this connection the Resident's attention be drawn to Darbar's letter No. 5211/Ry. 15/1 dated 26th July 1916 in which the construction of this line was deprecated. The Darbar would prefer that any extension made in this direction should be of the metre gauge via Deesa to Balotra, as such a line would serve a larger number of Jodhpur Districts and might also open up market for the Bhatki salt.

### 13. *READ.*—

Note No. 1722 dated 29th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding levy Rekh from the Jagirdar of village Bibalsar in Jalor on the Rekh of Rs. 1,000/-.

## 13, Resolution.—

Resolved that the Rekh be charged from the date of order.

### 14. *READ.*—

Note No. 1715 dated 29th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that as some cases are pending against the Farrashkhana Officials and inquiries are being made, it is a question whether they should be allowed to draw the percentage increment.

On the question being referred to the Finance Member, the latter remarked that as far as his Department was concerned, pay of establishments will be passed in accordance with the verified Nominal Roll of the office concerned. If the controlling authority has suspended an official or considers that his pay should be with-held for any reason, the Auditor should be informed.

## 14. Resolution —

Resolved that action be taken as suggested by the Finance Member.

### 15. *READ.*—

Note No. 1713 dated 29th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting papers for inquiry regarding mis-appropriation of certain articles belonging to Farash Khana.

## 15. Resolution—

Resolved that the inquiries made with respect to certain entries made in Chopania of 1910-11 relate to a distant period when Purkha was Daroga Farashkhana. The Vice-President be asked to have the Farashkhana accounts for the last 4 years scrutinized and then to submit papers with his opinion.

16. *READ.*—

Note No. 1714 dated 29th September 1920, by Vice-President Sahib submitting papers of inquiry regarding the dirty state of the Residency Bungalow on the 30th June 1920, on the arrival of the Resident from Mount Abu.

16. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that from the perusal of the papers it appears that Nenuram was responsible; he should be reprimanded and warned to be careful in future.

17. *READ.*—

Note No. 1716 dated 29th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding strike of Farashes at the Residency.

17. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the papers be filed, and that the Superintendent Farashkhana's attention be drawn to the complaints made by Mehta Kishen Mal and Rissaldar Panna Lal, that he was obstructing in every way the work of preparing an inventory of the Farrashkhana articles as ordered by Council. The Superintendent be directed to render help in the preparation of the list and that any further non-compliance with the orders will be severely dealt-with.

18. *READ.*—

Note No. 1712 dated 29th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting Note No. 1771 dated 24th August 1920, of the Nigrani Officer recommending increment and conveyance allowance to B. Nenuram Superintendent Farashkhana.

18. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that Nenuram be given increment according to percentage scale ordered under Council Resolution No. 20 dated 3rd August 1920, that further increase will be considered after the case pending against him is settled.

With regard to conveyance allowance, Nenuram be given one bicycle and a monthly allowance for its up-keep at the usual rate.

19. *READ.*—

Note No. 1703 dated 28th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Census Superintendent submits that a notification similar to the one issued on the last Census occasion in 1911 may be published in six successive issues of the Marwar Gazette and that 200 copies of the same be supplied to him.

19. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the notification be published as requested.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.  
Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.  
Sd. SUKHDEO.

# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 8th October 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.,
2. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

## 1. READ.—

Note No. 1723 dated 29th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding recovery of the money embezzled by clerk Sheochand (deceased) of the Diwani Court No. 1 and ordered to be recovered from Sheochand's son Mangal Chand and the surety Baxiram. The question is as to who is first responsible to pay and in what proportions.

## 1. Resolution—

Resolved that the Chief Court ought to have ascertained what further sum, if any, could be recovered from the principal. If nothing more could be realized from Mangal Chand the whole of the remaining sum should be recovered from the surety Baxiram.

## 2. READ —

Representation of Rao Brij Lal addressed to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib, protesting against the Council's order No. 17 dated 6th April 1920, regarding partition of his property amongst his two sons.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that the Council order dated 6th April 1920, which confirmed the amicable agreement arrived at with mutual consent by the parties before the Council, should stand.

## 3. READ —

Note No. 1663 dated 15th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding the dispute between the Hindus and Mohomedans of Pahi about the passage of *Gher* party in front of the Chhipas mosque.

Mitha Lal and others on behalf of the Brahmins and Mohomed Raza on behalf of the Mohomedans appeared before Council and their arguments heard.

### 3 Resolution.—

Resolved that from the records of the case and the statements of the parties, it is clear that the *Gher* party passes singing by the Chhipas Masjid since old times and that in 1913 there was some row but the Chhipas had executed a bond in favour of the Brahmins that they would never in future prevent the *Gher* party from passing by their mosque singing as usual.

A few of the misguided Mohomedans have now expressed a desire to ignore the agreement of 1913 and urge that singing altogether when in front of the mosque be stopped. This would be an innovation as singing party with various musical instruments to pass in front of the mosque throughout the year.

Council after careful consideration order that the *Gher* party be allowed to pass by the said mosque singing with music "Dhusa" the national anthem of the Rathors and that no obscene song should be sung.

That necessary orders be issued to the authorities concerned and parties informed.

#### 4. READ —

Note No. 1726 dated 30th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding the 25 years' monopoly of printing the Chandoo Panchang by the Venkteshwar Press, stating that the Proprietor of the Press requests following modifications being made in the agreement:—

- (1) That the third term of the agreement being against the monopoly should be deleted.
- (2) That the 4th term of the agreement is as usual and the words "by serving 6 months' notice should be added to it.
- (3) That the last portion of the 5th term viz, "such question or dispute shall be determined by the Maharaja whose decision thereon shall be final and binding on the Proprietor of the Sri Venkteshwar Press" be replaced by "such question or dispute shall be determined by the arbitration by both sides and decision thereon shall be final for both the parties."

### 4. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Council agree that the 3rd term be deleted as requested.

That the words "by serving six months' notice" be added at the end of the 4th term.

That the 5th term shall stand as it is as no outside arbitration can be allowed.

#### 5. READ:—

Letter No. 6438 dated 18th June 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member submitting estimate of Rs. 895/- for providing water taps in the house occupied by Thakur Jagat Singhji of Deoli.

## 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that the house being Thakur Jagat Singh's private property the tap may be supplied at his own cost.

### 6. READ,—

Letter No. 646 dated 20th September 1920, from the Chief Judge to the Vice-President Sahib, stating with reference to C. R. No. 6 dated 31st August 1920, that if Parasmal heir of Sah Jitmal has any complaints against the *Amíns* sent evidently by the Court of Sardars, he should represent matters to the Court of Sardars and if he is dissatisfied with the orders of that Court, it is open to him to appeal to the Chief Court according to law.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the opinion of the Chief Judge be approved and the applicant be informed accordingly.

### 7. READ —

Note dated 22nd September 1920, by the Superintendent Customs Department stating with reference to C. R. No. 8 dated 17th August 1920, that payment of personal allowances to the Customs staff at Head Quarters would be more preferable than increments. The Finance Member endorses the views of the Customs Superintendent.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that the Council are still of opinion that increments would be better than allowances.

### 8. READ.—

Note No. 1728 dated 30th September 1920, by the Revenue Member stating with reference to C. R. No. 5 dated 31st August 1920, that the Chief Judge on being asked to suggest the best course regarding the trial of rebels Nathoo Singh and Sal Singh, points out two alternative courses:—

- (1) Either the Foujdar be asked to go on the spot and hold enquiry into all the cases, or
- (2) The Superintendent of the Pargana may specially be empowered to try the cases.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the Superintendent Pargana be empowered to try these cases.

### 9. READ —

Note No. 657 dated 29th September 1920, by the Revenue Member requesting sanction for the appointment of a guardian of the property of the minor Thakur Hari Singh of Kuchawan as desired by the Resident in his letter No. 4058 dated 14th August 1920, so that formal application be made on behalf of the minor for the succession certificate and the dividend and interest accrued on the investments be duly collected. That on the analogy of the precedent of Nimaj and Bhadrarjun Thikanas it is suggested that the Revenue Member who is in charge of the Court of Wards Department now, may be appointed in this behalf.



## 9. Resolution.—

Resolved that the proposal of the Revenue Member be approved.

### 10. READ.—

Note No. 4/P. W. D. 2 dated 2nd October 1920, by the P. W. Member regarding payment of the remaining bill of Rs. 1,375/- of Messrs. Abdulla & Sons for supplying their boiler to the P. W. Department in the year 1916 on rent.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that the remaining amount of the bill be paid to Messrs. Abdulla & Sons by the P. W. Department.

### II. READ.—

Letter No. J. M. 461 dated 30th September 1920, from the Executive Officer Municipal Board to the P. W. Member intimating that in the Municipal case of Hindoos of Kumbaria Kua, vs. butcher Kadar, the Board has disallowed the butcher to accommodate in the house purchased by him in the Hindoo locality and the house has been given back to the Hindoos on the actual price the butcher had paid, and requests that a notice be issued in the Marwar Gazette that no butcher be permitted to purchase house in a Hindu community in future.

## 11. Resolution—

Resolved that the policy adopted be approved but there is no need of issuing a notice in the Marwar Gazette.

### 12. READ.—

Note No. 5/Census I dated 2nd October 1920, by the Officiating Vice-President Sahib regarding appointment of an Industrial officer along with the Census operations as required in Resident's letter No. 5032 dated 18th August 1920.

## 12. Resolution,—

Resolved that Chatter Bhuj, Superintendent of Forests be appointed to carry out the work in addition to his own duties.

### 13. READ.—

Note No. 8/Mil. 47 dated 4th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding horse breeding in Marwar, submitting proposals of the Consultative Committee for approval.

## 13. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposals of the Consultative Committee be approved.

### 14. READ —

Note 10/P. W. 14 dated 5th October 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting estimate of Rs. 870/14/3 for fixing water tap in the compound of the office of the Residency Vakil.

## 14. Resolution—

Resolved that a report be called for whether the completion of a half dug well lying near the above said compound would not be better than fixing a tap.

**15. READ.—**

Note No. 1729/H. O. dated 30th September 1920, by the Revenue Member requesting orders whether the Bhoglawa cases should be heard by Bhoglawa Sub Committee or by the Civil Court as ordered in the notification issued on 5th May 1917.

**15. Resolution—**

Resolved that all Bhoglawa cases should be heard by the Civil Courts as already ordered.

**16. READ.—**

Note dated 6th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting application of Manihar Suraj Karan requesting for increment.

**16. Resolution—**

Resolved that the applicant be granted increment according to percentage scale as sanctioned under C. R. No. 20 dated 3rd August 1920.

**17. READ.—**

Note dated 6th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib forwarding Arzdasht of the Kamdar of Sri Bada Baijilal Sahiba requesting increase in the allowance of Baiji Sahiba.

**17. Resolution—**

Resolved that the present allotment is adequate and may stand as it is.

**18. READ—**

Note dated 6th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib recommending one month's leave on private affairs to Rao Sahib Laxmandasji Chief Judge and proposing following arrangement for the disposal of work during his absence:—

(1) In Dewani Full Bench appeals and original criminal cases, R. S. P. Dharam Narainji should sit with Rao Bahadur Thakur Bijey Singhji joint Judge and

(2) In Criminal Full Bench appeals and original civil cases, Rao Sahib Kuar Chain Singhji should sit with Rao Bahadur Thakur Bijey Singhji.

Both Pandit Dharam Narainji and Kuar Chain Singhji to do this work in addition to their duties.

Further suggesting that R. B. Thakur Bijey Singhji be allowed to officiate as Chief Judge during Rao Sahib Laxmandassji's absence on leave.

**18 Resolution--**

Resolved that one month's leave to R. S. Laxmandassji and the proposals for disposal of work during his absence be sanctioned as recommended.

19. *READ*—

Note dated 25th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting the information required in C. R. No. 21 dated 27th May 1920, regarding the allegations against the ancestors of Bias Magan Raj.

## 19 Resolution.—

Resolved that Bias Magan Raj be asked what he has to say concerning the historical entries

20. *READ*.—

Note No. 9/Cen. 1 dated 4th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating with reference to C. R. No. 13 dated 10th September 1920, that the Census Superintendent reports that the Census Committee had before them the question of the supply of conveyance for Census Supervisors and for this they suggested that the same may be supplied from village to village as was done on previous occasions free of charge.

As for arrangements for carrying the D'ak to and from the Supervisors the Census Committee propose that the Hakumats should utilize the Hakumat Sawars and their strength may temporarily be supplemented by engaging 60 Sawars more for 7 months. That if these Sawars could be spared from the Military Department the extra expenditure of Rs. 8,400/- would be saved.

That the Committee further recommend:—

- (1) That permanent State servants told off on Census duty as Assistant Charge Superintendents and drawing less than Rs. 20/- p. m. may be allowed the difference as allowance.
- (2) That permanent State servants told off on duty as Supervisors may be given an allowance of Rs. 5/-.
- (3) That the Census work for the Hakims' Office must be entrusted to some member of the establishment, and the clerk entrusted with the work may be given an allowance of Rs. 5/- p. m.

## 20. Resolution.—

Resolved that the old practice of having conveyance for Supervisors from village to village free of charge is approved.

As regards the supply of 60 Sowars, the Military Department be asked to report whether they can supply the required number of Sowars from the Camel Corps.

With regard to the allowances asked for in Nos. 1, 2, and 3 of last Para of the note the Vice-President be asked to report what was the practice in vogue in the last Census and what would be the total estimated cost of such allowances.

## 21. READ—

Note No. 12/Census dated 6th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding location of Census Tabulation and Compilation Offices of the Western Rajputana States and Ajmere Merwara for the Census Operations of 1921.

That the Provincial Superintendent suggests the appointment of a Deputy Superintendent (to hold charge of the Abstraction Offices located at every centre) with whom alone he proposes to carry on all correspondence. The amount of the pay of the Deputy Superintendent and his two Chaprasies is proposed to be ratably borne by the Durbars concerned. In the case of the Jodhpur Centre the Jodhpur State's share is estimated at Rs 2,893/6/11.

That in case Jodhpur is approved as a centre for the Western Rajputana States, the Bikaner and Sirohi Offices may be located, in the slip copying stage at *Vidyasal*, while the Jodhpur and Jaisalmer Offices can work at the Kuchaman-ki-Haveli. Later on the Bikaner and Sirohi Offices can also move into the Kuchaman Haweli. That the Superintendent in charge of the centre and the Assistant Superintendent in charge of the Bikaner, Sirohi and Jaisalmer Offices can be provided with residential accommodation in these buildings, and in case they don't suit them, they should make their own arrangements.

That the Deputy Superintendent and the Assistant Superintendents should make their own arrangements for conveyances if they require.

The Vice-President suggests that instead of *Vidyasal*, Naniji's Mandir would be more convenient.

## 21. Resolution.—

Resolved that the location of the Western Rajputana States Offices at Jodhpur as a centre for Tabulation and Compilation of Census, is approved and that Kuchaman Haweli and Naniji's temple be set apart for the accommodation of these offices.

That the Darbar also agree to pay the proportionate cost on account of the pay of Deputy Superintendent.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH,

Sd. R. E. A HAMILTON,

Sd. SUKHDEO.



The following paper was settled by circulation amongst members and approved by His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur on 14th October 1920.

---

Note No. 21/Est. 5 dated 9th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib requesting sanction to an increment of Rs. 15/- in the pay of Government lent Sub-Assistant Surgeon, Nagin Dass who has been promoted to Senior grade, second class, with effect from 1st July 1919.

### 1. Resolution.—

Resolved that the increment be sanctioned as requested.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH

Sd. SUKHDEO.



# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 15th October 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

## 1. READ.—

Note No 11/ kar. 2 dated 6th October 1920, by the Finance Member recommending that arrangements for furnishing the Vice-Principal's Bungalow be made immediately after the Viceregal visit when there would be plenty of furniture available in the Farash Khana.

## 1. Resolution.—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved

## 2. READ.—

Letter No. 5598 dated 26th September 1920, from the Resident, Western Rajputana States to the Senior Member of Council returning the papers regarding claim of Thakur Abhey Singh, alias Ajit Singh to  $\frac{1}{2}$  Bawa Jagir for reconsideration of Council.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that the papers be returned to the Vice-President for further inquiries as to who should be considered the original grantee of the Jagir.

## 3. READ—

Arzdasht dated 5th October 1920, of the widow of late Munshi Shubh Lal praying that the auction of her house may be postponed and papers of the case decreed against her be sent for and perused.

## 3. Resolution—

Resolved that the papers be sent for and auction deferred.



## 4. READ —

Note No. 13/H. O. dated 7th October 1920, by the Revenue Member stating with reference to Council Resolution No. 12 dated 7th September 1920, that the Sahukars of Merta city submit that the Janch Partal Committee did not strictly follow the rules prescribed for the decision of the mortgage suits whereby there was likelihood of sustaining great loss, and that in the Civil Court although they have to pay court fees, they are sure that justice would be done. They request to be allowed to file their suits in the Civil Court

## 4. Resolution—

Resolved that the applicants be informed that as they failed to institute their suits within the prescribed period which was publicly notified they must suffer the consequences.

## 5. READ —

Arazdasht dated 19th September 1920, from the Vakil of Thikana Khudiala Pargana Shergarh to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib stating that the Seths of Ajmere although they have realized three times the amount of Bhoglawa money on village Chidwai of their Thikana, are neither rendering account nor producing the *Khat*. That the Thikana is under *Nabulgi*, the case may be referred to the Bhoglawa Sub-Committee.

## 5. Resolution—

Resolved that the Court of Wards be directed to institute a regular case in the Civil Court on behalf of the Jagirdar.

## 6. READ—

Letter No. 9836/358 dated 4th October 1920, from the Residency Surgeon, Western Rajputana States, to the Vice-President, Council of Regency, recommending that the question of site for building a new Hospital be considered De-Novo as he has been informed by the Resident that there is a strong body of opinion adverse to building the new Hospital within the city.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the Residency Surgeon be asked to propose some suitable sites for the Hospital outside the city, but he should be careful to avoid the area required for the proposed Broad Railway Line and its requirements.

## 7. READ—

Note No. 16/ Press 17 dated 8th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting request of one Mahal Chand of Ladnu for starting up-to-date Printing and Publishing Business in Jodhpur instead of at Ladnu.

## 7. Resolution.—

Resolved that permission be given to start a Press at Jodhpur on the condition that the applicant will print and publish only the sacred books of the Jain religion.

### 8 READ.—

Note No. 22/ P. W. D. 39 dated 9th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding replacement of broken glass panes in the Jubilee Courts office's at a cost of Rs. 375/-.

## 8 Resolution.—

Resolved that the papers be sent to the P. W. Member for disposal.

### 9. READ —

Note No. 18/ Est. 19 dated 8th October 1920, by the Revenue Member recommending that there is no use retaining the services of Daulat Ram, Deputy Ranger at Desuri as there are several complaints against him and that as he has served the State for several years, he may be given a gratuity of three months' pay.

## 9. Resolution.—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

### 10. READ.—

Note No. 20/ H. O. dated 9th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding issue of *Betalbi Sanad* to Achal Singh Jagirdar of Alae.

## 10. Resolution —

Resolved that a *Betalbi Sanad* be issued as requested.

### 11. READ.—

Draft rules of the Marwar Agricultural Bank and the rules for the formation of village Credit Co-operation Societies, submitted by the Revenue Member.

## 11. Resolution.—

Resolved that the draft rules be sent to the Consultative Committee for expression of their opinion.

### 12. READ.—

Note dated 15th October 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that Kuar Hamir Singh of Daspan is a candidate for a superior appointment in the Marwar Police and he is to be deputed in January next to the Moradabad Police School for training. That the Inspector General of Police suggests that in the meantime the Kuar may be usefully employed in Marwar to learn the work of raiding gangs of dacoits, on a pay of Rs. 100/- p. m. The Revenue Member supports the proposal and suggests that the extra expenditure can be met by re-appropriation of funds in the Police Budget.

## 12. Resolution.—

Resolved that the recommendation be sanctioned as requested.

## 13. READ.—

D. O. No. 1056/166 dated 13th October 1920, from the Residency Surgeon to the Vice-President of Council intimating his departure to Bombay on ten days' casual leave.

## 13. Resolution.—

Resolved that the fact be noted.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.

*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 19th October 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.
2. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

**1. READ.—**

Note dated 9th October 1920, by the Finance Member proposing that whenever leave in continuation of vacations is granted to the members of Educational Department, the whole period from the commencement of the vacations shall be converted into such leave as may be due to the officer. When no leave is due, the absence will be treated as leave without pay.

**1. Resolution,—**

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

**2. READ.—**

Estimate of Rs. 340/- for repairing Parade ground at Ratanada and providing a teak wood flag staff, submitted by the Superintending Engineer through the P. W. Member.

**2. Resolution —**

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

**3. READ.—**

Estimate of Rs. 745/- for varnishing and painting doors, windows and general repairs to floor etc of the Residency office, submitted by the Superintending Engineer, through the P. W. Member.

**3. Resolution —**

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

**4. READ—**

Estimate No: 8 of 1919-20 for Rs. 3,581/- for repairs to Chopasni School Building, Powlett House and latrines attached to the Schools, submitted by the Superintending Engineer through the P. W. Member.

**4 Resolution,—**

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

## 5. READ.—

Note No: 1700 dated 25th September 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, submitting the case of Sujan Singh and Jatan Singh Jagirdars of Rewasa Dalepura in Sambhar stating that Thikana Abheypura owned a share in their village, the Rekh of which share was Rs. 326/4/-; that for the last three successions in Thikana Abheypura viz of Sambat 1936, 1948, and 1961, they had duly paid up their share of the succession fees calculated on a Rekh of Rs. 326/4/- and that now they were being pressed to pay on a Rekh of Rs. 767/12/- for all the three successions.

## 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that the papers be put up again after ascertaining the average annual income of the share owned by Abheypura.

## 6. READ —

Opinion of the Consultative Committee on the application of the Secretary Arya Samaj regarding removal of the houses of the prostitutes from the city to some solitary place outside the city and putting a stop to their vicious practice of dealing in girls and gathering of bad characters at their houses.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the opinion of the Consultative Committee be approved and orders issued accordingly.

## 7. READ—

Note No: 26 dated 13th October 1920, by the Finance Member submitting for information and confirmation of the Council the settlement of the claim of Messrs. Rai Badridass Bahadur & Sons of Calcutta as under:—

			Rs.
Original claim	...	...	77,375
Amount paid	...	...	62,000
Saving affected	...	...	15,375

## 7. Resolution.—

Resolved that the action taken by the Debt Committee be approved.

## 8. READ —

Note dated 17th August 1920, regarding existing debts on the Borawar Thikana managed by the Haisyat Court. The Superintendent Haisyat Court reports that the annual income of the Thikana is Rs. 7,250/- out of which Rs. 1,200/- are paid for Khangi expenses and the rest towards the liquidation of the Raj dues and the loan of other *Boras*. That the total outstandings against the Thikana were as under:—

			Rs.
State dues	...	...	26,691/12/-.
Loans due to other <i>Boras</i> including Rs. 1,655/- of Gorao Thakur.	}		39,186/3/6.

That there were some wells set apart for Patwi Kuar, Baiji and the Thukrani besides Rs. 1,200/- of Kangi mentioned above, and the Thakur also receives Rs. 200/- as pay for the post of Superintendent Police.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the Haisyat Court be asked to reduce reasonably the amount of Khangri of the Borawar Thakur for so long as he continues to draw pay from the State.

## 9. READ—

Note No: 1733 dated 13th October 1920, by the Finance Member recommending a gratuity of six months' pay to Kesri Mall late *Ademurag* Thanedar at Jetaran.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

## 10. READ—

Note No: 37/Est. 39 dated 13th October 1920, by the Officiating Vice-President recommending that the pay of R. B. Thakur Bijey Singhji of Rian, Judge Chief Court, be raised to Rs. 800/- per mensem in order to maintain the old scale of difference of pay between the Judge Chief Court and the Superintendent Court of Sardars, as suggested by the Chief Judge.

## 10. Resolution--

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

## 11. READ.—

Note No: 29 dated 13th October 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting letter No: 293 dated 10th October 1920 from the Superintending Engineer regarding service connections to the consumer's houses in the city. The Superintending Engineer submits certain draft rules (copy attached) for the approval and sanction of the Council, and requests their inclusion in the general rules laid down for wiring of houses.

## 11. Resolution.—

Resolved that the rules be passed and included in the general rules laid down for wiring of houses.

## 12. READ.—

Note No: 28/T. & F. 102 C, dated 13th October 1920, by the Officiating Vice-President, stating that the Nigrani Officer, Karkhanajats requests payment of some bills for certain utensils purchased by order of His Highness the late Maharaja Sahib, amounting to Rs. 205/7/6.

## 12. Resolution.—

Resolved that the bills be paid as requested.

**13. READ.—**

Note No: 30 dated 14th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, regarding the quarrel between Moota Chand Malji and Manakij Members of Jawahir Khana.

**13. Resolution.—**

Resolved that Mr. Manakji be directed to submit a written unqualified apology to the Committee for his undignified conduct.

**14. READ —**

Note No: 33 dated 14th October 1920, by the Vice-President submitting with reference to C. R. No: 13 dated 9th January 1920 that M. Keval Mall having undergone training under the Assistant Foudar is now capable to discharge the work allotted to him.

**14. Resolution—**

Resolved that papers be put up again after ascertaining the pay of the Sirohi Motmid appointed to the Joint Court at Erinpura.

**15. READ.—**

Note No: 31 dated 14th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, regarding complaint of Kalla Narain Dass against Manakji Member Jawahir Khana.

**15. Resolution —**

Resolved that Mr. Manakji be warned not to use abusive language towards any of the members of Jawahir Khana and that in future whenever any Jawahir Khana article is sent for at least two of the members should accompany it.

**16 READ.—**

Note No: 32 dated 14th October 1920, by the P. W. Member stating that according to the scheme lately passed for improving the surroundings of Jodhpur Railway Station, all corrugated iron sheet sheds and thatched varandhas etc. erected in front of the shops of Shah Ganesh Mall are to be removed. That the Municipality accordingly asked the Vakil of Shah Ganesh Mall who submits that the shed has been built by permission of the late Musahib Ala K B. Merwanji Pestonji and that if a Pakka Veranda is required to be made, he may be allowed Patta of the land to build a Pakka Verandha and thus beautify the locality.

**16. Resolution.—**

Resolved that no Patta of the land be granted and that all such iron or thatched sheds be removed in accordance with the scheme already passed for improving the surroundings of Jodhpur Station.

## 17. READ.—

Note No: 34 dated 15th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, stating with reference to C. R. No: 20 dated 7th September 1920, regarding donations to the Royal Air Force Memorial Fund, that the Bikaner Darbar have received no communication on the subject, while the Jaipur Minister intimates that nothing has been settled owing to the illness of His Highness the Maharaja Sahib of Jaipur.

## 17. Resolution —

Resolved that inquiries on the subject be made from the Gwalior and Patiala States.

## 18. READ.—

Note No: 1496 dated 29th July 1920, by the Vice President submitting the following criminal case of:—

Shri Darbar.	}	versus.	{	Dayala son of Chhagni Ram No: 1 & Kalya son of Mangla Dhanka of Merta. No: 2
--------------	---	---------	---	--

Charged under Section 302 M. P. C. with murder of a boy named Ram Kishen aged 6 years, and stating with reference to C. R. No: 17 dated 9th December 1919, that the Police have not been able to trace the Mohomedan boy.

Also read judgment of the Chief Court dated 7th August 1919, in which the Court find the accused Dayalla guilty as an accomplice in the offence on his own confession, but acquit Kalya on the ground that there is no evidence against him except the statement of accused No: 1 (Dayalla). With regard to Dayalla, the Chief Court observe that the part which he is proved to have played in causing death is exceedingly small and the boy is of tender age (11 years) and they therefore recommend him to the mercy of the Council. That a sentence of a long term imprisonment to a young boy of 11 years, which would necessarily bring him in contact with hardened criminals will tend to debase his nature still more.

## 18. Resolution —

Council after a careful and prolonged consideration of this case agree with the findings of the Chief Court and order that both the accused be released and that an undertaking in writing be taken from the elder brother of Dayalla that he will be responsible for the latters' good conduct on release from jail.

## 19. READ—

Letter No 31/22862 dated 14th October 1920, from R. J. Baumgartner Assistant Manager, J. B. Railway to the P. W. Member stating that Mr. Stuart William, a late Officer of this Railway has wired to Mr. Warren, asking him to book Ford Car No: 12 at Rs 1,500/-; submitted by the P. W. Member for orders.

## 19. Resolution—

Resolved that the sale of the car be sanctioned but it should not be despatched until paid for.



Note dated 18th October 1920, by the Officiating Vice-President Sahib, stating that K. Sukh Singh having returned from leave will take over charge of the office of Judicial Superintendent Malani from Bhandari Umrao Chand who will revert to his substantial post of Malani Hakim. That this will relieve Hakim Lalchand.

That the Raj Advocate has taken one month's sick leave. In his place Manihar Suraj Karan M. A., L. L. B., may officiate and that Lalchand be placed in charge of the office of Joint Kotwal.

## 20. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

Sd/- PRATAP SINGH.

Sd/- R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd/- SUKHDEO.

**DRAFT RULES FOR SERVICE CONNECTION  
TO CONSUMERS.**

---

- (1) The Electrical and Mechanical Department is authorised to run the supply line over, through or under any building owned by citizen, and can fix a rail, bracket guard or pipe over, in, through or under, any private building, for this purpose making necessary provision for the safety of the inmates of the house.
- (2) Any legitimate loss thus caused to the owner of the house will be made good by the Electrical and Mechanical Department. The loss will be calculated by the E. & M Engineer and the Superintending Engineer, P. W. Department.
- (3) The rails and brackets guards etc. will be removed and fixed at any other portion of the house if it proves to be a hinderance in the construction of a new building for which the owner must apply to the Electrical and Mechanical Engineer one month before the construction work is started.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 26th October 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.
2. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E

**1. READ.—**

Note No. 17 dated 8th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting with reference to C. R. No. 13 dated 12th December 1919, the Uzardari of Vakil Thikana Ladnoo in the following Civil suit of:—

Plaintiff.	}	<i>Versus.</i>	{	Defendants
Vakil Thikana Ladnoo.				Mukna, Sibla Moils of Ladnoo.

Claim for possession of land.

The Chief Court report that Sibla and Sabji were not one and the same person. That Sibla was a defendant in the case, while Sabji whose name appeared in the Patta of S. 1950 is said to be the brother of Devji the grandfather of the defendant Sibla.

**1. Resolution —**

Resolved that both parties be called and the papers put up again.

**2. READ —**

Note No. 1684 dated 20th September 1920, by the Revenue Member, regarding the mortgage of two wells by Junjar Singh Bhomia of village Sidroo to late Rada Dadeji Shri Jadechiji Sahiba and subsequently to Maharaj Sri Fateh Singhji Sahib.

**2. Resolution—**

Resolved that the money advanced by Maharaj Sri Fateh Singhji being on an Ahiwala bond, his vakil be asked to state whether he would like to have his claim settled according to Bhoglawa rules, or would prefer its being decided by the Civil Court.

## 3. READ —

Note No. 36/Jud. 101 dated 16th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting Criminal case of:—

Shri Darbar.	}	Versus.	{	Gula son of Suja Gujar, resident of Lakha-ki-L hani in Chosila Patta Minda.
--------------	---	---------	---	---

Charged under Sections 302 and 307.

Also read judgment dated 27th August 1920, of the Chief Court who find the accused guilty of murder of Gujar Chena and of wounding Sukha. That under ordinary circumstances the court would not have hesitated to recommend the extreme penalty of the law. But in this case the accused has seen much service in the War, and is habituated to scenes of bloodshed and his experiences there appear to have developed a blood-thirsty tendency to and a readiness to take offence at an insult real or imaginary. It is quite probable that there may have been some talk about eating mules in the War on some occasion and the accused's tendency to take offence has resulted in the offence. Taking this fact into consideration it would not be unreasonable if His Highness the Maharaja Regent in Council were to exercise his prerogative of mercy and pass a sentence of life imprisonment which the law permits.

## 3. Resolution—

Resolved that the Council agree with the recommendation of the Chief Court, and order that the accused Gularam be imprisoned for life.

## 4. READ.—

Report dated 5th October 1920, of the Consultative Committee with reference to C. R. No. 2 dated 10th June 1919, in connection with the case of the Maji of Dodiana and the fixing of the maintenance allowances of Majis generally when Jagirs escheat to the Darbar.

## 4. Resolution—

Resolved that it is inexpedient to make any general rule. It is best to deal with each individual case on its merits.

That the Committee's suggestion No. 7 to fix a scale for performing obsequies of the Majis and sending of their ashes to the Ganges by the Darbar is approved. That the sum of Rs. 3,564/4/- on account of the late Thakur of Dodiana's obsequies be paid as recommended by the Committee.

That a maintenance allowance of Rs. 400/- p. m. be paid to the Majies of Dodiana through the Hakumat.

That Rs. 2,000/- be sanctioned for erecting a *Chhatra* to the memory of the late Thakur as recommended by the Committee.

## 5. READ —

Note No. 38 dated 18th October 1920, by the P. W. Member soliciting orders in connection with the scale of petrol supply to State Officers, whether the maximum of petrol fixed may be considered for a month only i. e. an officer can indent up to that limit only in a month or whether it should be calculated on an average for the year.

## 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that, except in the case of the Residency Surgeon whose extra consumption is covered by the carriage allowance of Rs. 110/-, the monthly maximum fixed should not be exceeded in any month. Should officers exceed the fixed monthly allowance the excess will be charged unless a reasonable explanation is given.

### 6. READ.—

Note No. 39 dated 18th October 1920, by the P. W. Member, stating with reference to C. R. No. 9 dated 16th July 1920, that the Executive Officer and the Municipal Secretary report that 95 shops out of 108 have been occupied by cloth merchants. That the merchants request certain additions and alterations to the shops and the setting up for four electric posts at the corners of the Clock Tower. That orders may be issued to the Nigrani Officer Karkhanajats, the Darogas of Mardani and Zenani Dohdhies etc. etc. to make all purchases of cloth and other miscellaneous articles from the merchants occupying shops in the Sardar Market (Girdikot).

## 6. Resolution —

Resolved that the P. W. Member be asked to submit with his opinion an estimate of the additions and alterations required and that the Departments named be directed to purchase cloth and other articles from the Sardar Market provided the merchants there supply things at the market rate.

### 7. READ —

Petition dated 13th September 1920, of Pirthi Singh of Natiyas Zalimpura to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib praying that in the case of realization of Rekh share from the *Chhutbhaies* the same procedure may be adopted as in that of *Chukri* dues vide Council Resolution No. 6 dated 18th November 1919.

## 7. Resolution —

Resolved that the petitioner be directed to represent his case if he has one in the proper court, no general ruling can be given.

### 8. READ. —

Note No. 42 dated 19th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that Moota Chandmal member of Jawahir Khana requests for an extension of 2 months' privilege leave being granted to him in continuation of 2 months' leave expired on the 6th of September 1920. That the Auditor of Accounts reports that he is entitled to a further 15' days privilege leave.

## 8. Resolution.—

Resolved that two months' extension be sanctioned as a special case.

## 9. READ —

Note No. 43 dated 19th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding payment of the bills of Rs. 543/- and 424/- submitted by the Vakils of Chandawal and Ras Thikanas on account of the allowances of the two Thakurs for attending in the Consultative Committee. That the late Vice-President ordered the bills being checked keeping in view the fact about deductions for the time the State conveyances were supplied.

## 9 Resolution.—

Resolved that the bills be paid, and that the Vakils concerned be directed to ask their Thakurs to keep their own conveyances as already ordered.

## 10. READ.

Note No. 44 dated 19th October 1920, by the Revenue Member requesting sanction for an extra grant of Rs. 60/- in connection with the temporary employment of 2 men at Rs. 10/- each for a period of 3 months as requested by the city Kotwal for realizing the arrears of Patta fees amounting to Rs. 18,000/-.

## 10. Resolution.—

Resolved that sanction be accorded as requested.

## 11. READ—

Letter No. 280 dated 9th October 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member submitting two estimates amounting to Rs. 13,296/14/3 and Rs. 292/6/- for removing the pipe line of Central Jail and fixing new pipe, and for making four *Shisham* wood Almiras for the Central Jail.

## 11. Resolution —

Resolved that the estimate of Rs. 13,296/14/3 be sanctioned as requested. With regard to the almirahs, the Superintending Engineer be asked to state whether it would not be better to build stone almirahs with doors of *Babool* wood.

## 12. READ—

Note dated 13th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting with reference to Council Resolution No. 9 dated 9th July 1920, a comparative statement showing services of Vakil Ralla Ram in connection with the War.

## 12. Resolution—

Resolved that the papers be filed.

13. *READ*.—

Note No. 41/Ry. 15 P. dated 19th October 1920, by the Vice-President stating that the Public of Pachpadra have submitted a petition praying that permission be given to them for running Motor Lorries:ex. Balotra to Pachpadra and *vice versa* for fetching water as also for carrying goods and passengers on certain conditions. The Vice-President recommends that the conditions may be accepted and that permission for running Motor Lorries be given. That the merchants of Pachpadra have subscribed capital for starting the business.

## 13. Resolution—

Resolved that permission to run Motor Lorries be given on the conditions submitted by the applicants.

14. *READ*.—

Note No. 47 dated 19th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Auditor of Accounts requests sanction to an extra grant of Rs. 600/- in the Budget of Sheo Hakumat in connection with the payment of Pandit Rajnath's salary vide Council Resolution No. 1 dated 20th July 1920.

## 14. Resolution—

Resolved that sanction for an extra grant of Rs. 600/- be accorded as requested.

15. *READ*.—

Note No. 46 dated 19th October 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that the Kamdar of the Maji of Chavarcha requests sanction for the payment of a sum of Rs. 577/- spent on the 12th day, half-yearly and yearly ceremonies of the late Thakur. That the Hawala Superintendent reports that the request of the Maji appears to be reasonable.

## 15. Resolution—

Resolved that the amount be sanctioned and payment made.

16. *READ*.—

Note dated 19th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Kamdar of Maharaj Sri Bijey Singhji requests that Council orders dated 6th August 1920, regarding direct payment of monthly allowance to Sri Tuarji Sahiba may be reviewed and the allowance may be ordered to be paid through the Thikana as hitherto, while the Kamdar of Sri Tuarji Sahiba complains against the Kamdar of Maharaj Sri Bijey Singhji Sahib, for not paying to the Maji the sum of Rs. 873/- due on account of her monthly allowance. The Vice-President in submitting the papers remarks that it is rather hard to put the widowed ladies to such an inconvenience as want of legitimate funds granted for their maintenance should in no case be stopped.



## 16. Resolution—

Resolved that Council order No. 16 dated 6th August 1920, should stand and that the Kamdar of Maharaj Bijay Singhji be directed to pay to the lady the amount of Rs. 873/- at once.

## 17. READ—

Note dated 20th October 1920, by the Finance Member proposing with reference to C. R. No. 4 dated 28th July 1920, that the following Banks may be further added to the list approved for the purpose of depositing Treasury balances on loan for short terms:—

The Eastern Bank.  
The Mercantile Bank.  
The Alliance Bank of Simla.  
Tata's Industrial Bank.

## 17. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

## 18. READ—

Letter No. 2290/ dated 30th September 1920, from the Officer Commanding, 1st Regiment, Sardar Rissala to the Military Secretary, put up by the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib explaining how the loss in the value of Field Treasure Chest balance was caused by variations of exchange.

## 18. Resolution —

Resolved that the Commanding Officer be asked to explain the point personally to the Finance Member.

## 19. READ.—

Letter No. 340 dated 15th October 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member requesting sanction to an estimate of Rs. 4,798/- for repairs to Polo ground, roads and the Polo pavilion at His Highness's Palace, under head "Viceregal Visit."

## 19. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

## 20. READ.—

Note dated 11th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib on a letter No. 5779 dated 1st October 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. regarding the disputed villages of Takhtabad Pargana Mallani proposing that the villages should be restored as advised by the Resident and the mesne profits be refunded after deducting the cost of collection.

As regards the enhancement of tribute the Jagirdars be asked to put in their representations, as there has been a long break in their tenure and these villages have not been in undisturbed continuous possession as in the case with the other portions of Mallani held in Bhomichara.

## 20. Resolution.—

Resolved that the villages be restored and mesne profits be refunded after deducting the cost of collection and that the Jagirdars be asked to put in their representations regarding enhancement of tribute.

## 21. *READ*.—

Petition dated 25th October 1920, of the Vakil of Seth Ganeshmal addressed to the Finance Member praying that the sheds in front of the shops of his Sarai be not removed as ordered by the Regency Council, and that his Seth was ready to decorate them at his own expense as may be ordered by the Council.

## 12. Resolution.—

Resolved that for the present the sheds must be removed as already ordered, vide Council Resolution No. 16 dated 19th October 1920. That after the visit of His Excellency the Viceroy, the Municipal Committee should submit a design according to which such sheds may be erected in future. Such sheds should be of painted corrugated iron sheets supported on arches of iron frame work. Care should be taken that the foot-path is not encroached upon.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH,

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON

Sd. SUKHDE



# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 29th October 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.,
2. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

## **1. READ.—**

Estimate No. 677 of 19-20 for Rs. 17,531/- for constructing a liquor warehouse at Rani, submitted by the P. W. Member under his endorsement No. 50 dated 23rd October 1920.

## **1 Resolution—**

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and provision made in the the current year's budget.

## **2. READ—**

Estimate No. 678 of 19-20 for Rs. 17,531/- for constructing a liquor warehouse at Balotra, submitted by the P. W. Member under his endorsement No. 49 dated 23rd October 1920.

## **2. Resolution —**

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and provision made in the current year's budget.

## **3 READ.—**

Note by the Finance Member recommending that the grant-in-aid to Shri Umed School be raised from Rs. 175/- to Rs. 285/- per month from the month in which the proposed additional staff will be employed, and that a sum of Rs. 600/- be sanctioned as a non-recurring grant on the condition that the School Committee spend Rs. 400/- out of its funds and submit an account when the furniture is ready.

## **3 Resolutoin —**

Resolved that the proposal be approved.



# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 29th October 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.;  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. COL. R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.,
2. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

## **1. READ.—**

Estimate No. 677 of 19-20 for Rs. 17,531/- for constructing a liquor warehouse at Rani, submitted by the P. W. Member under his endorsement No. 50 dated 23rd October 1920.

## **1 Resolution—**

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and provision made in the the current year's budget.

## **2. READ—**

Estimate No. 678 of 19-20 for Rs. 17,531/- for constructing a liquor warehouse at Balotra, submitted by the P. W. Member under his endorsement No. 49 dated 23rd October 1920.

## **2. Resolution —**

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and provision made in the current year's budget.

## **3 READ.—**

Note by the Finance Member recommending that the grant-in-aid to Shri Umed School be raised from Rs. 175/- to Rs. 285/- per month from the month in which the proposed additional staff will be employed, and that a sum of Rs. 600/- be sanctioned as a non-recurring grant on the condition that the School Committee spend Rs. 400/- out of its funds and submit an account when the furniture is ready.

## **3 Resolutoin —**

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

## 4. READ —

Note No. 52 dated 23rd October 1920, by the P. W. Member stating that the Auditor of Accounts in returning the two separate budgets for the year 1920-21 viz —(1) for gardens under B. Sita Ram and (2) for those under Gajdhar Santok, requests that in order to facilitate the accounting of the garden expenditure, it would be better if the accounts of gardens are submitted monthly by one officer for the whole budget instead of keeping two distinct accounts under Sita Ram and Gajdhar Santok separately, and further adds that as no designation was given in the P. W. Office No. 105 dated 7th current, Gajdhar Santok's pay could not be included in the budget.

## 4. Resolution.—

Resolved that the P. W. Member be asked to propose which of the two officials should deal with the accounts of the Gardens, and that the pay of Gajdhar Santok be transferred from the Karkhanajats to the Gardens' budget.

## 5. READ.—

Note No. 51 dated 23rd October 1920, by the P. W. Member, stating that the Superintending Engineer P. W. D. inquires if the outstanding State dues of Rs. 2,030/5/- against Mr. Forbes, be recovered or adjusted from the gratuity of Rs. 1,750/- sanctioned under Council Resolution No. 22 dated 3rd December 1919.

## 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that the amount of gratuity payable to Mr Forbes be adjusted against the outstandings due from him to the State.

## 6. READ—

Letter dated 19th October 1920, from the Principal Jaswant College to the Finance Member forwarding copy of a letter No. 3376 dated 25th August 1920, from the Deputy Chief Commissioner of the St. John Ambulance Brigade Overseas to the Vice-Chancellor, Allahabad University, regarding training of College students in First Aid and General hygiene.

## 6 Resolution—

Resolved that the Council approve of the movement and will arrange locally for organization and instructions in First Aid and Hygiene.

## 7. READ —

Note No 57 dated 23rd October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding supply of grass to the Officer Commanding at Erinpura, stating that the Military Secretary reports that the Sardar Rissala is very hard up for grass supplies and the grass of all the Jors in its possession will not suffice for its requirements and that he is consequently sorry that no grass can be spared from the Palri Jor for the Officer Commanding at Erinpura.

## 7. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Resident be informed that under the circumstances mentioned by the Military Secretary the Darbar regret that no grass can be supplied from the Palri Bir, Thikana Nana be asked if it can supply grass to Erinpura on payment.

## 8 READ.—

Arzdate dated 1st August 1920, of Vakil Thikana Rohat regarding debts outstanding against the Thikana, praying remission of certain Raj dues as was done in the case of Thikana Asop.

## 8 Resolution.—

Resolved that the papers be referred to the Revenue Member for full inquiry and report.

## 9. READ —

Note No. 40 dated 18th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting papers of inquiry made on the petition of Prohit Bhaboota Jagirdar of Ghewra 1/3 who offered to relinquish his lands if the Darbar granted some allowance for himself and his wife. The Hakim reports that Prohit Bhaboota has filed a Razinama stating that Dhokalia his heir having promised to pay him Rs. 10/- p. m. his lands may be handed over to Dhokalia Prohit

With regard to certain other lands of the village enjoyed by the Bohras for several years past the Hakim requires orders whether these should be treated as belonging to the Prohits, or the parties should be directed to file suit for the same.

The Vice-President Sahib remarks that the case, connected with the land held by Bohras, may be taken up by the Hakim and decided on its merits.

## 9. Resolution.—

Resolved that the arrangement arrived at between Bhaboota and Dhokalia is approved subject to the condition that it shall not be a bar to other claimants who may assert their right to Bhaboota's share. As regards the case connected with the land held by Bohras, it should be taken up by the Hakim and decided on its merits.

## 10. READ.—

Note No. 56 dated 23rd October 1920, by the Vice-President submitting an application from Mahadeo Balwant Khandekar son of B. R. Khandekar L. M. & S. studying in the Grant Medical College, Bombay, praying for some suitable scholarship being granted to him in consideration of his father's services at the War.

## 10. Resolution —

Resolved that the papers be filed.



**11 READ.—**

Note No. 54/H O dated 23rd October 1920, by the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib regarding realization of Chakri dues from Thikana Dugoli P. Nagour.

**11. Resolution.—**

Resolved that the Revenue Member be asked to arrange realization of the Chakri arrears through the Haisyat Court.

**12. READ.—**

Note No. 48 dated 21st October 1920, by the P. W. Member regarding the sinking of a well at the Chopasni Rajput School, stating that the Superintending Engineer on being asked reports that a sum of Rs. 6,000/- will have to be provided for the work in the P. W. D. budget.

**12. Resolution—**

Resolved that the construction of a well is sanctioned and that a sum of Rs. 6,000/- be provided in this year's budget.

**13. READ—**

Note No. 1725 dated 30th September 1920, by the Vice-President on the Uzardari of Plaintiff Dhuli against the decision of the Chief Court in the following Civil suit of:—

Plaintiff, Appellant.	} <i>Versus.</i> {	Defendant, Respondent.
Nayan Dhuli of Jodhpur.		(1) Rup Singh (2) Achal Singh.
		(3) Lal Singh of Barlai and
		(4) Vakil Thikana Chanod.

Claim for recovery of Hasil amounting to Rs. 350/-.

**13 Resolution—**

Resolved that the Council find no reason to differ from the decision of the Chief Court which is upheld.

The Uzardari be rejected.

**14. READ —**

Note No. 59 dated 25th October 1920, by the Finance Member recommending that the grant-in-aid of Sri Sumer Pushtikar School be raised to Rs. 450/- p. m. from the month in which the proposed additional staff will be engaged, and that a non-recurring grant of Rs. 2,000/- for paving some of the rooms, doors and windows and furniture may also be sanctioned on the condition that the School authorities will spend an equal sum from their funds and submit an account of the expenditure.

**14. Resolution—**

Resolved that the proposal be sanctioned and that the School Committee be advised to have chairs and benches of a strong and simple pattern.

**15. READ.—**

Note No. 53 dated 23rd October 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting application of Mr. J. D. Bomanji Electrical and Mechanical Engineer, recommending that he might be allowed a starting salary of Rs. 500/- P. M. with annual increments of Rs. 50/- for five years as he has effected grand economy in coal consumption and wages of staff.

**15. Resolution —**

Resolved that Mr. Bomanji's pay be raised to Rs. 500/- p. m. and his grade be now fixed at 500-40-700.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. SUKHDEO.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency,  
Raj Marwar, dated 2nd November 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. COLONEL R. E. A. HAMILTON, C. I. E., I. A.

2. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

**1. READ.—**

Note No: 64/C. H. & I—6/1 dated 26th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Public of Jodhpur have put in an Arzdasht complaining that although the practice was that grain and Ghee coming daily into the city from the Districts could be bought by the Public up to 12 a. m. and only whatever quantity remained unsold after 12 A. M. could be bought up by the merchants, yet the latter have begun to purchase them before 12 A. M. in order to sell afterwards at a higher rate; that cloth is also sold at exorbitant rates; requesting that arrangements may be made to put down these evils.

The Vice-President in submitting the papers remarks that as grain etc. is made dear without any reason the Municipal Committee be asked to fix the prices for every month.

**1. Resolution —**

Resolved that the Municipal Committee be asked to fix reasonable prices to be current for a fortnight of grain, Ghee etc. as also of cloth such as is used by the poorer classes. The merchants be warned to sell these commodities at the prices so fixed.

**2. READ.—**

Note No. 61/H. O dated 26th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib in the case of:—

Plaintiff Judgment debtor:—	} <i>Versus</i> }	Defendants Decree holders:—
Vakil of Rao Raja Harisinghji.		All the creditors of the Rao Raja

Claim for *Salika*, stating that one of the creditors, Abdul Majid is ready to take Rs. 1,000/- for his decree of Rs. 1,300/-. That the debts against the late Rao Raja were as under:—

Decretal amount....	...	Rs. 12,313/-
Jawahirkhana dues ...	...	Rs. 45,000/-
Seth Samir Mal Umedmal's	...	Rs. 20,000/-
loan on village Fikaria		

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that the creditor Abdul Majid be paid Rs. 1,000/- in final settlement of his decree of Rs. 1,300/- against the Thikana.

As regards other debts, they may be settled when the question of succession to the Jagir comes up for final decision

## 3. READ —

Note 'No. 1881 dated' 26th October 1920, by the Finance Member on letter No: 5517 dated 16th September 1920, from the Resident, Western Rajputana States to the Senior Member of Council regarding realization of State customs duty on goods exported from R. M. Railway stations within Marwar territory to destinations outside Marwar. In order to prevent smuggling at the Kuchaman Road Railway Station the Resident was requested to move the Railway staff at Kuchaman Road not to book exports until the consignment notes had been stamped by the custom house. The Railway authorities now object to accede to the Darbar's request as they think that refusal to accept consignment notes would lead to serious complaints. They suggest that the State should take steps to ensure the recovery of their dues "before exports are brought to the Railway premises."

The Resident having inquired the views of the Darbar on the question, the Finance Member is of opinion that if the State were to collect duty outside the Railway premises, smugglers would not find it difficult to elude the vigilance of the small customs staff as Railway premises are every accessible. Moreover, the present system of checking Rawanas with Railway Receipts which is the chief means of preventing frauds, will disappear, causing considerable loss of revenue. The State should take a firm stand on the rights of the Darbar. The Resident's attention may be drawn to the Marwar Darbar's Kharita to the Agent Governor-General in 1866, wherein the Darbar have clearly reserved their right of levying duty on all goods "laden in Marwar and proceeding beyond it." That the Railway premises at Kuchaman Road are in Marwar, and if the Railway authorities are unwilling to co-operate with the Darbar, it will be necessary to take measures independantly to safe-guard Darbar's rights. The customs officers would then have to be authorized to collect duty within the Railway premises where and when the goods are "Laden" for transmission outside Marwar, a procedure which would inevitably lead to further friction.

## 3. Resolution —

Resolved that the Resident be addressed on the lines suggested in the Finance Member's note. It might also be suggested to the R. M. Railway authorities that instead of the Raj customs officials collecting duty within Railway premises, the former might prefer to collect it themselves on the same system as is in vogue on the J. B. Railway where a percentage of the collection is granted as remuneration for the extra work involved.

#### 4. *READ.*—

Note No. 62 dated 26th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding succession (by adoption of Jetaawat Rawat Singh to Simrath Singh late Jagirdar of Alasan ( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) Pargana Jalore Rekh Rs. 1,000/-, recommending that the succession may be confirmed and arrangements made for the realization of arrears in 3 years.

#### 4 Resolution—

Resolved that the succession of Rawat Singh to Simrath Singh late Jagirdar of Alasan be sanctioned, and that the Jagir be managed by the Hawala Department till the arrears are recovered; the Jagirdar being given his Khangi only for the time the Jagir remains under the Hawala management.

#### 5. *READ.*—

Note No. 63/H. O dated 26th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding succession (by adoption) of Bhati Gulab Singh to Chiman Singh late Jagirdar of Dawan P. Jaswantpura Rekh Rs. 1,000/- recommending that Gulab Singh being a direct descendant of the Murisala Jaswant Singh, his adoption may be confirmed and arrears realised in two years.

#### 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that succession of Bhati Gulab Singh be sanctioned and the village be kept under Hawala management till the arrears are realised the Jagirdar to receive only his Khangi for the time being.

#### 6. *READ.*—

Note No. 337 dated 19th October 1920, by the Military Secretary regarding medical arrangements in the two Regiments of Sardar Rissala, submitting Col. J W Grant's letter No. 704/394 dated 24th January 1920, on the subject to response to C. R. No. 6 dated 22nd June 1920.

#### 6. Resolution —

Resolved that no changes be made. The present arrangement should continue.

#### 7. *READ* —

Note No. 66/R. R. B. dated 28th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, submitting draft notice for approval as required in Council Resolution No. 8 dated 5th October 1920.

#### 7. Resolution —

Resolved that the draft be approved.

#### 8. *READ.* —

Note No. 65/C A. & I. 10/1 dated 28th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Resident, W. R. S. forwards for the information of the Darbar a copy of memorandum regarding the future scope of Geological research in India, prepared by Sir Henry Heyden and read at the meeting of the Princes held at Delhi on 30th October 1919, and also copies of the proceedings of the meeting and of the note of His Highness the Maharaja of Bikaner. The Resident desires to be informed whether the Jodhpur Darbar wish to avail themselves of the assistance of the Department of Geological Survey as outlined in Sir Henry Hayden's note dated 9th December 1919.

## 8. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Resident be informed that the Jodhpur State would gladly agree to be included in the scheme proposed by the Geological Department.

### 9. READ.—

Estimate of Rs.3,340/ for necessary repairs and paining of doors and windows etc etc. to *Mahals* at the Fort in connection with the Viceregal visit, requesting sanction for an extra grant, put up by the P. W. Member.

## 9. Resolution.—

Resolved that the following works only be sanctioned—

Lime painting with cement on floor	...	...	Rs. 28/-
Dismantling of Chhaja with Tankies	...	...	„ 3/-
Renewal of Chhaja	...	...	„ 11/-
Machak Plaster	...	...	„ 3/-
Lime Plaster	...	...	„ 20/-
Lime Bata	...	...	„ 2/-
Cleaning of Marble flooring	...	...	„ 3/-
Cleaning of stone flooring	...	...	„ 4/-
Chirwood planks	...	...	„ 7/-
Repairs to wooden doors and petty works	...	...	„ 100/-
Renewal of pane glasses	...	...	„ 42/-
Do. Do. red 10" x 8"	...	...	„ 36/-
Do. Do. 10" x 12"	...	...	„ 15/-
Repairs to pane glasses.	...	...	„ 16/-

### 10. READ.

Note No. 68 dated 29th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding one month's further extension of K. Sukh Singhji's sick leave, stating that the Auditor of Accounts reports that only 5 days' leave on full pay is due to him and the rest (25 days') can be granted on half-pay.

## 10. Resolution.—

Resolved that leave be sanctioned as reported by the Auditor.

### 11. READ—

Note dated 27th October 1920, by the Nigrani Officer Karkhanajats endorsed by the Vice-President, requesting confirmation of Pandit Somnath as Superintendent Karkhanajats vice P. Bishambernath.

## 11. Resolution —

Resolved that the appointment of P. Somnath as Superintendent Karkhanajats be confirmed.

## 12. READ—

Note No. 759 dated 30th October 1920, by the Finance Member inquiring whether Maharaj Akhey Singhji is entitled to receive percentage increment on Rs. 251/- *i. e.*, his own pay in April 1919 or on Rs. 450/- the pay of the post to which he succeeded.

## 12. Resolution—

Resolved that Maharaj Akhey Singhji's pay having been fixed at Rs. 450/- on special consideration he is not entitled to percentage increments under Council Resolution of 3rd August 1920.

## 13. READ.—

Application dated 29th October 1920, of Sorab Shah, Head Clerk Treasury Office praying for some increment in his present pay of Rs. 70/- as his condition was poor and he could get no increment under percentage rule. The Auditor of Accounts recommends an increment of Rs. 10/- p. m.

## 13. Resolution —

Resolved that the plea that his pay should be increased because he was not allowed any increase under the percentage rule is untenable, but if any special reasons exist for recommending an increase a proposal may be made to Council.

## 14. READ.—

Note dated 20th October 1920, by the Revenue Member, recommending the following graduates to undergo settlement training and to receive salaries as below whilst under training:—

	Present pay.	Proposed pay.
(1) Jai Narain.	Rs. 64/-	Rs. 70/-
(2) Milap Chand.	„ 60/-	„ 70/-
(3) Siddheshwar Nath.	„ 80/-	„ 80/-
(3) Anand Saroop.	„ 75/-	„ 75/-

## 14. Resolution.—

Resolved that the proposal be sanctioned.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. R. E. A. HAMILTON.

Sd. SUKHDEO.





The following papers were settled by circulation amongst Members  
and approved by His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib  
Bahadur on 5th November 1920.

---

1. D. O. letter dated 3rd November 1920, from Mr. S. T. Stubbs to the P. W. Member requesting to be allowed to go to Lahore to see his wife who is ill in case she does not improve in the next 3 days.

### 1. Resolution.—

Resolved that he may be permitted to go on leave.

2. Letter No: 724 dated 2nd November 1920, from the officiating Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member requesting sanction to an estimate of Rs. 5,560/- for making new "*Charaks*" for 6 guns at the Fort.

### 2. Resolution.—

Resolved that *Charaks* be made supported on second-hand Railway wheels for which a revised estimate be submitted. In the meantime work be taken up in anticipation of sanction.

Sd/- PRATAP SINGH,

Sd/- BELHAVEN.

Sd/- SUKHDEO.



# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 6th November 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. LORD BELHAVEN AND STENTON, C. I. E.,
2. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

## 1. READ—

Note No: 75 C & D. 11 dated 30th October 1920, by the Vice-President regarding remission of a sum of Rs. 750/- to the Secretary Meerut Races for the Jodhpur Cup to be run on the 2nd of November 1920.

## 1. Resolution —

Resolved that the amount be remitted.

## 2. READ—

Note No: 72 dated 30th October 1920, by the Finance Member recommending that the grant of Rs. 100/- made to the Darbar High School for games be raised to Rs. 400/- a year.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that the grant be raised to Rs. 400/- a year and provision made in the current year's budget.

## 3. READ.—

Note No: 71/H O. dated 30th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding succession of Birad Singh to the late Jagirdar Jawahir Singh of Muthli P. Siwana, Rekh of Rs. 500/- recommending that Birad Singh's adoption to Jawahir Singh may be confirmed and the arrears realized in two years.

## 3. Resolution—

Resolved that the adoption be sanctioned and the Jagir be kept under Hawala management till arrears have been recovered, the Jagirdar to receive *Khangī* only for the time being.

4. *READ*—

Note No: 69 dated 29th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib regarding Hariji adoption case, stating that the adoption of Simrath Singh was decided by the late Regency Council and His late Highness Maharaja Sri Sumer Singhji Sahib, but it was ordered that those concerned in bringing forward forged evidence of inscriptions in the Chief Court should be brought to book and that the claimant for whose benefit the forged evidence was brought forward must be made responsible for disclosing the names of the culprits that the Jagir should not be handed over to the claimant till he had exposed them. The Vice-President remarks that the Thikana carries out the above injunction after 2½ years when the chief actor (Chhagalal was on his death bed.) This clearly shows that the Thikana disregarded Darbar orders and under the circumstances a fine of Rs. 1,000/- should be imposed and the Jagir restored to Simrath Singh who has attained his age of majority.

## 4. Resolution—

Resolved that the Jagir be restored to the applicant Simrath Singh after realizing the amount of fine as proposed.

5. *READ*—

Note No: 70 dated 30th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Hakim of Pali Mr. Bidhu Ram applies for a further extension of 3 months' leave without pay owing to his serious illness. The Auditor of Accounts reports that the applicant is entitled to two months' leave only as per Para 13th of the Marwar Leave Rules.

## 5. Resolution—

Resolved that two months' further extension be granted as reported by the Audit Office.

6. *READ*.—

Note No: 76/Est. 82 dated 30th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Superintendent Boarding House, Sir Pratap School recommends grant of scholarship of Rs. 30/- p. m. to Chhagan Lal son of the late Munshi Shub Lalji. The Vice-President remarks that from inquiries made it appears that nothing is paid at present either to the widow or the boy by way of maintenance allowance.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that a maintenance allowance of Rs. 30/- p. m. be granted to the widow for life and she be advised to give proper education to her adopted son whose career would be watched and if he does not improve in his present conduct, necessary orders will be given.

7. *READ*,—

Note No: 73/H. O. dated 30th October 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that the Vakil of K. Amar Singhji requests that the Treasury Officer be ordered to pay him the amount of Rs. 755/8/- on account of yearly ceremony of late R. R. Hari Singhji and the six monthly and yearly ceremonies of Amar Singhji's wife. The Hawala Superintendent reports that the request is reasonable.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that payment be sanctioned.

## 8 READ.—

Note No: 74 dated 30th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Officer-in-charge Dewasthan Dharampura submits a bill of Modi Ramdayal for Rs. 217/-/6 on account of provisions supplied to Kennel at Jodhpur in March 1919, and requests that the settlement of the same be made by charging the amount to "dog Kennel" in the budget of the Dewasthan Dharampura intimating that a provision has been made in the budget of the Dharampura Department for the payment of such old bills.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that the action taken be approved.

## 9. READ —

Arzdasht dated 14th August 1920, of tailor Mani Ram to the Commanding Officer, 2nd Regiment Sardar Rissala praying for some pension being granted to him in his old age. The Commanding Officer recommends that the applicant, being an old servant, may be granted some pension.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that the grant of a pension in such cases being against rule no pension can be granted, but the Commanding Officer may propose a gratuity for the applicant.

## 10 READ —

Note No. 79/Kar. 46 dated 1st November 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib requesting sanction to the payment of 7 bills amounting to Rs. 1,189/5/9 regarding supplies to Sri Baijilal Sahibas, *Khasa Rasoda, Chiria Khana* etc., submitted by the Nigrani Officer.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that the bills be charged to the head to which they belong by extra grant.

## 11. READ —

Note No. 80 dated 1st November 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib submitting the revised scale of pay of the Raj and the Government lent Sub-Assistant Surgeons and recommending that for Raj Sub-Assistant Surgeons the revised scheme should commence from 1st October 1920, and for Government lent Sub-Assistant Surgeons from such date as Government may decide.

## 11. Resolution—

Resolved that the Residency Surgeon be asked to submit a statement containing a roll of all Government and State Sub-Assistant Surgeons, showing present pay, pay in April 1919 and the pay under the new scheme

12. *READ.*—

Note No. 334 dated 18th October 1920, by the Military Secretary put up by the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur stating that as per C. R. No. 10 dated 18th July 1920, the Resident, W. R. S. was informed that this Darbar was not prepared to adopt the Government scale of allowances to members of the State forces attending classes of instructions. That now the Resident has again asked whether in view of the fact that free rations have recently been allowed to the personnel of the Sardar Rissala, the Darbar will now grant these ration allowances.

## 12. Resolution—

Resolved that the Resident be informed that the Darbar will continue the old practice of giving 4 annas per day to men attending classes of instruction for I. S. Troops in British India, but they are not prepared to grant such allowances on the scale which may be from time to time in force for British India Forces.

13. *READ* —

Letter No. E. 52/23724 dated 26th October 1920, from the Acting Manager, J. B. Railway to the Finance Member requesting sanction for grant of 9 months' leave as under to Mr. J. Fearfield, and that Mr. Baumgartner will be available to relieve Mr. Fearfield:—

Privilege leave           ...           ... 2 months 28 days.

Furlough leave (on average pay )   5 months 2 days.

Furlough leave (on  $\frac{1}{2}$  pay)           ... 1 month.

—————  
9 months.

## 13. Resolution—

Resolved that the leave be sanctioned,

14. *READ* —

Note No. 77 dated 1st November 1920, by the Finance Member recommending scholarships of Rs 25/- p. m. to each of the applicants Bhawani Sahai and Magroop Chand who have joined the previous L. L. B. class at the Agra College on the condition that they should afterwards serve the State for a period of at least 5 years,

## 14. Resolution—

Resolved that Magroop Chand be given a scholarship of Rs. 25/- p. m. on the condition proposed. As regards Bhawani Sahai further inquiries be made whether he is a Bonafide Marwari.

15. *READ—*

Note No. 78 dated 1st November 1920, by the Finance Member proposing enhancement of grant-in-aid to Sri Sumar School.

15. **Resolution—**

Resolved that the Council desire to know what collections have been, or are being made, on export of stone from Mandor Railway Station, which it is understood are credited towards the funds of this institution

16. *READ—*

Letter No. 640 dated 29th October 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member stating that 8 Cwt. or Gr. 20 lbs. of phynile have been supplied from the Electric Stores Department to the Executive Officer, Municipal Board at the present market rate of Rs 3/- per gallon instead of Rs. 9/9/- a gallon, the book rate of Electric and Mechanical Department and hence a difference of Rs. 663/14/9 is to be written off for which sanction may be given.

16. **Resolution—**

Resolved that sanction be given as proposed.

17. *READ—*

Letter from the Superintendent, Court of Wards to the Revenue Member, submitting Draft Act for the Court of Wards, endorsed by the Revenue Member (No. 34 dated 25th October 1920) suggesting that the Draft Act be referred to the Consultative Committee for opinion.

17. **Resolution—**

Resolved that the Act be referred to the Consultative Committee for expression of their opinion.

18. *READ—*

Note dated 5th November 1920, by the Finance Member, recommending that the grant-in-aid for the Sardar School be raised from Rs. 240/- to 325/- p. m. observing that the grants given to the aided schools be subject to periodical revision, so that the schools may receive when their expenses increase and may be given less when they do not keep the staff proposed for them. With regard to the question of raising the School to the High School standard, the Committee should first apply to the Allahabad University for the recognition of their School as a High School and when the recognition is received the Darbar will be pleased to help the School in the same way as the Sir Partap School.

18. **Resolution.—**

Resolved that the grant-in-aid be raised to Rs. 325/- p. m. as recommended.



19. *READ —*

Note dated 4th November 1920, by the Officiating Vice-President recommending that Saturday the 20th November 1920, may be observed as a Public holiday in Courts and offices in Jodhpur in honour of the arrival of His Excellency, The Viceroy.

19. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

20. *READ.—*

Note dated 4th November 1920, by the Revenue Member recommending that Babu Chatter Behari Lal at present Assistant Superintendent Hawala, drawing Rs. 200/- may be appointed as an Assistant Superintendent Court of Wards on the same pay and conveyance allowance be given to him and that in his place the second Assistant Hawala Superintendent, Kalla Bansidhar may be appointed on his present pay of Rs. 150/- plus conveyance allowance of Rs. 25/- p. m. That in place of Kalla Bansidhar, Joshi Deokaran, Head Inspector, Court of Wards, may be appointed on a pay of Rs. 100/- per mensem.

20. *Resolution.—*

Resolved that the proposal be sanctioned.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH,

Sd. BELHAVEN.

Sd. SUKHDEO.

*Proceedings of the Council of Regency  
Raj Marwar, dated 9th November 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. LORD BELHAVEN & STENTON, C. I. E.
2. MAHARAJ SRI FATEH SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

1. *READ.—*

Note No: 84/P. W. 6 dated 4th November 1920, by the P. W. Member, regarding laying of a water tap in Maharaj Bijey Singhji's Bungalow at "Maldra" to be connected with the pipe line existing at Maharaj Sri Zalim Singhji Sahib's Bungalow.

1. **Resolution,—**

Resolved that a water tap be provided at the Maharaj's own expense.

2. *READ.—*

Note No: 87 dated 4th November 1920, by the P. W. Member, stating that the Superintending Engineer, P. W. D. requests sanction to the payment of Rs. 380/7/- (chargeable to P. W. D budget) to the Manager, J. B. Railway, on account of the maintenance of certain buildings lying within the Railway limits.

2. **Resolution.—**

Resolved that payment be sanctioned as proposed.

3. *READ.—*

Letter No: 159 dated 6th October 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member, submitting revised estimate No. 697 of 1919-20 for repairing Hakumat building and constructing *Iilas* room and Treasury at Didwana amounting to Rs. 3,127/- in supersession of the previous sanctioned estimate of Rs. 2,678/- submitted by the P. W. Member under his endorsement No. 85 dated 4th November 1920.

3. **Resolution —**

Resolved that the revised estimate be sanctioned.

## 4. READ—

Note No: 83/J. T. 1 dated 2nd November 1920, by the Revenue Member, regarding the proposal to place 67 Thikanas of the Court of Wards under the direct management of the Hakims of Parganas.

## 4 Resolution,—

Resolved that the 67 Thikanas be placed under the direct management of Hakumats as proposed. The suggestion of the Home Member, that the supervision of the Zenana ladies in these Thikanas be entrusted to some member of the Bhaipa of the Thikana, is approved. That the *Ijara* of villages as far as possible be given to the *Chowdhries* of the village.

## 5. READ —

Note No: 86/P W. 44 dated 4th November 1920, by the P. W. Member, submitting revised estimate of Rs. 3,695/- for the building of a paper factory at the Central Jail, and of Rs. 150/- for wooden logs etc. and stating that the Vice-President is of opinion that all the estimates of the building etc. may be dealt with jointly.

## 5. Resolution—

Resolved that the opinion of the Vice-President is approved. Papers be put up with the total estimates under all heads relating to the factory.

## 6. READ—

Note No: 90 dated 5th November 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, stating that the members of the Managing Committee of Shri Sakadwipe Brahman Sabha request permission for starting a Hindi monthly magazine to be called the "Shakdwipe Brahman" devoted to the cause of education and social reform of the Community. The Vice-President remarks that if permission be accorded, they may be made to sign an undertaking to the above effect, and also to supply the State with a copy of each publication free, in order to enable the authorities to see that the condition is duly respected.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that permission be granted under the conditions proposed by the Vice-President.

## 7. READ —

Note No. 89 dated 5th November 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting estimate of Rs. 1,662/- for constructing bath-rooms for officers at the general offices Jodhpur as desired by the Vice-President Sahib.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and provision made in this year's budget.

8. *READ*—

Letter No. 788 dated, 3rd November 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member, submitting estimate No. 42 for Rs. 1,610/- for annual repairs to Pali environ Roads. Submitted by the P. W. Member, under his endorsement No. 91 dated 5th November 1920, for sanction.

**8. Resolution--**

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

9. *READ*—

Letter No. 790 dated 3rd November 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member submitting estimate No. 41 of 1920-21 amounting to Rs. 11,183/- for repairs of Jodhpur to Pali Road from the 8th mile up to Pali, submitted by the P. W. Member under his endorsement No: 91 dated 5th November 1920, for sanction.

**9 Resolution.—**

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

10. *READ*—

Note No. 94 dated 6th November 1920, by the Vice President Sahib, stating that the Resident desires to know at a very early date the views of the Jodhpur Darbar on the question of the appointment of an Agricultural Advisor for Rajputana and Ajmere Merwara.

**10. Resolution--**

Resolved that the Jodhpur Darbar would prefer to engage their own Agricultural Advisor, but, if needs be, they will also seek advice from the Rajputana Agricultural Advisor.

11. *READ*.—

Note No 93 dated 6th November 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, regarding the question of co-operation between the Police officers of Bhawalpur, Jaisalmer and Jodhpur States and extradition arrangements between Bhawalpur and Jodhpur State as proposed by the Vice-President Bhawalpur State through the Resident, Western Rajputana States.

**11 Resolution.—**

Resolved that the Bhawalpur State authorities be informed, through the Resident, that the Jodhpur Darbar are prepared to render every sort of assistance in running down the criminals. With regard to extradition arrangements a copy of the amended extradition agreement between Marwar and Bikaner be sent to the Resident with the request that the Bhawalpur Darbar may be informed that the Jodhpur Darbar are prepared to act on the lines laid down in the extradition agreement with Bikaner. The Bhawalpur Darbar be also informed through the same channel that the boundary of this State does not touch the Jaisalmer limits very near the Bhawalpur border as the Vice-President of the Bhawalpur State Council has stated.

12. *READ.*—

Letter No: 124/ F dated 18th October 1920, from the Officer Commanding, 1st Regiment Sardar Rissala to Military Secretary, recommending a special pension of Jamadar's rank to Dafedar Bal Singh Riding Master, 1st Regiment as a reward for his good work.

## 12. Resolution,—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved as a special case.

13. *READ.*—

Note dated 28th October 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, stating that the grant for *Saradh* money for the *Saradh* ceremony of Maharaj Sri Mohabat Singhji requested by the Kamdar of Maharaj Bijey Singhji, should not be sanctioned as it would be interpreted as a sanction of the adoption of Maharaj Bijey Singhji which has been annulled by the late Regency Council and by His late Highness Maharaja Sri Sumer Singhji Sahib.

## 13. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Kamdar be informed that his request cannot be sanctioned. The *Saradh* ceremony may, however, be performed on the report of the Kamdar of Maji Tuarji Sahiba. Maharaj Mohabat Singhji's case be treated in the same way as that of Maharaj Sri Jiwan Singhji.

14. *READ.*—

Note No. 98 dated 8th November 1920, by the Finance Member recommending that services of Munshi Nizamuddin, Personel Assistant to the Finance Member, be retained without fixing any definite period but subject to four months' notice.

## 14. Resolution —

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

15. *READ*—

Letter dated 4th November 1920, from Mr. Jagan Nath-Singh to Rao Bahadur Pandit Sukhdeo Prasadji Sahib intimating refusal of the Udaipur Darbar to buy Jodhpur boats on sale, and stating that the Udaipur Darbar will be pleased to supply one four-seater boat for the "Viceregal Visit."

## 15. Resolution—

Resolved that the Udaipur Darbar's offer be gratefully accepted and arrangements made to fetch the boat which should be returned after the Viceroy's Visit.

Sd/- PRATAP SINGH.

Sd/- BELHAVEN.

Sd/- FATTEH SINGH,

Sd/- SUKHDEO,

# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 13th November 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI FATTEH SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. LORD BELHAVEN AND STENTON, C. I. E.,
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

## **1. READ.—**

Note No: 97 dated 6th November 1920, by the P. W. Member, stating that the Superintending Engineer with reference to C. R. No: 9 dated 16th January 1920, gives the following rates for charging water and submits copies of lists of houses showing charges of water rates etc:—

- (1) Four annas per hundred gallons of water consumed to be charged from 1st October 1920 on all houses.
- (2) An allowance of 75% be allowed for garden purposes to an official whose garden is controlled by State. All others to pay full rates.

## **1. Resolution—**

Resolved that the water rates be approved, as proposed, and that the P. W. Member be asked to propose maximum monthly amount of water for gardens attached to private and Darbar houses

## **2. READ —**

Note No: 96 dated 6th November 1920, by the P. W. Member stating that the vakil of Rai Sahib Seth Sangi Dass requests that a water tap be put free of charge in the house recently built by his Seth on the Railway Station for accommodation of travellers etc.

## **2. Resolution—**

Resolved that water tap be supplied on payment of cost of construction and monthly water charges.

## **3. READ —**

Note No: 95 dated 6th November 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib, requesting sanction to an increment of Rs 14/- p. m. to Shekh I ali Bax with effect from 1st October 1920

### 3. Resolution—

Resolved that the increment be sanctioned with effect from 1st October 1920.

#### 4. READ.—

Note No: 55/ H. O dated 23th October 1920, by the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur regarding fixing of cash *chakri* on Thikana Khatu Badi and realization of arrears.

### 4. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Hawala Department be asked to ascertain by fresh inquiries the present income of Thikana Khatu Badi.

#### 5. READ—

Note No: 100 dated 8th November 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that with a view to put a stop to the constant dispute between the Thakur of Sargot, his wife and Kuar, as also to recover Raj dues the following arrangements are suggested:—

(A) That the Thakur may be allowed possession of the following villages of the Thikana for the maintenance of himself and his concubine:—

(1) Sargot ...	...	...
(2) Padampura	...	...Rs. 575/-/-.
(3) Hanumanpura	...	...
(4) Rampura	...	...Rs 140/-/-.
		<hr/>
		715/-/-.

(B) The total of the Khangi of the Thukrani, Kuar and Kuarani being Rs. 1,626/- the following villages may be placed under the charge of the Kuar so that they might get their Khangi in time, as also the Kuar might have an opportunity of managing a part of his estate:—

(1) Rewasa Rekh...	Rs. 320/-.
(2) Ballia. „ ... „	400/-.
(3) Karoli „ ... „	841/-.
Miscellaneous lag bag „	100/-
<hr/>	
1661/-	

(C) For the liquidation of the State dues which are reported to be about Rs. 36,239/5/- at the end of this year, the following villages may be placed under the management of the Hawala Department:—

(1) Gangarwa Rekn	Rs. 1,900/-.
(2) Araksar „ „	1,235/-.
(3) Bijapur „ „	1,150/-.
(4) Dhenia „ „	438/-.
(5) Mandgnoosoi	750/-.
<hr/>	
5,473/-.	

That out of the revenues of the above villages the current *Rekh Chakri* amounting to Rs. 3,696/- should be the first charge; the surplus may be credited towards the arrears. When all the arrears have been cleared and the debts of the *Rohras* have been paid off the villages be restored to the Thakur.

The Thakur raised certain objections which have been duly answered by the Revenue Member as embodied in his note.

### 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that the proposals of the Revenue Member be approved and orders issued accordingly.

### 6. READ —

Note No: 101 dated 8th November 1920, by the Finance Member stating that the Superintendent of Education recommends an increment of Rs. 5/- p. m. in the pay of Ramsaroop Dwivedi, Instructor Business class. His pay may be fixed at Rs. 80-5-120.

### 6. Resolution.—

Resolved that the applicant's pay be graded at 80 5-120 and he be given his first grade increment of Rs. 5/- with effect from 1st October 1920.

### 7. READ.—

Note No: 99 dated 8th November 1920, by the Finance Member stating that the Principal Rajput Schools requests sanction to the appointment of one extra trained Matriculate or F. A. Rajput Assistant Master as the opening of the 9th class has increased the teaching work in the Rajput School.

### 7. Resolution —

Resolved that sanction be accorded as requested.

### 8. READ.—

Note No: 103 dated 8th November 1920, by the Finance Member recommending a scholarship of Rs. 10/- p. m. to the son of Pancholi Amarchand teacher at Bilawas School in Pargana Sojat, with effect from 1st October 1920, tenable so long as he attends School.

### 8. Resolution.—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

### 9. READ.—

Letter No: 847 dated 5th November 1920, from the Officiating Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member requesting general sanction for a lump sum of Rs. 20,000/- as an extra grant for Electric and Mechanic works etc. done in connection with the Viceregal visit. Submitted by the P. W. Member under his endorsement No: 104 dated 8th November 1920.



## 9. Resolution —

Resolved that the amount be sanctioned. The Superintending Engineer's attention be drawn to the fact that this should have been foreseen and detailed estimates submitted.

### 10. READ.—

Note No: 35/Mining dated 15th October 1920, by the Revenue Member requesting sanction to a contract of lime stone at Gotan for Rs. 5,500/- for a period of one year in the names of Habibulla and Suraj Mall Kumar jointly. As regards objections raised by the Jagirdar certain measures, as embodied in the note, will be taken.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that the contract be sanctioned as proposed.

### 11. READ.—

Note No: 102/J. & T. 7 dated 8th November 1920, by the Revenue Member stating that in the Circular issued by the Council in March 1909, no provision was made for the expenses connected with the marriage etc. of the younger sons of Jagirdars whose estates were under the Haisyat Court. The matter having been referred to the Consultative Committee, they are of opinion that in such cases the Jagirdar has no other source of income than the revenues of the estate and he should therefore, be allowed from the Thikana revenues a sum to meet for such expenses according to the scale prescribed in the Walter Krit Sabha rules.

## 11. Resolution.—

Resolved that the opinion of the Consultative Committee be approved.

### 12 READ.—

Letter No: 265/55. F. dated 1st October 1920, from the Commanding Officers 1st and 2nd Regiments Sardar Kissala to the Military Secretary endorsed by the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib, making certain inquiries in connection with the special concessions for pension granted by the Council to all officers and men who proceeded on Active Service in the Great War.

## 12. Resolution—

Resolved that the Commanding Officer be asked to submit names and numbers of officers and men granted pensions both compulsory and on completion of period of their services, from the commencement of the War till the date of return of the Regiment to Jodhpur and also a similiar list of pensions granted after the arrival of the Regiments. The lists should also show the period or periods the pensioners remained on Active Service in the War.

13. *READ*—

Note No: 108 dated 9th November 1920, by the Vice-President Sahib stating that the Auction Committee was established in October 1919. The budget estimate for 1920-21 is Rs. 4,600/- for receipts and Rs. 1,116/- for expenditure. That a sum of Rs. 439/- of the last year is also to be adjusted this year.

## 13. Resolution—

Resolved that a list be called for of articles that remain still to be disposed of by auction.

14. *READ* —

Letter No: 97 dated 3rd November 1920, from the Principal Rajput Schools to the Finance Member intimating outbreak of mumps amongst the boys of the Schools.

## 14. Resolution—

Resolved that the Resident and the Residency Surgeon be informed that their opinion be invited whether, under the circumstances, they consider His Excellency The Viceroy's visit to the institution proper or it may be dropped.

15. *READ*.—

Note No: 106 dated 8th November 1920, by the Vice President Sahib stating that the Chief Judge requests for a further provision of Rs. 400/- under the Sub-Head "witnesses expenses" as Rs. 223/14/6 have already been spent in the month of October out of a provision of Rs. 600/- made in the current year's budget. The Finance Member has no objection.

## 15. Resolution —

Resolved that a further provision of Rs. 400/- be sanctioned as requested.

16. *READ*.—

Note No: 105/ Mil. 22A dated 8th November 1920, by the Finance Member stating with reference to C. R. No: 11 dated 29th September 1920, regarding the bills amounting to Rs. 16,611/9/9 on account of the expenses incurred by the Jodhpur Imperial Service Troops at the Front in France, that these expenses are payable by the Imperial Government in as much as this amount has been included in the account of extra expenses recoverable from the Government on the basis of Paras No: 1 to 5 of Res. No. 4279-1 dated 21st October 1891, of the Government of India.

That sanction for the payment of this amount may be accorded by the Council by charge to "Miscellaneous Advance" on account of extra expenses recoverable from the Imperial Government and the vouchers be returned to the Officer Commanding, Sardar Rissala with instructions to draw the amount by means of a cheque.

That a sum of Rs. 14,694/5/7 has only been debited to the Government, while the Officer Commanding has submitted vouchers for Rs. 16,611/9/9, and he may, therefore, be asked to explain the cause of excess and to send to his office the detailed account of this amount showing the increase in each of the heads, furnishing the vouchers arranged in proper order in support thereof, so that the account may be checked properly by the Audit Office and submitted to the Government Audit Department, if required.

## 16. Resolution—

Resolved that opinion of the Finance Member be approved.

## 17. READ —

Note by the Superintendent, Court of Wards endorsed by the Revenue Member, stating that the estate of Khinwara comprises of the following villages with a total Rekh of Rs. 16,025/-

Villages				Rekh	Average income.
				Rs.	Rs.
Khinwara	...	...	...	...	7,000/-
Gazmipura	...	...	...	...	2,500/-
Thakurji-ka Guda	...	..	7,000/-	1,5000/-	
Mataji-ka-Guda Mortgaged with Champalal					
up to S. 1981				...	161/-
Dolji-ka-Guda (Mortgaged with Champalal					
up to S. 1982)...				...	350/-
Dayalpura	...	...	1,500/-	5,000/-	
Balelaso	...	...	750/-	2,000-	
Bhangasar	...	...	4,000/-	3,000/-	
Jawadis (Mortgaged with Bias Bherundas					
up to S. 1988) ..				1,125/-	1,036/-
Bagadia (Mortgaged with Umedchandji					
up to S. 1988) ...				1,650/-	2,100/-
Total				16,025/-	21,600/-

excluding revenues of  
mortgaged villages)

The total debt against the Thikana is as follows: —

1. Arrears of Darbar dues and others debts				
of Khasi Dukans	Rs.	63,179—2—0		
2. Debts of Bohras (other than the aforesaid				
mortgages)	...	...	...	85,537—5—9
Total	...	...	1,48,716—7—9	

Shri Darbar's current dues amount to Rs. 4,629/9/- The Thakur's *Khang*i expenses do not go beyond Rs. 7,000/ per annum.

That in order to improve the condition of the Thikana by liquidating its heavy debts, it is proposed that the following arrangements may be given effect to:—

- (1) Khinwara Khas; the average income of which is Rs. 7,000/- may be given to the Thakur for his *Khargi* expenses. There are ten wells in this village which are mortgaged with *Bohras* in *Baraskati*. These wells may revert to the Thakur as the *Baraskati* period of each expires. Besides there are 4 wells given in *Anvka* to the Senior Thakurani Khangarotji by sanction of the Mehkma Khas, and two to the Junior Thakurani Deoriji. The ladies may retain possession over their respective wells. The 3rd Thakurani Tuarji at present receives a cash allowance of Rs. 54/- p. m. for her maintenance which may be discontinued, and two wells Paota and Gangalia (which have recently been taken from Deoriji as she encroached on them against rule of the Thikana) be given to Tuarji in lieu of her allowance.
- (2) All the other Thikana villages, excepting the mortgaged ones, may be placed under the Hawala Department for a period of at least 12 years up to S. 1988 (inclusive) and their revenues be allotted as under:—
  - (a) The revenue of Dayalpura which is Rs. 5,000/- may go towards liquidation of the Darbar arrears amounting to Rs. 63,179/2/.
  - (b) Baleiao and Bhangesar revenue of which together averages Rs. 5,000/- may be allotted to Darbar current dues, the surplus being utilized in payment of Bohras debts.
  - (c) Gaznipura and Thakurji-ka-Guda yielding Rs. 4,000/- may be set aside for Bohras. In 12 years these villages will liquidate the Bohras debts to the extent of Rs. 48,000/-. Dolji-ka-Guda and Mataji-ka-Guda will be discharged from Baraskaties in S. 1982 and 1981 respectively. Their revenues up to 1988 will also be available for Bohras debts.

Thus at the end of 12 years of Hawala control the Darbar debts are expected to be wholly cleared off, as Dayalpura is a more prosperous village than estimated above, and if it gives a surplus beyond discharging the Darbar liabilities at the end of the year 1988, the same would go to the payment of Bohras' debts.

The Bohras debts being repaid at the aforesaid rate, would sink down to Rs. 34,310/- at the end of S. 1988, which would be cleared off in further three years only, as Dayalpura, Javadia and Bajadia will also be available for Bohras, the annual allotment for whose debts would rise from 4,500/- to 12,647/- after S. 1988.

Thus the Patta of Khinwara may be quite solvent at the end of S. 1991 when it may be made over to the Thakur. If the above proposals be approved, the Hawala Superintendent may be asked to take Gaznipura, Thakurji-ka-Guda, Dayalpura, Bhangesar and Balelao under Hawala management, leaving Khinwara to the Thakur.

The Hawala Superintendent should collect the revenues and send it on to the Haisyat Court for disbursement to the various Raj Departments and Bohras. That a notice may be published in the Marwar Gazette to the effect that no claim for debts hereafter advanced to the Thakur by any Bohra will be entertained by any Darbar Court.

The Revenue Member remarks that the settlement proposed appears to be the best way for freeing the Thikana from debt and it may be approved.

### 17. Resolution.—

Resolved that the arrangements proposed be approved.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. FATTEH SINGH.

Sdt BELHAVEN.

Sd. SUKHDEO.

*Proceedings of the Council of Regency  
Raj Marwar, dated 16th November 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI FATTEH SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. LORD BELHAVEN AND STENTON, C. I. E.
3. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
4. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.
5. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN, I. C. S.

**I. READ.—**

Note No. 110/Est. 3 dated 13th November 1920, by the Finance Member recommending that when Mr. R. M. Harvey reverts to his substantive appointment of Vice-Principal at the end of January 1921, his grade pay should be fixed at Rs. 700-50-1000 with a free simply furnished house and the use of a motor car (the expenses of running which, except when on duty, should be borne by the officer) or a conveyance allowance of Rs. 100/- whichever the officer elects.

**1. Resolution.—**

Resolved that with effect from the date of Mr. Harvey's reversion to his substantive appointment of Vice-Principal on Mr. Van Wart's return from leave the former's grade pay be fixed at Rs 650/- rising to Rs. 900/- by an annual increment of Rs. 50/- with a simply furnished house and the use of a motor car with 30 gallons of petrol per month. The other terms of his employment to stand as at present.

**2. READ—**

Note No. 109 dated 13th November 1920, by the Finance Member, regarding construction of a new Durbat High School and handing over the present Building known as Ugamji's Bungalow for the location of the State Museum and Library.

**2. Resolution —**

Resolved that the papers be referred to the Superintending Engineer Rajputana for expression of opinion on the subject.

## 3. READ.—

Application dated 6th October 1920, from the Assistant Medical Officer Jodh Singh, 1st Regiment, Sardar Rissala to the Commanding Officer, put up by the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadar requesting that he may be allowed to retire in one month's time. The Commanding Officer in forwarding the application recommends that the applicant may be relieved and be given suitable gratuity in consideration of his good work on the field for about 4 years.

## 3. Resolution —

Resolved that the applicant be allowed to retire and be granted six months' salary as gratuity.

## 4. READ —

English translation of a Vernacular report from the *Khansama* Jodhpur Dak Bungalow complaining that some Hindu and Muhomedan travellers who stay in the Dak Bungalow particularly those from Sindh side, chew betel leaves, spit and spill water on the tables and so spoil them. That prostitutes have also been noticed to come to them. That orders may be issued that such people be not allowed to occupy the Dak Bungalow. The Superintending Engineer in forwarding the above to the P. W. Member suggests that action may be taken in the matter and Police informed to stop the practices.

## 4. Resolution.—

Resolved that Notices in English, Hindi and Urdu be posted in the Dak Bungalow rooms to the effect that the Dak Bungalow is maintained by the Darbar for the comfort of travellers and it naturally expects them to use the rooms and furniture in the customary manner and not to spoil them in any way. The Dak Bungalow *Khansama* is authorized to request the travellers who do not observe this procedure to leave the premises.

## 5. READ.—

Note dated 11th November 1920, by the Finance Member on the expansion of Primary education in Marwar.

## 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that the scheme be referred to a Committee consisting of:—

Member for Education.

Superintendent of Education.

Head Master, Darbar High School.

One member from each of the following communities:—

Brahmins, Kayasths, Mahajans and Jagirdars equal in number to the members of the Committee other than the Education Member.

The Committee be instructed to go thoroughly into the proposals and report to the Regency Council whether and if so, how far the proposals are to be adopted.

As regards the selection of Jagirdars the Thakur Sahib of Pokaran, Rian and Ras shall co-opt. nine other members including one Charan.

**6. READ—**

Travelling allowance bill of Rs. 54/12/- submitted by Subedar Gulab Singh through the Commanding Officer, Sardar infantry for 70 men sent to Pali on the Mohrum occasion. The Military Secretary reports that the men are entitled to the payment of travelling allowance. His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib has ordered papers to be put up before Council for opinion

**6 Resolution—**

Resolved that a report be called for from the Audit Office.

Sd/- PRATAP SINGH.

Sd/- FATTEH SINGH.

Sd/- SUKHDEO.

Sd/- MANGAL SINGH.

Sd/- D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN.





# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 24th November 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI FATTEH SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.
4. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN, I. C. S.

## 1. *READ.*—

Note No. 112 dated 15th November 1920, by the Revenue Member stating with reference to C. R. No. 2 dated 26th October 1920, that the Vakil of Maharaj Sri Fateh Singhji submits that the bond executed by the Bhomia in favour of the Maharaj being an Ahiwala one, the Maharaj does not like to have it settled according to Bhoglawala rules, nor by the Civil Court but requests that the amount deposited in Hawala be given to him and the wells be made over to Bhomia Junjar Singh, as the latter was ready to pay him the balance which would fall due after deducting the amount deposited in Hawala.

## 1. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the Hawala Department, be ordered to restore the wells to Bhomia Junjar Singh, and the amount of income of the two wells lying in deposit in Hawala be paid to the creditor Maharaj Sri Fateh Singhji in part settlement of his claim.

The Home Member refrained from voting.

## 2. *READ.*—

Letter No. 266/Est. 5A dated 30th October 1920, from the Finance Member to the Vice-President Sahib, stating with reference to C. R. No. 12 dated 28th September 1920, sanctioning an increase of 50 per cent in the rate of daily allowance to Mr. Ravi Shanker Chhaya, that the Audit Office now find that Mr. Ravi Shanker Chhaya is not entitled to the increase which is applicable only in the Bombay Presidency, and that the rates of T. A. of all Government servants serving in Rajputana are governed by the rules applicable to the Province. Mr. Ravi Shanker, therefore, can only draw the ordinary T. A. admissible in Rajputana.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that the opinion of the Finance Member be approved. The Council Resolution No. 12 dated 28th September 1920, be cancelled and the Residency Surgeon be duly informed.

### 3. READ.—

Note No. 115/ Pol. 75 dated 15th November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that the Inspector-General Police suggests that a circular may be issued in the Marwar Gazette to the effect that non-cognizable cases connected with the criminal tribes (Class A) and those regarding their control be dealt with by the Hakims in their respective Parganas.

## 3. Resolution —

Resolved that the proposal of the Inspector-General Police be approved and circular be issued as suggested.

### 4. READ.—

Note No. 113/T. D. 2 dated 15th November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating with reference to C. R. No. 13 dated 6th August 1920, that the total debts against late Joshi Askaran as given by Deb Karan were Rs. 14,522/-. The petitioner has one ancestral joint house and a separate Nohra and enjoys an income of Rs. 150/- p. a. of a grass *Jor* in village Borawas. His present pay is Rs 100/- p m

## 4 Resolution—

Resolved that the State dues against Deokaran amounting to Rs. 420/- be remitted, and Deokaran and Joshi Shivrajji's sons Binraj and Chhagan Raj be asked whether they are prepared to partition all the immovable property left by Joshi Hans Rajji, that is, whether Deokaran is prepared to allot Binj Raj and Chhagan Raj a share in Joshi Askaranji's property, and the latter a share in Borawas village and Joshi Shiv Rajji's property to Deokaran.

### 5. READ —

Note No. 114 dated 15th November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that in a case of Balai Mangla v. s. Thakur Kesri Singh of Manglodi, the Chief Judge opines that there is sufficient *Prima facie* evidence of a charge, under criminal misappropriation, against the Thakur for taking possession of the said Balia's property after his wife's death by accidentally drowning into a well. That sanction, may therefore, be accorded to the complainant to institute criminal proceedings against the Thakur. That the Vakil of the Thikana, notwithstanding notice, failed to appear in Court.

## 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that the complainant Balai Mangalia be permitted to institute criminal proceedings against the Thikana.

6. *READ* —

Application dated 31st October 1920, of the Secretary Managing Committee, Sri Sumer Pushtikar School Jodhpur to the P. W. Member praying for a 2" water pipe connection for the needs of the School. Submitted by the P. W. Member for orders.

## 6. Resolution —

Resolved that sanction be given subject to the School authorities paying monthly water rates and the cost of laying the water pipe and that water rates be charged to other institutions as well.

7. *READ* —

Note No. 122/Est. 12 A dated 18th November 1920, by the P. W. Member proposing with reference to C. R. No. 4 dated 29th October 1920, that Sitaram should deal with all the accounts of the gardens, and that Gajdhar Santok be designated as Daroga of Partap Sagar and Takhat Sagar gardens.

## 7. Resolution —

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

8. *READ*. —

Note dated 8th November 1920, by the Private Secretary to His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib stating that the Kamdar of the Maji of Agewā requests sanction for Rs. 3,000/- on the occasion of the *Muklawā* ceremony of the Maji's daughter to be held on *Kartik Sudi 15th*.

## 8. Resolution. —

Resolved that a sum of Rs. 2,000/- only be sanctioned for the ceremony as a final gift.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH,

Sd. FATTEH SINGH.

Sd. BELHAVEN.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.

Sd. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency  
Raj Marwar, dated 26th November 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI FATTEH SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.
4. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN, I. C. S.

1. *READ.—*

Note No. 119 dated 16th November 1920, by the P. W. Member, stating with reference to C. R. No. 7 dated 1st October 1920, that the Superintending Engineer reports that advertisements for sale of the large Ice Machine has again been issued in the "Pioneer" and the "Times of India", and that the old small machine, kept for Jaswantpura, is in good condition and in perfect working order.

1. **Resolution —**

Resolved that the sale of the large Ice Machine be further advertised in the "Statesman" and also in one of the leading papers of the Madras Presidency. That the small machine be kept in reserve for being erected at Jaswantpura when His Highness the Maharaja Sahib goes to stay at that place.

2. *READ —*

Letter No. 11020/358 dated 13th November 1920, from the Residency Surgeon to the Vice-President Sahib stating in response to C. R. No. 6. dated 15th October 1920, that there are three sites available for building a new hospital outside the city viz:—

(1) Mehtaji's garden (2) The open space between the former and the Jaswant College, (3) The space between Mehtaji's garden and the Rairakabagh.

The Residency Surgeon opines that the best site would be Mehtaji's garden, and recommends that site No. 2 may be reserved for a female hospital and No. 3 for a nurses' home and a private hospital.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that a Committee consisting of:—

The Home Member.

The Revenue Member.

The P. W. Member.

The Residency Surgeon.

The Manager, J. B. Railway, and the Acting Superintending Engineer.

be appointed to select the best site for a new Hospital on modern lines outside the city. He should also visit the present Jaswant Female Hospital and advise the Darbar on the desirability or otherwise of having a new Female Hospital outside the city.

The Home Member to act as the convening Member of the above Committee.

## 3. READ—

Note No. 120/budget 15 dated 17th November 1920, by the Finance Member stating that the Manager, J. B. Railway forwards under his letter No A1 21-18/23910 dated 27th October 1920, a revised estimate of remodeling Luni Junction yard amounting to Rs. 1,07,675/- (Rs. 69,337/- chargeable to Capital and Rs. 38,338/- to Revenue) for sanction, counter-signatures and return, in supersession of the former estimate of Rs 89,586/-.

The Manager intimates that the excess of Rs 18,089/ is mainly due to the rise in the cost of materials and labour. He further adds that no provision is required for this work in the current year's budget.

## 3. Resolution.—

Resolved that the revised estimate be sanctioned and the Finance Member be authorised to countersign and return the estimate to the Manager as requested.

## 4. READ—

Letter No. E54/24844 dated 9th November 1920, from the Acting Manager, J. B. Railway to the Finance Member intimating with reference to his E54/18718 dated 26th August 1920, that he is unable to obtain the services of any loco Officer on loan during Mr Stirling's absence on leave. He proposes, therefore, to post Mr. Lean (Chief Foreman) as an Acting District Loco Officer in addition to his own work, and to give him a charge allowance of 1/10th of his pay viz; Rs. 70/ as per act 161 of the C. S. R. That Mr. Lean will then have sole charge of the workshops and also help in the office work of the Loco. Carriage and Wagon Superintendent's office. Mr. Rodgers acting Loco. Carriage and Wagon Superintendent will be in charge of Running Department and administration of his Department.

Also proposing to grant Mr. Trower an allowance of Rs. 50/ for the extra responsibility he will have in carrying on the work of Chief Foreman. Requesting early sanction to the above charge and acting allowances from the date Mr. Stirling went on leave.

#### 4 Resolution.—

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

#### 5. READ —

Note No. 117 dated 16th November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member submitting the following Uzardari against the decision of the Chief Court:—

Appellant, Plaintiff:—  Gobind Narain Sharma of Jodhpur, Secretary "All-India Dahima Maha Sabha "	}	<i>Versus.</i>	{	Respondent, Defendan :—  Sewag Chhoga, Muthra, Bholi, Pusa etc. of Dugastan P. Nagour.
---	---	----------------	---	--

Claim for declaration of right over a temple named Dadhi Mata's Mandir.

The plaintiff filed a suit in the Civil Court No. 1 and obtained an *ex parte* decree on 31st December 1918. When the decree was being executed the defendants on 20th April 1919, applied to set it aside, stating, among other things that the suit being for possession of the temple full Court fees ought to have been paid and that the words "dispossessing the defendants" were fraudulently added in the plaint latter on.

On 7th July 1919, the Civil Court No. 1 rejected this application but ordered staying of the execution of decree till deficient Court fees is paid up after inquiry.

Against this order, the defendants appealed to Chief Court stating that the temple from which they were to be dispossessed, was worth two lacs of rupees and that the lower Court had no jurisdiction to hear the suit. The Chief Court remarked that the record of the case shows that there was order for serving notice to the parties, it was not complied with. That in order to do justice in the matter, the plaintiff should be asked to give the Court fees in full.

On 15th July 1920, the Civil Court ordered that Court fees be realized after valuation of the temple through the P. W. D.

Against this order the plaintiff appealed to the Chief Court saying that his claim was for declaration of right, the plaintiff having possession since a long time, the defendants having only the office of worshipping from which the former desired them to be dispossessed. That the lower Court's order for valuation of the temple may be cancelled.

The Chief Court on 30th August 1920, rejected the appeal on the ground that the question for decision was as to who was the owner of the temple.



The plaintiff files an Uzardari in the Mehkma Khas against the above order of the Chief Court :—

The Judicial Member in submitting the papers to Council observes that the ownership as well as the possession of the temple is contested and the Plaintiff to avoid payment of Court fees wants to obtain his object through a cheaper mode of procedure by the case being taken up under "declaration of right "

Vakil Prithi Raj on behalf of the plaintiff and Partap Chand for the defendants appeared before Council and their arguments were heard.

## 5. Resolution—

Resolved that the plaint as it stands at present prays for the remove of the defendants in addition to a declaration of right. Therefore, in the view of this Council the order of the Chief Court appears to be correct. The Uzardari is dismissed.

## 6. READ—

Estimate No. 74 of 1920-21 for Rs. 1,496/ for annual and special repairs to Hakumat Building at Pali, submitted by the P W. Member under his endorsement No. 127 dated 19th November 1920, for sanction.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

## 7. READ —

Note No. 128 dated 19th November 1920, by the P W. Member, stating that the Superintending Engineer submits estimate of Rs. 7,556/ for making sheds for guns at the Jodhpur Fort and solicits sanction for an extra grant.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that the idea of providing a shed be abandoned and that *Sarkis* only be spread over the guns during the rainy season.

## 8. READ—

Note No. 125 H. O. dated 19th November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that the Nigrani Officer Karkhanajats submits four bills amounting to Rs. 441/0/ of Modi Ramdayal Chuni Lal for provision supplied to the "Dog Kennel" and states that the payments could not be made as certain inquiries had to be instituted with the Executive Officer Municipal Board

The Political and Judicial Member reports that provision for these bills has been made in the current year's budget.

## 8. Resolution--

Resolved that payment be sanctioned.

## 9. READ—

Note No. 126/ H. 6 dated 19th November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that under C. R. No. 2 dated 18th November 1910, prior claim of Ajit Singh the lineal descendant of Bijey Singh, the late Jagirdar of Dhanwara in Jaswantpura, was recognised and the Superintendent, Rekh Hukamnama was accordingly informed of the same. Now the Superintendent Rekh Hukamnama submits that Bijey Singh the late Jagirdar had three sons (Ajit Singh, Ranjit Singh and Nahar Singh) of whom Ajit Singh had been adopted to Chhattar Singh Jagirdar of *Uguna Bas* in Bhinnal in S. 1932 and Ranjit Singh has been adopted to Fateh Singh Jagirdar of *Aithuna Bas* in S. 1938. That both of them request that their youngest brother Nahar Singh may be recognised successor to Bijey Singh and Kabuliati be made in his name as Nahar Singh is the real born son of Bijey Singh Submitted for sanction.

## 9. Resolution.—

Resolved that the succession of Nahar Singh be sanctioned instead, as Ajit Singh and Ranjit Singh have been adopted elsewhere.

## 10. READ—

Note No 131 dated 22nd November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member recommending that the forest tax of Rs. 338/10/6 outstanding against the contractor of the Prisoners of War Camp Sumerpur on account of bamboos taken from State Forests for making *charpaies* for the prisoners of War, returned at Sumerpur, be remitted.

## 10. Resolution--

Resolved that the amount be remitted.

Sd/- PRATAP SINGH,                      Sd/- FATTEH SINGH.

Sd/- MANGAL SINGH.                      Sd/- SUKHDEO.

Sd/- D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN.



The following paper was settled by circulation amongst Members  
and approved by His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib  
Bahadur on 28th November 1920.

---

1. Letter No. 830 dated 18th November 1920, from the Electrical and Mechanical Engineer to the P. W. Member, submitting estimate of Rs. 1,446/- for installing telephone in the Bungalow occupied by the Revenue Member. Submitted by the P. W. Member for sanction.

### **1. Resolution.—**

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned and charged to "Unforeseen".

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.

Sd. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency  
Raj Marwar, dated 30th November 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI FATTEH SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A , C. I. E.
4. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN, I. C. S.

1. *READ—*

Note No. 130 dated 22nd November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that the Inspector-General of Police, recommends Head constable Punam Singh of Luni Police Station for a life pension of Rs. 7/- p. m. as he had his left hand cut off by the sword stroke inflicted by an accused person while the former was discharging his duty in protecting a minor girl from being abducted in a case under Section 363 I. P. C. The Political and Judicial Member recommends sanction.

1. *Resolution —*

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

2. *READ—*

Note No 129 dated 19th November 1920, by the P. W. Member, regarding repairs to State Building at Benares.

2. *Resolution—*

Resolved that the Resident, W. R. S., be requested to kindly address the Collector of Benares on the subject and to get plans and estimates of repairs to the houses prepared by the P. W. D. Benares, and to send them to the Darbar for approval. The Darbar wishes that the present repairs of the buildings be kindly done through the local authorities at Benares, after obtaining sanction of the Jodhpur Darbar, who are prepared to pay the supervision charges.

### 3. READ.—

Letter No. 8630 dated 14th September 1920, from the Officiating Engineer to the P. W. Member, regarding powers of the Superintending Engineer recommended in the latter's office letter No. 4114 dated 20th March 1920, stating that unless the powers asked for by Mr. Cruickshank in the aforesaid letter are sanctioned to him, and entrusted to the former, the work will suffer as Mr. Cruickshank is not returning to this State and he has to carry out the same functions. That there are no specific powers on record dealing with all points of works and Establishment &c which Messrs. O'Brien and Skelton used to exercise, with the exception of the appointment of subordinate staff up to Rs. 40/- p. m. which alone cannot meet with all the requirements for efficient working of the Departments placed under the control of the Superintending Engineer.

Also read Superintending Engineer's letter No. 4114 dated 20th March 1920, to the P. W. Member, detailing the difficulties which would occur in working according to the limited powers sanctioned under C. R. No. 5 dated 24th February 1920.

Read Mr. S. T. Stubb's letter No. 786 dated 3rd November 1920, regarding powers of the Electrical and Mechanical Engineer intimating that he has allotted the Electrical and Mechanical Engineer the following powers to facilitate the working of the Department, for which sanction may be accorded:—

- (1) Cash Imprest of Rs. 500/- for contingent expenses and to make urgent payments. Imprest cash book should be maintained properly with supporting vouchers and submitted to the Superintending Engineer, at least once in a month or as often as necessary for recoupment, if balance in hand is insufficient.
- (2) Submission of draft budget every year in July to the Superintending Engineer.
- (3) Application for funds required for expenditure during month for the entire Department.
- (4) Preparation and submission of estimates of works whenever necessary and called for over Rs. 100/- and under that limit Electrical and Mechanical Engineer can sanction it.
- (5) Obtaining quotations and tenders for the supply of Stores and to make a comparative list of rates offered and to submit the same to the Superintending Engineer, for approval.
- (6) To submit purchase note of stores required to be purchased for sanction and then to prepare regular purchase order on the approved form at the approved rates and submit to the Superintending Engineer for signature.
- (7) Up to the limit of Rs. 50/- the Electrical and Mechanical Engineer can deal with the store purchases directly in the above forms

- (8) Supervision of works and accounts of all the Branches attached to his Department.
- (9) Supervision of stores and their accounts.
- (10) Work charged establishment appointments, promotions increments, transfers, leave and dismissals must have the approval of the Superintending Engineer.
- (11) Execution of work must not be taken in hand without a sanctioned estimate and Budget grant, unless specially desired by the Superintending Engineer.
- (12) Petty repairs and urgent requisitions of the Darbar and Administration for any works may be carried out and a daily report of such works submitted to the Superintending Engineer with proper estimate when over the limit fixed above.
- (13) Departmental labour may be dealt with as necessity arises, which must be according to the sanctioned scale. Preference must always be given to old servants of the Department. The matter of leave etc. to work charged establishment is under consideration and instructions will be issued later.
- (14) To maintain all the initial accounts: registers, books, returns etc. and to compile, therefrom, the monthly account in the prescribed form and to submit the same to the Superintending Engineer by the 20th of the month following to which it relates

### 3. Resolution—

Resolved that in supersession of the C. R. No 5 dated 24th February 1920. the following revised powers, are sanctioned which the Superintending Engineer is authorised to exercise in the Departments under him:—

- (1) *Original Works*:—To sanction necessary alterations in the constructive details of works during their execution, provided that no increase of charge to the amount of more than 5% of the estimate shall be caused by such alterations, and that in no case should the 5% excess of an estimate exceed Rs. 500/
- (2) *Repairs*:—To sanction estimates up to a limit of Rs. 2,500/ in urgent irrigational works, and Rs. 500/ in other works.
- (3) *Establishment*:—To sanction appointments and grant for posts carrying a salary of not more than Rs. 50/
- (4) *Leave*:—To grant all kinds of leave to all P. W. staff drawing up to Rs 50/ p. m. to the extent due, provided no extra expenditure is incurred.
- (5) *Transfers*:—To transfer and post within their circle Assistant Engineers and members of the subordinate establishment.



- (6) *Punishments*:—To punish overseers and members of the lower subordinate petty and office establishment drawing up to Rs. 50/ p. m.
- (7) *Dismissals*:—To dismiss any person whose appointment is within the sanctioning power of the Superintending Engineer.
- (8) *Suspensions*:—To suspend any member of the P. W. staff with the exception of the Executive and Electrical and Mechanical Engineers. In the case of Assistant Engineers, report should be made to the P. W. Member within 24 hours of such suspension.
- (9) *Contracts*:—Superintending Engineer is empowered to accept contracts for sanctioned original works and repairs up to a limit of Rs. 10,000/- but tenders over this limit and up to Rs. 50,000/- should be referred to the P. W. Member of Council with a recommendation for sanction while those exceeding Rs. 50,000/- should be forwarded by the P. W. Member for sanction to Council.
- (10) *Purchase of Stores*:—The Superintending Engineer is empowered to enter into contracts:—

For articles manufactured in India from Indian material.	} Upto
For articles manufactured in India from imported material and articles of a perishable nature which should be purchased in India.	
	Rs. 10,000/-
To purchase stores not manufactured in India.	} Upto
	Rs. 2,500/-

A list of all sanctions etc., given by the Superintending Engineer will have to be submitted monthly to the P. W. Member of Council.

- (11) *Original Works*:—To sanction original works up to Rs. 300/- but this will only apply to works already in the sanctioned budget.
- (12) *General*:—All sanctions made by the Superintending Engineer in accordance with the above rules can only be made within the limits of the sanctioned budget.

Also resolved that C. R. No. 6 dated 17th August 1920, be cancelled and that Mr. S. T. Stubbs, Acting Superintending Engineer be authorised to exercise the powers of Superintending Engineer sanctioned under the above resolution in today's Meeting.

The allotment of powers to the Electrical and Mechanical Engineer as proposed by the Acting Superintending Engineer vide his letter No. 786 dated 3rd November 1920, is sanctioned on the latter's responsibility.

4. *READ*—

Note No 132 dated 23rd November 1920, by the Finance Member stating that the Manager J. B. Railway, submits a revised estimate of Rs 14,77,752/- for relaying with new 50 lbs materials the entire length 56½ miles of the main line between 3 miles North of Merta Road and Bikaner Border for sanction and adds that the above revised estimate includes the amount of the previous estimate of Rs. 4,25,298/- for relaying 20 miles sanctioned under C R. No. 18 dated 27th February 1919.

## 4. Resolution—

Resolved that the revised estimate be sanctioned.

5 *READ* —

Note No 133 dated 23rd November 1920, by the P. W. Member, stating that the Superintending Engineer submits an estimate of Rs. 410/- for repairs to the Post Office building at Sadri. The Political and Judicial Member states that the work is necessary.

## 5. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

6. *READ*.—

Note No 124 dated 19th November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member, stating that the Superintendent of Sir Pratap School Boarding House, reports that the amount of fees outstanding against Chhagan Lal son of late Munshi Subh Lalji is Rs. 48/6/9 from 22nd September to the end of November 1920, which has neither been paid by the relatives of the boy nor by the State. The Political and Judicial Member recommends that a scholarship of Rs. 12/- P. M. may be given to Chagan Lal with effect from the 22nd September 1920, tenable for 3 years.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the amount of Rs. 48/6/9 be paid by the State and Chhagan Lal be granted a scholarship of Rs. 12/- P. M. as recommended by the Political and Judicial Member.

7. *READ*,—

Estimate No. 135 for Rs. 1,439/- for annual repairs to Darbar Sahib's Palace and the Bungalow known as Maharaja Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib's Bungalow at Desuri.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

8. *READ*.—

Note dated 30th November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member, stating that the Franciscan Nuns of the Covenant High School Ajmere, have arrived here and that they were given Rs. 500/- as charity last year.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that Rs. 500/- be paid to the nuns as charity from the Darbar.

## 9. READ —

Letter dated 1st June 1920, from the President of South Indian Nursing Association to Mr. Waddington, requesting for subscription from the Jodhpur Durbar for starting the above named Institution.

Also read letter dated 29th November 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. enclosing the above letter.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that the Resident be asked to inform lady Willingdon that the Darbar will be glad to subscribe a sum of Rs 500,0/- to the Institution

## 10. Resolution—

On the notion of His Highness the Maharaja Regent, Sahib it is resolved that Thakur Kesri Singh of Jakhan be appointed Officer Killejat in place of Panwar Man Singh deceased, his starting within the budget limit salary to be decided by the Maharaja Regent hereafter.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. FATTEH SINGH.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.

Sd. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN.

# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 3rd December 1920.*

---

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

---

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI FATTBH SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.
4. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN, I. C. S.

## **1. READ.—**

Note No. 135 dated 24th November 1920, by the Finance Member, stating that the Manager, J. B. Railway forwards for sanction and return duly countersigned eight estimates amounting to Rs. 3,15,747/- for building bogies, goods wagons etc., and states that necessary provision has been made for these works in the Jodhpur Railway Capital Budget of 1920-21. Submitted by the Finance Member for sanction,

## **1. Resolution—**

Resolved that the estimates be sanctioned and the Finance Member, be authorized to countersign and return them as requested by the Manager, J. B. Railway.

## **2. READ.—**

Note No. 136 dated 24th November 1920, by the Revenue Member regarding disencumberance of Thikana Korna from debt, stating that the Vakil of the Thikana represented that the Thikana has been under the management of the Haisyat Court since S. 1941 but it has not yet been disencumbered. That in order to get rid of the debts the Jagirdar asked all the Bohras to accept annas -/8/- in a rupee, but they agreed to take at the rate of -/9/- in a rupee, and one Mahajan Harnath of Korna agreed to pay on behalf of the Thikana at this rate and actually paid Rs. 5,500/- but some of the leading Bohras refused to compromise and settle their claims. That either the remaining Bohras be forced to compromise at the above rate or the Thikana be removed from Haisyat and <sup>the</sup> *Sahukari Rukka* for ment by instalments of the remaining Bohras be taken from the Thikana.

On a reference to the Superintendent Haisyat Court, the latter reports that when the Thikana came under Haisyat Court the liabilities amounted to Rs. 90,000/- of which Rs. 45,000/- have been paid off. That out of the remaining amount of Rs. 45,000/-, Rs. 5,000/- may be deducted on account of *Butta* leaving Rs. 40,000/-. That recently the Thikana has settled a debt of Rs. 13,000/- by paying Rs. 6,550/- at 1/9/- in a rupee, and that now a debt of Rs. 27,000/- remains to be satisfied which may be compromised as per Circular issued by the late Chief Judge Mr. Barr or a *Sahukari Rukka* may be taken from the Thikana for payment of the remaining Bohras.

The parties were called before him by the Revenue Member and the Vakil Thikana Korna submitted a *Sahukari Rukka* agreeing to pay annually Rs. 2,800/- (i. e. Rs. 430/- on account of the arrears of Rekh Chakri and the rest Rs. 2,370/- for Bohras debts in two instalments Magh Sudi 15th and Jeth Sudi 15th.

In forwarding the above Rukka the Superintendent Haisyat Court remarked that the sum of Rs. 2,370/- allotted to the Bohras contains a sum of Rs. 200/- for repayment of the debt of the *Khasi Peti Dukan* and that the Jagirdar has separately agreed to pay Rs. 600/- yearly on that account to Bakiat Department. The Bohras allotment may, therefore, be reduced to Rs. 2,170/- (2,370-200) a year, while that for the payment of Rekh Chakri dues be raised to Rs. 1630/- (430 + 200). That when the Rekh Chakri dues have been paid in full, the Thikana should pay only the Bohras allotment viz:- Rs. 2,170/-. That in case the Thikana ever fail to abide by these terms, the Patta village Oatanwala be placed under Hawala management till the full recovery of the amount due.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposal be approved

### 3. READ —

Note No. 137/Est 94A dated 25th November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating with reference to C. R. No. 16 dated 8th October 1920, that the Finance Member having taken objection to the increment of Rs. 25/- granted to Manihar Suraj Karan, M. A., L. L. B., under the percentage scale, as he does not come under that category, he (the Political Member) is of opinion that Mr. Suraj Karan may be given one rupee more to avoid anomaly.

## 3. Resolution—

Resolved that Mr. Suraj Karan's pay be raised to Rs. 150/- as a special case, with effect from 1st October 1920.

### 4. READ.—

Note No. 138/P. W. 18 dated 26th November 1920, by the P. W. Member requesting sanction to an estimate of Rs. 12,110/- for extensions to the Dak Bungalow at Jodhpur and stating that the work has been provided in the current year's budget.

#### 4. Resolution.—

Resolved that expenditure not exceeding Rs.12,000/- be sanctioned.

Further resolved that the P. W. Member be asked to prepare and submit to Council a schedule of rates for all kinds of works.

#### 5. READ—

Note No. 139 dated 26th November 1920, by the P. W. Member, stating that the Auditor of Accounts requests to be furnished with a clear authority to adjust the imprest account of Rs. 7,335/5/- which also includes an overpayment of Rs. 464/13/- made to Mr. G. T. Wright of Allahabad, and which was not sent to the Auditor of Accounts for check and recoupment and the vouchers of which were not signed by the late Executive Engineer, and the payments were made without authority. Also stating that out of the sum of Rs. 464/13/- outstanding against Mr. Wright, Rs. 50/- only have been received and that the man should be run in as his promises of payment do not seem to be reliable.

#### 5. Resolution.—

Resolved that adjustment of the account be sanctioned and that the Finance Member be requested to address the District Magistrate of Allahabad and inquire about the circumstances of Mr. Wright.

#### 6. READ.—

Note No. 140 dated 26th November 1920, by the Revenue Member, stating that in order to disencumber Thikana Beri from debts amounting to Rs. 1,23,367/- his first proposal was to set apart certain villages for the maintenance of the Jagirdar and his family and to place the rest of the Patta villages under the management of the Hawala Department. But now the Thikana Vakil submits a sum of Rs. 5,000/ in cash and a *Sahukari Rukku* agreeing to pay Rs. 10,000/- a year in two instalments irrespective of bad years. The Vakil also agrees to satisfy the debts of other Boras privately failing which he binds himself to pay Rs. 500/- more annually along with the instalment failing due on Asoj Sudi 15th. That the Vakil has since paid the advance instalment of Rs. 5,000/- into the State Treasury and the *Amin* of Haisyat Court has been tentatively removed as requested by the Thikana. If in any year the Thikana fails to abide by the agreement the villages given below will be taken under Hawala till the debts are finally settled.

Nagwara, Ghanvani, Gogore, Khakholi, Khema Khera and Hariajun.

#### 6 Resolution.—

Resolved that the proposal be approved.

## 7. READ.—

Letter No. 6625 dated 27th November 1920, from the Resident. W.R. S to the Political and Judicial Member, stating that it is proposed to hold an informal meeting of Sanitary Commissioners at Simla for 4 or 5 days beginning from the 13th December and that the Chief Sanitary Officers from the principle Indian States are also invited to attend the Conference. Inquiring whether the Darbar would desire to depute an Officer to attend the Conference and if so, to communicate the name of the Officer selected.

## 7. Resolution —

Resolved that the Resident be informed that the Council do not consider it necessary to depute any special officer.

## 8. READ.—

Note No. 143 dated 29th November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that R. B. Thakur Bijey Singhji, Judge, Chief Court, applies for one month's leave on private affairs. That the Chief Judge requests that the same arrangements for conduct of work, as were done during his absence on leave (Vide C. R. No. 18 dated 8th October 1920,) may be made now. The Auditor of Accounts reports that the leave applied for is due.

## 8. Resolution.—

Resolved that one month's leave on private affairs be sanctioned and that the same arrangements for the disposal of cases be made as was done when the Chief Judge went on leave.

## 9. READ.—

Note dated 2nd December 1920, by the Home Member, stating with reference to C R. No. 12 dated 8th October 1920, that Chatter Bhuj Superintendent of Forests who is appointed as Industrial Officer for carrying on the Industrial Census work, has submitted an estimate of Rs 6,080/- for these operations and has put down Rs. 10,000/- as the approximate total cost which he (Home Member) has reduced to Rs. 7,241/- That for carrying on this work Jagiri men and Hawala staff would also be asked to co-operate and every attempt will be made to minimise the expenditure

## 9. Resolution —

Resolved that a lump sum allotment of Rs. 7,500/- be provided for the work.

Sd/- PRATAP SINGH.

Sd/- FATTEH SINGH,

Sd/- MANGAL SINGH.

Sd/- SUKHDEO.

Sd/- D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN.

# *Proceedings of the Council of Regency Raj Marwar, dated 8th December 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI FATTEH SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.
4. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN, I. C. S.

## **I. READ.—**

Note No. 145 dated 30th November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member submitting papers regarding levy of *Raj Tilak Nazrana* at the rate of Rs. 25/- per 1000 of Rekh, from Mutsaddies, Khawas Pasbans, Marzidans etc

## **1. Resolution.—**

Resolved that the *Raj Tilak Nazrana* be also levied from Mutsaddies, Khawas, Pasbans, Marzidans etc, holding Jagirs, at the rate of Rs. 25/- per 1000/- of Rekh.

With regard to the levy of Neota on the marriage occasions of Baijilals, the Council order that the use of the term 'Neota' which carries a meaning of equality be given up, and the term "Marriage Nazrana" be used instead and that the same be realised at the rate already fixed vide C. R. No. 7 dated 3rd October 1919.

## **2. READ—**

Note No. 141 dated 26th November 1920, by the Revenue Member recommending that Abdul Ahmad Munsrim Hawala be granted gratuity equal to six months' pay in consideration of his 17 years' service to the State.

## **2. Resolution.—**

Resolved that a gratuity equal to six months' pay at the rate which Abdul Ahmad was last drawing be sanctioned,



3. *READ.*—

Note No. 2092/ Cust. dated 29th November 1920, by the Home Member recommending that a scholarship of Rs. 8/- p. m. be granted to Gansham Narain, the minor son (9 years old) of Lala Suraj Narain late Chief clerk of the English Section of the Customs Department, in consideration of the long services of the applicant's father and grand-father, to the State.

3. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that a scholarship of Rs. 8/- p. m. be sanctioned so long as Ghansham Narain attends a school on certificate of the Head Master, month by month, and up to the age of 19 years.

4. *READ* —

D O. Letter No. 6623 dated 27th November 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. to the Political and Judicial Member conveying to the Jodhpur Darbar an expression of the Jaisalmer Darbar's thanks for the assistance rendered by them in connection with the camping and catering arrangements made in Jaisalmer territory on the occasion of Her Excellency Lady Chelmsford's visit to Jaisalmer.

4. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the fact be noted.

5. *READ.*—

Note No. 148 dated 30th November 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting a revised estimate amounting to Rs. 8,941/- for sanction and stating that the late Musahib Ala had sanctioned an estimate of Rs. 5,328/- for painting doors and windows and fitting glass panes to the doors of H. H's. Palace but owing to enhanced rates an amount of Rs. 8,941/- had to be paid in 1919. That in order to regularise the expenditure and to remove audit objection, sanction may be given to the revised estimate of Rs. 8,941/-.

5. *Resolution.*—

Resolved that the money having already been paid, the revised estimate be sanctioned.

6. *READ*—

Note No. 146 dated 30th November 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating with reference to C. R. No. 23 dated 28th September 1920, that the Kamdar of Shri-Murdhar Kuar Baijilal Sahiba reports that the excess of expenditure in Baiji Sahiba's budget grant is due to the prevailing high prices as well as the recent visit of Sri Suraj Kuar Baijilal Sahiba. The Political and Judicial Member remarks that in anticipation of Council sanction, bills amounting to Rs. 2,728/3/11 over and above the budget grant were adjusted during the month of September last and therefore sanction of an extra grant of Rs. 2,728/3/11 only is now necessary.

## 6. Resolution —

Resolved that Rs 2,728/3/11 be sanctioned as an extra grant and transferred from the head "Unforeseen" and that the Kamdar of Baiji Sahiba be warned that the expenditure should not exceed the budgetted amount this year, otherwise serious notice will be taken.

### 7. READ.—

Note No. 147 dated 30th November 1920, by the P. W. Member stating that the Auditor of Accounts in his letter No 2445 dated 16th March 1920, to the Superintending Engineer pointed out that making payments towards outstandings against Mr. Cawthorne would mean that he was allowed the pay suspended under C. R. No. 12 dated 29th August 1919, and as such the total amount to be paid on account of outstandings against Mr. Cawthorne should be recovered from him direct. The Finance Member in his letter No 896 A. 6. dated 3rd November 1920, observed that the pay of Mr. Cawthorne was suspended and as such no payment from the amount withheld for the suspension period, should have been made to the creditors of Mr. Cawthorne whereas the Superintending Engineer drew a cheque amounting to Rs. 870/15/6 for 27 days' pay of Mr. Cawthorne and also cashed two T. A. bills. Thus a total amount of Rs. 1,270/9/6 was disbursed, which is irregular and, therefore, the case may be reported to Council with a view to regularise and sanction the action of the Superintending Engineer.

## 7. Resolution —

Resolved that the Council regret to note that the encashment of Mr. Cawthorne's salary bill during the period when he was under suspension and the payment of his private bills out of the amount so drawn, was a fundamental breach of the commonest rules of accounts, both in the Audit Office and the P. W. D. office, and if such a thing occurs again serious notice of the actions of all responsible will be taken. The payment made is sanctioned.

### 8. READ. —

Note dated 5th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member, submitting a memorandum (copy attached) showing in juxtaposition certain items in the Viceregal visit ceremonial programme of Jodhpur and Bikaner, and stating that it will be observed with great pleasure that the Bikaner Darbar, on the occasion of recent Viceregal visit, has been granted special treatment in a manner that has perceptibly raised the status of their State

"In every enhancement of the *Izat* their scions the Jodhpur Darbar as their parent stock and head of the Rathor clan necessarily take abiding interest and pride as they share the honor so to speak. But at the same time they hope that the distinguishing feature of their Patvi position marked hitherto by differential treatment in functions connected with official ceremonies may kindly be maintained, not by diminishing a jot of the enhanced *Izat* of their offshoot, but by proportionately enhancing in a suitable manner the treatment till now accorded to this State on such occasions."

It would not be out of place to note that increase in personal salute in former days did not involve any change in the form of the State ceremonials, as was the case with our Maharajah Sri Sir Jaswant Singhji Sahib Bahadur of revered memory, although he enjoyed the honor of 21 guns personal salute and even now no such change in usual practice has been perceived on this score at the Udaipur and Jaipur Courts "

"If deemed advisable the Resident may be approached on the subject as he is the custodian and guardian of the State Izat during minority."

## 8, Resolution.—

Resolved that the matter be referred to the Resident, W. R. S. for favour of consideration with a forwarding note from His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH,	Sd. FATTEH SINGH.
Sd. MANGAL SINGH.	Sd. SUKHDEO.
Sd. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN.	

# The Ruling Prince's Visit to His Excellency the Viceroy.

Bikaner Programme 1912.	Bikaner Programme 1920	Jodhpur Programme 1920	REMARKS
1	2	3	4
1. The Viceregal deputation consisted of the Under Secretary and an A. D. C.	The Viceregal deputation consisted of the military Secretary, the Under Secretary and an A. D. C.	The Viceregal deputation consisted of the Under Secretary and an A. D. C.	In Bikaner they have added a third member of higher status to the deputation in the person of military Secretary.
2. No specific mention made about the reception of the Viceregal deputation	The Viceregal deputation was received by the Political Member of State Council and introduced to His Highness's presence	The Viceregal deputation was received by the Resident and was not introduced to His Highness's presence.	In place of the Resident the Political Member of the Raj Council has acted. It might be due to there being no Political Officer at the Bikaner Court.
3. His Highness was received by His Excellency at two thirds of the distance between the dais and the threshold of the reception room.	His Highness was received at the edge of the carpet i.e. $\frac{2}{3}$ th the distance, an advance of $\frac{1}{12}$ th.	His Highness is always received at the edge of the carpet. No corresponding enhancement in <i>Izzat</i> in this direction has been given to Jodhpur. This wipes off the difference which Jodhpur enjoyed till now as the head of the Rathor clan.	It might be extended to threshold to mark and maintain the difference
4. The Heir Apparent to sit behind His Highness's chair.	The Heir Apparent and the 2nd son (the Heir presumptive) had Darbar chairs on the gold cloth on which the chairs of His Excellency & His Highness were placed.	The Heir Presumptive (Maharaj Sri Ajit Singhji) sat along with other Sardars in a straight line.	
5. The Resident, sat in a line on the right of His Highness.	No Political Officer was seated in the line reserved for His Highness's Sardars	The Resident sat in a slanting line at the top of the Sardars in attendance on His Highness	In future procedure of Col. 3 may be adopted
6. His Highness presented a Nazar of 101 gold Mohars	No Nazar was made	Nazar is not presented by the Jodhpur Darbar being the head of the Rathor clan. This distinction as head of the clan also vanishes	Some other distinctive mark of grace may be granted to Jodhpur State
7. The Heir Apparent presented a Nazar of 5 gold Mohars without being formally presented to His Excellency.	The Heir Presumptive presented two gold Mohars without being presented also	The Heir Presumptive (Maharaj Sri Ajit Singhji) presented a Nazar of one gold Mohars after being formally presented to His Excellency by the Resident	Procedure of Col. 3 to be observed in future

## His Excellency the Viceroy's Return visit to the Ruling Princes

Bikaner Programme 1912	Bikaner Programme 1920	Jodhpur Programme 1920.	REMARKS.
1	2	3	4
8 No specific mention made about the reception of the State deputation.	The State deputation was received by the Under Secretary who introduced them to the Political Secretary, who introduced them to the Viceroy, before His Excellency left for the Palace.	No such reception was accorded to the State deputation.	Col. 3 Procedure may be followed.
9. No information given as regards the chairs used	Gold chairs were provided for His Excellency, Heir Apparent and 2nd son, His Highness's and silver ones for Deodhivala Rajvis, Agent to the Governor General and Political Secretary.	His Excellency and His Highness only occupied gold chairs all the others had ordinary Darbar chairs.	—Do—
10 The Viceregal procession was met at a short distance from His Highness' Palace by the Resident and a Sardar.	The Viceregal procession was met at a short distance from His Highness's Palace by the Political Member of State Council and a Sardar.	This was done by the Resident and a Sardar	Elimination of the Political Officer is probably due to the latest policy of having a more direct relation with the Supreme Government.
11 Investiture of Raja Hari Singh of Mahajan with the badge of Rao Bahadur took place at the return visit.	Investiture of Officials with decorations of different orders took place at the return visit.	Investiture of similar nature was made after the State Banquet.	Probably due to the recent change of policy.
12 On both the occasions the deputation was excused from returning.	It was stated that it was customary to excuse the State deputation from returning to the Viceregal residence.	This concession was not specifically mentioned in writing.	Procedure of Col. 3 may be observed

## GENERAL.

Bikaner Programme 1912.	Bikaner Programme 1920	Jodhpur Programme 1920.	REMARKS
1	2	3	4
13 The Heir Apparent occupied a seat on the side of, but behind His Highness' chairs.	The Heir Apparent and the Heir Presumptive sat on the side, but in a line with the back of the chair of His Excellency, and His Highness, on both the occasions	The Heir Presumptive (Maharaj Sri Ajit Singhji) occupied a seat along with other Sardars	Procedure of Col. 3 may be adopted in future
14 Sardars were presented to His Excellency by the Resident.	Sardars were presented by the Master of Ceremonies who was a Sardar of the State	This function was performed by the Resident.	
15 The Agent to the Governor-General & the Foreign Secretary sat in a line on both the occasions	The Agent to the Governor-General and the Political Secretary sat at right angles on both the occasions.	The Hon'ble Agent to the Governor-General, the Political Secretary and the Resident had their chairs placed in a slanting position while Maharaja and other Sardars were at right angles to the Dais.	

The following papers were settled by circulation amongst Members  
and approved by His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib  
Bahadur on 9th December 1920.

---

1. Letter No. 144 dated 17th November 1920, from the Principal Rajput Schools to the Finance Member stating in response to C. R. No. 3 dated 28th September 1920, that K. Sunder Singh belongs to Rathor clan of Rajputs and is a native of Khimsepur in the District of Farrukhabad. Submitted by the Finance Member under his endorsement No. 150 dated 2nd December 1920, for information.

## 1, Resolution—

Resolved that the fact be noted.

2. Letter dated 7th December 1920, from the Acting Superintending Engineer, to the P. W. Member requesting for four days' leave with effect from coming Thursday. Submitted by the P. W. Member for sanction.

## 2 Resolution—

Resolved that the leave applied for be sanctioned.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. FATTEH SINGH.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH

Sd. SUKHDEO.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency  
Raj Marwar, dated 10th December 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI FATTEH SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A , C. I. E.
4. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN, I. C. S.

1. *READ.—*

Note No. 161 dated 6th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member intimating with reference to C. R. No. 14 dated 9th October 1920, that the pay of the Sirohi Motmid is Rs. 50/- Recommending that Kewal Mall's pay which is now Rs. 46/- P. M. be raised to Rs. 50/- and he may be given powers to act conjointly in the joint court at Erinpura.

1. *Resolution,—*

Resolved that Kewal Mall's pay be raised to Rs. 50/- P. M. and he be given powers to act conjointly as recommended.

2. *READ.—*

Note No. 149 dated 2nd December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that the following four items were provided in the budget of the Police Department for 1920-21.

(1) Horse allowance to 4th grade Sub-Inspectors.	Rs.	4,800/-
(2) For good service reward	... ..	„ 1,140/-
(3) Provision for payment of old T. A. bills	„	1,200/-
(4) Blankets for prisoners	... ..	„ 1,600/-

that the Finance Member disallowed the above items for want of formal administrative sanction.

The Political and Judicial Member considers provision of the above items necessary.



## 2. Resolution —

Resolved that formal sanction be accorded as requested.

### 3. READ.—

Note No. 154 dated 3rd December 1920, by the Revenue Member, recommending payment of Rs. 1,000/- as compensation to Hanaraj Choonilal of village Deldar in Sirohi State for house in Sumerpur, which was acquired by the Darbar for the accommodation of Turkish prisoners.

## 3. Resolution —

Resolved that the payment of Rs. 1,000/- to Hansraj Chooni Lal be sanctioned as requested.

### 4. READ.—

Note No. 152 dated 3rd December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that the Residency Vakil Jodhpur submits for orders copy of a letter from the Superintendent Upper Court of Vakils Rajputana, to the Resident, W.R.S., requesting to consider the question of discontinuing the War allowance given to the establishment of the Marwar Court of Vakils and to submit proposals for revision of the salaries if considered necessary after consulting the Vakils.

The Political and Judicial Member recommends that the War allowance as shown below be consolidated with the pay:—

	Salary	War allowance	Total
Sarishtedar Panchayat.	60	15	75
Naib Sarishtedar.	40	10	50
Chaprasi:	7	3	10
Farash.	7	3	10
Punkha coolie.	7	3	10

## 4. Resolution—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

### 5. READ.—

Uzardari of Vakil Thikana Ladnoo in the following Civil suit of:—

Plaintiff Appellant— Vakil Thikana Ladnoo.	}	<i>Versus</i>	{	Defendant Respondent Mukna, Sibla son of Nanda, caste moil Raj- puts, residents of Ladnoo.
---	---	---------------	---	--

Claim for possession of land.

The Chief Court in their Judgment dated 29th September 1916 decided that from a document of S. 1950 passed by the Thikana in favour of the defendants, it is clear that the Thikana will not interfere in the old possession of the land and this document is in the name of the moils amongst whom the names of the forefathers of the defendants are included, and that therefore the Thikana has no claim over the land. Thikana Vakil contends that it was wrongly held by the Lower Court that the document of S. 1950 contained the names of the defendants.

On a reference to the Chief Court the latter informed that Sabji whose name appears in the document is said to be the brother of Devji the grandfather of the defendant Sibla.

The parties were called, but the Vakil Thikana failed to appear in spite of service of summons,

Mukna appeared on behalf of the defendants.

## 5. Resolution,—

Resolved that after careful consideration of the case, the Council find no reason to disagree with the decision of the Chief Court which is upheld. The Uzardari of the Thikana Vakil be rejected.

## 6. READ—

Note No. 155/-T. & F62 dated 3rd December 1920, by the Finance Member regarding payment of  $\frac{1}{2}\%$  interest to Vakil Ralla Ram on the war Loan of Rs. 7,000/- purchased by him in accordance with the notification published in the Marwar Gazette dated 31st March 1917.

## 6. Resolution—

Resolved that Vakil Ralla Ram be paid  $\frac{1}{2}$  interest in accordance with the proclamation.

## 7. READ.—

Note No. 157 dated 4th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that the agriculturists of Narlai, Desuri and Birampura pray that as they are in great trouble on account of the inroads of pigs into their farms they may be allowed to frighten them by firing guns.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that permission be given to fire guns for frightening the pigs, but the agriculturists be warned not to kill the pigs. The Shikarkhana man stationed at the Desuri Fort be also directed to keep watch that the farmers simply frighten the pigs and do not kill them.

## 8. READ—

Note No. 164 dated 6th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member submitting petition of Mussamat Ratni, maid servant of Maji Sri Chohanji Sahiba widow of Maharaj Sri Jiwan Singhji Sahib for the restoration of the ornaments deposited by her to protect them from her son Manak Lal. Maji Sri Chohanji at first stated through her Kamdar that she had no knowledge of the ornaments, but on further reference she has now sent word that on previous occasion Matadeen had reported without asking her, and that Ratni had ornaments.

## 8. Resolution--

Resolved that Musammat Ratni's ornaments etc. be given back to her.

## 9. READ.—

Note dated 10th November 1920, by the Finance Member on the budget estimates for the current year (1920-21) observing that the year 1919-20 was a record year, as regards income of the State which reached the unprecedented figure of Rs. 1,41,69,838/-. The net expenditure was Rs. 1,10,10,604/- which included such abnormal items as Rs. 8,00,000/- (eight lacs) of War contribution to the British Government, sixteen lacs to discharge of liabilities of the late ruler, one lac arrears of contribution to the Hindoo University, and loss on account of exchange and discount owing to fall in the rate of exchange amounting to over 2 lacs. The net surplus of income over expenditure was Rs. 31,59,234/- which added to the opening balance amounts to Rs. 84,17,614/-. Out of this last mentioned sum Rs. 35,35,000/- have been carried over to the Reserve and are now invested in Treasury-bills and short term deposits so as to be readily available, should necessity arise.

The figure taken as the closing balance for 1919-20, is, therefore, Rs. 48,82,614/- (Rs. 84,17,614-35,35,000) and 198 gold Mohars & one sovereign.

The total State Reserve amounted as under:—

Face Value.	Cost price	Market Value.
Rs. 2,42,07,700/-	2,36,66,058/-	1,66,97,457/-

The Financial condition of the State is excellent, but it is to be deplored that investments purchased before and during the War at approximately their face value have deteriorated by 40 % and 50 %. Thus the value of State savings has depreciated by a sum of about Rs. 69,68,000/- by the end of 1919-20.

The budget estimates for the current year show a deficit of Rs. 5,54,140/- as under:—

Opening balance	...	...	Rs. 48,82,614/-
Estimated Receipts (1920-21)	...	...	" 1,08,64,772/-
			<hr/>
			" 1,57,47,386/-
Estimated Expenditure (1920-21)	...	...	" 1,63,01,535/-
			<hr/>
Closing balance	...	...	" —5,54,149/-

There is no cause for any alarm or despondency on account of the above deficit, as an abnormal sum of Rs. 70,49,151/- is being allotted to Railway Capital Expenditure in the current year's budget. This unprecedented provision has been necessitated by the postponement for one cause or another of delivery of railway material which was ordered to make good, wear and tear during the war years, when replacement was impossible. The cost of administration of every Department of the State has also gone up owing to the increase of pay on a percentage scale from 35% to 15% according to salaries on account of high cost of living. The cost of material and labour has also increased.

The receipts have been estimated too low as usual. Last year the actuals exceeded the estimates by 33%. The present is not likely to prove a bad year. There is no reason why the Customs Department should not realise an income equal to last year's instead of two lacs short. The Manager J. B. Railway has assured that the Railway receipts are likely to exceed his estimates as a result of the recent decision of the Railway Board to enhance parcel and traffic rates. Under the circumstances the Finance Member is inclined to think that there is likely to be a surplus instead of deficit. Nevertheless there is need for caution in the matter of expenditure and except in such essentials of decent Government as the spread of education and Medical relief, the undertaking of un-remunerative works is to be depreciated. The Darbar must continue to put by funds for the great Broad Gauge Railway scheme which is likely to have a considerable effect for the good on the future development of Marwar.

### RAILWAY.

Difference with  
actuals of last year.

Estimated receipts	Rs. 51,00,000/-	Rs. —2,74,264/-
Estimated Expenditure	„ 31,15,932/-	„ —4,21,228/-
Net Savings	„ 19,84,068/-	„ —6,95,492/-

When compared with net receipts of 1918-19 there is a decrease of Rs. 6,95,492/- which is a very serious affair in a year when the Railway asked for Capital and Store expenditure amounting to Rs. 70,49,151/-.

No explanation is given to the State of the reasons for loss of income, although the rise in cost of running of the Railway is intelligible.

The Officiating Finance Member suggests that in future the whole finance of the Railway ought to be separated from the general budget of the State.

## 9. Resolution —

Resolved that the budget estimates for the year 1920-21 be passed and printed.

### SUMMARY.

(1) Opening balance	...	...	Rs. 48,82,614/-
(2) Estimated Receipts (1920-21)	...	„	1,08,64,772/-
		„	1,57,47,386/-
(3) Estimated Expenditure (1920-21)		„	1,63,01,536/-
Closing balance	...	...	„ —5,54,149/-

Sd/- PRATAP SINGH,

Sd/- FATTEH SINGH.

Sd/- MANGAL SINGH.

Sd/- SUKHDEO.

Sd/- D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency  
Raj Marwar, dated 14th December 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L L. D.  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following members were present—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI FATTEH SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.

**1. READ.—**

Note No. 160 dated 6th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member submitting with reference to C. R. No. 13 dated 13th November 1920, a list of articles that remain still to be disposed of by the Auction Committee.

**1. Resolution —**

Resolved that the budget of expenditure of the Auction Committee for Rs. 1,116/- be passed, and the Committee be directed to sell the remaining articles as much as they can by the end of this month when it should be abolished, and the remaining articles, if any, be put to auction departmently under the general supervision and guidance of the Member concerned who should inspect the articles before being put to auction.

**2. READ.—**

Note No. 158 dated 4th December 1920, by the Finance Member, submitting letter No. E. 64 23975 dated 28th October 1920, from Mr. G. B. Warren, Acting Manager, J. B. Railway recommending reconsideration of the grade of Mr. W. B. Rodgers, District Loco Officer whose grade was fixed at Rs. 600-50-1,200 by the J. B. Railway Board held on 20th September 1920.

**2. Resolution.—**

Resolved that the question be again placed before the J. B. Railway Board for opinion.

## 3. READ —

Note. No 156 dated 4th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that the Inspector General Police submits a statement of Bheel Ghaneria containing account of 38 *Wardats* committed under his leadership in the Bali, Desuri and other Parganas of Marwar. That the I. G. P. reports that since Ghaneria's arrest the *Wardats* were decreasing, and that the Police investigation in case was going on well and that 19 accused out of 36 arrested have admitted to have taken part in the various *Wardats* committed by the members of the gang. The I. G. P. recommended that Hakim Shimbhu Nath of Jalore, may be deputed to try the case, and his Head Quarters may be fixed at Erinpura which is a central and convenient place connected by Railway but the Chief Judge is of opinion that the case may be conducted at Sojat by the Judicial Superintendent Sojat and adds that he may be given special powers in this case to pass a sentence up to 6 years.

## 3. Resolution.—

Resolved that the case be conducted at Sojat by the Superintendent of Sojat Circle and he be given special powers in this case to pass a sentence up to six years.

## 4. READ—

Note No 162 dated 5th December 1921, by the Political and Judicial Member submitting letter dated 20th November 1920, of the Chief Minister Indore State requesting to be supplied on loan for 15 days certain manuscripts on Indian music stated to be in the Jodhpur State Library, for the 'All-India Conference of Scholars and Artists.' Further requesting that any musical instruments that may be in the Jodhpur Museum may also be sent.

## 4. Resolution.—

Resolved that the manuscripts and any musical instruments available be sent to Indore as requested by the Chief Minister of that State.

## 5. READ —

Note No. 163 dated 6th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member suggesting with reference to C. R. No. 11 dated 5th October 1920, that a Committee consisting of two Members under the Presidentship of the Home Member, may be appointed to check and report on the Bhar of Her late Highness Maji Shri Hadiji Sahiba showing the circumstances under which it was found, the articles received, and the articles missing after consulting all the available records.

## 5. Resolution—

Resolved that the proposal be approved. The Home Member be asked to select two Members and take orders for their appointment from H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib.

## 6. READ —

Note No. 169 dated 8th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that an informer Kan Nath reported that Chohan Kumbha and Natha the *Juna* Jagirdars who had 300 *Hals* of land in Itada, and Parihar Dhara who had 200 Hals of land, had died in S. 1958. That Amra son of Kumbha lived in village Nibava. That Dhura had no issue, and that Chand Khan and Alam Khan the Jagirdars of Itada had taken possession of the said land and that, therefore, inquiries may be made and the land be made Khalsa.

The Political and Judicial Member remarks that inquiries show that the land is Bhom and there being no lineal descendent of the grantee may be made Khalsa.

## 6. Resolution.—

Resolved that the land be made Khalsa as recommended by the Political and Judicial Member.

## 7. READ.—

Note No. 168 dated 8th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member submitting request of the Vakil of Rawal Zorawar Singh of Jasol for the grant of double *Tazim* and *Hath-ka-Kurab* to the present Rawal Zorawar Singhji as Jasol Thikana was Patwi in Malani and Tazim was granted to his ancestor Rawal Bakhat Singhji.

## 7. Resolution.—

Resolved that the present *Isat* and *Padwi* of the Thikana should continue as hitherto. As regards enhancement of Tazim etc., the papers be put up before H. H. the Maharaja Sahib when he is invested with ruling powers

## 8 READ.—

Note No. 167 dated 8th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member regarding succession (by adoption) of Chohan Daulat Singh to Rawat Singh late Jagirdar of Jagan Basni etc

## 8 Resolution.—

Resolved that succession (by adoption) of Chohan Daulat Singh to Rawat Singh be sanctioned and Hukamnama be levied as hitherto and that the Jagir be handed over to Daulat Singh after the recovery of Raj arrears, but he should receive his *Khangi* expenses in the meantime.

## 9. READ—

Note No. 151/H O. dated 3rd December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member submitting Uzardari of Vakil Joshi Har Narain against the decision of Chief Court in the following Civil suit of:—

Plaintiff.			Defendant.	
Taparia Chatter	Bhuj	of	<i>Versus</i>	Vakil of Joshi Harnarain of
Jodhpur.				Jodhpur.

Claim for Rs. 4,382/10/6-



## 9. Resolution.—

Resolved that inquiries be made about the value of the shops and house of the defendant and papers be put up again.

## 10. READ—

Note No. 153/P. W. 132 dated 7th December 1920, by the P. W. Member, stating that as per Council Resolution No. 10 dated 13th August 1920, an extra grant of Rs. 15,000/- was sanctioned to meet the expenditure for the works at the Residency in connection with the Viceregal visit against which a sum of Rs. 9,130/- had been spent up to the end of September 1920. That the Superintending Engineer now submits an estimate of Rs. 37,111/- already spent as stated above, and requests that as there is no provision for the work in connection with the Viceregal visit in the current year's P. W. D. budget, sanction for an extra grant of Rs. 2,7981/- may be accorded so as to enable him to pay up the claims of contractors and close the account.

## 10. Resolution--

Resolved that sanction for an extra grant of Rs. 2,7981/- be accorded as requested.

## 11. READ.—

Note No. 174 dated 9th December 1920, by the Home Member, recommending an increment of Rs. 50/- p. m. in the pay of P. Somnath the Superintendent, Karkhanajats, raising his pay to Rs. 200/- which his predecessor was getting.

## 11. Resolution.—

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

## 12 READ.—

Note No. 166 dated 8th December 1920, by the Finance Member, submitting resignation of R. S. K. M. Bhattji, Inspector of Schools.

## 12. Resolution—

Resolved that the resignation be accepted.

## 13 READ—

Note No. 190 dated 13th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member resubmitting the scheme regarding the appointment of an Agricultural Advisor for Rajputana and Ajmere Merwara, as desired by the Resident, W. R. S. in his D. O. No. 6810 dated 10th December 1920.

## 13. Resolution—

Resolved that inquiries be made from Udaipur, Jaipur and Bikaner States inviting their views on the subject, and papers be put up again before Council.

## 14. READ.—

Note dated 7th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member, stating that an informant named Nathu Singh having reported that as Ranjit Singh Bhomia of Khawal in Sojat dying issueless, his land, cattle and other property that has been taken possession of by Ratan Singh and others should be made Khalsa, it was ordered on 11th May 1920, after making inquiries from the Hakumat, the Hawala and the Court of Sardars, that as Ratan Singh son of Sabal Singh was not in the line of Ranjit Singh, Moris-ala and Sabal Singh had been adopted to Hari Singh, Ratan Singh could inherit only Hari Singh's estate and no others, and as Lakh Singh's share of land had reverted to Khalsa on the same ground, Ratan Singh's Uzardari might be rejected and Ranjit Singh's share also, be made Khalsa. The above order was passed on the Mukhbari file.

Ratan Singh filed an Uzardari against the above order stating that he was entitled to inherit Ranjit Singh's property being the lineal descendant of Khet Singh the original grantee, because his father Sabal Singh was in that line and hence Sabal Singh's adoption was confirmed by the Mahakma Khas. From the adoption file and the genealogical tree it is evident that Khet Singh was the Murisala and as the adoption of Sabal Singh had been confirmed and Ratan Singh was his real son, the latter was entitled to Ranjit Singh's land and as a rightful reversionary in the Murisala line. As regards Lakh Singh's land he lays no claim.

## 14. Resolution—

Resolved that under the circumstances mentioned Ratan Singh's Uzardari to inherit Ranjit Singh's property be accepted, and Ranjit Singh's land made Khalsa be restored to Ratan Singh.

## 15. Resolution—

On the motion of His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib it is resolved that proposals be submitted by Member concerned:—

- (1) For posting during non-working season, more parties of the Sardar Risala at strategical points arrangement being made for the accommodation and supplies.
- (2) For awarding maximum punishment permissible under the law to persons convicted of dacoity or highway robbery and where Jagirdar is culpably involved his Jagir to be permanently confiscated.
- (3) For awarding life pension and rewards to Raj employees or other persons who may lose their lives or be wounded in an encounter with the dacoits.
- (4) For getting Supreme Government's approval to permit persons convicted of heinous crimes by Marwar Court being sent to the Andamans to serve the long sentence.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.  
Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. FATTEH SINGH.  
Sd. SUKHDEO.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency  
Raj Marwar, dated 17th December, 1920.*

---

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

---

*The following Members were present—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI FATEH SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.
4. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN, ESQ., I. C. S.

1. *READ.—*

Note No. 178 dated 10th December 1920, by the Finance Member submitting inquiries in compliance with C. R. No. 15 dated 6th November 1920, regarding enhancement of grant-in-aid of Sri Sumer School. Intimating that inquiries show that no tax or fees is levied by the Malies on stone exported from the Mandore Railway Station.

Also read late Finance Member, note No. 78 dated 1st November 1920, regarding enhancement of grant-in-aid to the Sumer School.

## 1. Resolution —

Resolved that the Darbar is prepared to give a grant-in-aid up to half the cost of salaries and contingencies, provided that, and with effect from the date on which the School Committee, appoint two more teachers namely, one Intermediate and one Matriculate. In addition to this, Darbar will grant a sum of Rs. 600/- on condition that the Committee find 400/- for new furniture. The Darbar would ask that plain and substantial furniture only should be purchased.

2. *READ.—*

Letter No. 1414 dated 29th November 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member submitting an account of Rs. 247/6 for painting, varnishing and repairing two big boats at Balsamand for favour of sanction, and stating with regard to the purchase of two new small boats that they have been purchased by Lord Belhaven personally at Bombay, the bill of which for Rs. 2,364/- of Messrs Jost Engineering Company, has been forwarded to the Finance Member for payment

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that payment of Rs. 247/6 be sanctioned for repairs; the other matter is being separately dealt with.

### 3. READ —

Note No. 165 dated 7th December 1920, by the P. W. Member submitting with reference to C. R. No. 10 dated 10th September 1920, the programme of constructing roads in the city and recommending sanction to pavement of the following roads spread over a period of two years:—

For 1920-21.

- \* (a) From existing paved road near Kotwal Ranjit Singh's Haveli via Gulabsagar to meet existing paved Road near Ganeshpersad's Haveli (I to J) ... Rs. 3,914/-.
- \* (b) Road from Mahavton-ki-Masjid to meet existing Kankar road from Nagori Gate (O-P) ... Rs. 6,798/-.
- † (c) Prolongation of the road (a) to meet Kankar road at Nagori Gate (P to H vide sketch) ... Rs. 1,612/-.

For 1921-22

- (a) (A to B) i. e. from the existing stone pavement near *Pali-ki-Haveli* via Moti Chouk, Daftarian-ka-bas Nimaj-ki-Haveli to meet main Bazar paved road ... Rs. 7,856/-
- (b) (C to x) i. e. from the existing paved road near Singi Bachhraj's *Pol* via *Peti-Ka-Nora* to Moti Chouk 1,520/-
- (c) (I to F) i. e. from the existing paved road near City Police Station via Chhelji Deoridar-ki-Haveli to meet old pavement of Sunaron-ki-gali ... Rs. 2,511/-

\* (a) & (b).—Already sanctioned.

† (c).—To be sanctioned.

## 3. Resolution—

Resolved that the construction of roads by stone pavement as per above programme recommended by the P. W. Member spread over a period of two years- 1920-21 and 1921-22, as sanctioned.

### 4. READ.—

Note No. 189 dated 10th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that Tehsildar of the British District Coacanada, wants to know the rental value of two houses belonging to Seth Chimnaji, Bhutaji situated in Siana, Pargana Jalore, for income tax purposes. The Political and Judicial Member suggests that while furnishing such information, it may better be said that property situated in Marwar is not subject to British tax, or refuse information on that basis.

## 4. Resolution—

Resolved that inquiries be made from the Jaipur and Bikaner States about the procedure they follow in such cases.

### 5. READ —

Letter No. 1454 dated 30th November 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member stating that under C. R. No 9 dated 2nd November 1920, an amount of Rs. 290/- only out of an estimate of Rs. 3,340/- for repairs and painting of doors and windows etc. etc. to the Mahels at the Fort on the occasion of the Viceregal visit, was sanctioned, but the work was taken in hand in anticipation of sanction and the Assistant Engineer City was asked to complete it before the Viceregal visit, and accordingly the work was done to the full amount of the estimate of Rs. 3,340/- The Acting Superintending Engineer, therefore, requests sanction to the entire amount of the estimate viz 3,340/- submitted by the P. W. Member for orders his endorsement No. 177 dated 9th December 1920.

### 5. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate of Rs. 3,340/- be sanctioned, and the attention of Acting Superintending Engineer be drawn to the fact that on 1st October 1920, he was asked for an estimate only which he submitted on the 18th October with the remark that the work was already in hand in anticipation. This gave the Council no chance of reducing either the amount of the work to be done or the estimate which in fact was subsequently reduced in the Council Meeting held on 2nd November 1920. Council hope that this procedure will not be followed in future. The sanction at least of the Member-in-charge should be taken before work is started.

### 6. READ.—

Uzardari of Musammat Amba w/o Agarchand through her heir Balia Mukan Chand against the decision of the Chief Court in the following Civil suit of:—

Appellant.		Respondent.
Balia Mukan Chand of Pali	} Versus {	Megh Raj S/o Mitha Lal Dosi
heir of Musammat Amba w/o		of Pali (Decree-holder).
Agar Chand (Judgment		
-debtor)		

Claim for execution of decree of Rs. 318/8.

The facts of the case are that on 19th September 1919, the Civil Court awarded a decree for Rs. 318/-8 in favour of the decree-holder against Musammat Amba. On the file being sent to Hakumat Pali for execution of the decree, Musammat Amba produced a Rukka dated Pos Sud 9th 1966 before the Hakim, and stated that the decree-holder has written there-in that he would not try to execute the decree against the Judgment-debtor. The Hakim, therefore, stopped the execution of the decree.

The decree-holder appealed to the Civil Court which reversed the order of the Hakumat and admitted the appeal on the ground that the Rukka produced was neither signed nor stamped.

When the decree-holder again applied for the execution of his decree to the Hakim of Pali, the Judgment-debtor again appealed to the Judicial Superintendent of Sojat Circle with the same objection. The Judicial Superintendent dismissed the appeal and confirmed the decision of the Civil Court.

The Judgment-debtor then preferred an appeal before the Chief Court which upheld the decision of the Civil Court and dismissed the appeal, and remarked that the execution of the case has been delayed for 9 years and they could not accept the appeal against the order of the Civil Court after a lapse of 4 years

Against the decision of the Chief Court, the Judgment-debtor filed an Uzardari in the Mehkma Khas bringing forward the same plea that the decree-holder has already been paid as per Rukka passed by him.

The Vice-President in submitting the papers to Council remarked that the Rukka was rightly rejected by the lower courts as it is neither signed nor stamped and that the appeal was lodged 4 years after the Civil Court's decision. That the Uzardari might be rejected.

The parties appeared before Council. The original Rukka was produced by the Judgment-debtor. It was neither stamped nor signed by the decree-holder, who stated that as Musammam Amba refused to abide by the terms of the Rukka in respect of a *bali* (ring) he did not sign it and hence it is worthless.

## 6. Resolution —

Resolved that the decision of the Chief Court be upheld. The execution of the decree be carried out and the Uzardari be rejected.

## 7. READ—

Note No. 173 dated 9th December 1920, by the Political & Judicial Member recommending levy of Nazrana and fixing of Rekh on Ramgarh Khera in the boundary of Nimaj, as several such Kheras have been subjected to the levy of Rekh Chakri.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that the Rekh Chakri and Nazrana on the Khera be fixed at the average annual income of last 10 years and be levied at the usual rate with effect from the present year

## 8. READ.—

Note dated 29th November 1920, by the Auditor of Accounts to the Finance Member stating that the increase under Audit establishment is due to:—

1. Percentage increment.
2. Stipulated increments.
3. Increase in the strength of the staff.

That No. 1 needs no explanation. As regards point (2) the increments are allowed to the staff by the late Finance Member and are all covered with the sanction of the Council and are within the fixed scale and the power of the Finance Member. That the case of Babu Ram Lal, Chief Accountant, and Babu Chandidan, Head Clerk who have been granted special increments although within their grade which is 100/- but their salaries exceeding Rs. 75/- require confirmation by the Council. (3) The increase in the strength of the staff was sanctioned by Lord Belhaven subject to there being sufficient budget provision and are now provided for in the current year's budget. The new posts require sanction of the Council. Submitted by the Finance Member.

## 8. Resolution.—

Resolved that the extra staff and the special increments to Ramlat and Chandidan be sanctioned.

## 9. READ.—

Note No. 180 dated 10th December 1920, by the Finance Member, submitting the request of the Secretary Co-operative Credit Society of the Jodhpur Bikaner Railway to the effect that a notification may be issued in the Marwar Gazette effecting the general exemption of the Society from the stamp duty i. e. no stamps to be affixed to any document, instrument or receipts etc. in connection with any transaction of the Society. The Finance Member recommends that the request of the Secretary be granted.

## 9 Resolution.—

Resolved that a notice be issued in the Marwar Gazette granting the concession as requested by the Secretary of the Society.

## 10. READ.

Letter No. 1481 dated 2nd December 1920, from the Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member stating that the Electrical and Mechanical Department urgently requires 12 feet *Lathes* for use in its workshop and adding that Messrs. E. K. Palin & Co. of Bombay, have got the machine in stock which Mr. Bomanji has personally inspected and that the Firm have quoted Rs. 6,000/- for the same. The Superintending Engineer requests sanction for its purchase.

## 10. Resolution.—

Resolved that the amount of Rs. 6,000/- be sanctioned for the purchase of the machine as an extra grant.

## 11. READ—

Letter No. 809 dated 3rd December 1920, from the Superintendent of Education to the Finance Member requesting sanction to the appointment of B. Radhakishen Asthana B. A., as an Assistant teacher in the Darbar High School Jodhpur on Rs. 80/- 5/- 100/- vice B. Sidheshwar Nath transferred to the Revenue Department.

## 11. Resolution.—

Resolved that the appointment of B. Radha Kishen Asthana on Rs. 80/- 5/- 100/- be sanctioned as requested.

## 12. READ.—

Note No. 170 dated 9th December 1920, by the Finance Member, stating with reference to C. R. No. 4 dated 7th September 1920, that the Superintending Engineer submits an estimate of Rs. 8,180/- for the construction of 4 additional rooms in the Sri Umed (Raona) School building and intimates that there is no provision in the current year's budget for the work to be taken up.



The Finance Member remarks that sanction for an extra grant of Rs. 8,180/- may be accorded, and also submits the request of the Managing Committee for the above sum to be handed over to them.

## 12. Resolution.—

Resolved that an extra grant of Rs. 8,180/- be sanctioned for the construction of four additional rooms and that the work be carried out by the P. W. Department

## 13. READ.—

Estimate No. 86 of 1920-21 for Rs. 4,981/- for constructing quarters for 4 teachers at Bali, submitted by the Superintending Engineer with the request that an extra grant of the above amount may be sanctioned if the work is to be done this year. The Finance Member remarks that as the work is marked down as urgent, it may better be done this year.

## 13. Resolution.—

Resolved that an extra grant of Rs 4,981/- be sanctioned as requested.

## 14 READ.—

Note dated 15th December 1920, by the Officiating Finance Member, stating with reference to Council Resolution No. 24 dated 14th September 1920, that the Resident, W. R. S. intimates that Sir Alfred Dent is unable to come to India to advise the Darbar on its future Railway Policy.

## 14. Resolution —

Resolved that the Resident, W. R. S. be addressed to kindly select some other officer. That the Maharaja Regent Sahib suggests Mr. W. Home, the late Manager J. B Railway.

## 15. READ—

Note dated 15th December 1920, by the Officiating Finance Member, stating that the Resident, W. R. S. has sent in a reminder asking for a reply to his letter No. 6132/123 Ry. dated 26th October 1920.

Also read Lord Belhaven's note (copy attached) about financing the Broad Gauge Railway.

The Officiating Finance Member remarks that Lord Belhaven is sanguine that the Darbar is able to finance the Broad Gauge scheme, but the subject merits closer attention and should be thrashed out as far as possible, without further delay.

## 15. Resolution—

Resolved that the Darbar is prepared to finance all the portion of the line within the Marwar State. As regards the portion from Hyderabad to Marwar boarder, it is not proposed to commit itself without further consideration, but it will make every effort to accept the offer of the Government to undertake the conversion of the existing metre gauge on this portion also. This question will be further investigated but the Darbar would ask that if the conversion is carried out by some other agency than its own it might be given the option on suitable terms of subsequently purchasing this portion of the line and that the Finance Member be authorised to address the Resident accordingly.

## 16. READ—

Note dated 15th December 1920, by the Officiating Finance Member stating that in 1919 several alternative routes were proposed by the Government of India for the alignment of the Agra Karachi Broad gauge Railway East of the boundary of the Marwar State. That these proposals were sent to Mr. La-Touche for opinion who rejected all the proposals and submitted an alignment of his own which should run from Jodhpur through Bilara to Beawar, thence to Kekri, Deoli, Nagar and Oniara to Sawai Madhopur. He rejected the central alignment, that is, Jodhpur, Ajmer Gangapur, firstly because of difficulties of water supply and space at Ajmer, secondly because Beawar was a place into touch with which Karachi was most desirous of getting, and thirdly because the middle alignment from Ajmer to Gangapur, or even from Beawar to Gangapur would be in his opinion too close to the existing metre gauge to tap new country on the North though it might open up new country on the South. Mr. LaTouche's alignment was accepted by the Council and the Resident, W. R. S. informed accordingly.

The Resident, W. R. S. now intimates vide his letter No. 6760 dated 7th December 1920, that the Government of India after considering all points, are inclined to favour the central alignment viz. Jodhpur, Jetaran, Damora, Dilwara and Nawai to Gangapur, because a ruling gradient of 1 in 333 can be obtained, where as if the alignment runs through Beawar the gradient will be raised to 1 in 250. Several reasons are also given for selecting Gangapur as the point of junction with the existing Broad Gauge line.

## 16. Resolution—

Resolved that the Resident be addressed that the Darbar approve of the alignment Jodhpur, Jetaran, Richmalia, Damora, Nawai and Gangapur.

## 17. READ.—

Note dated 16th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member regarding arrangements for Railway accommodation required in connection with the visit of H. H. the Maharaja Sahib Bahadur to Delhi on the occasion of the Inauguration ceremony of the Chamber of Princes.

## 17. Resolution—

Resolved that arrangements for special train be made from Jodhpur to Delhi and back.

## 18. READ—

Note No. 206/ Est. 9 dated 16th December 1920, by the Officiating Finance Member, stating that the Manager, J. B. Railway in his D. O. dated 9th February 1920 to the Finance Member requested Darbar's sanction to the Special allowance of 2, 1½ and 1 hour overtime to be granted to the Workshop men. This was sanctioned under C. R. No. 27 dated 17th February 1920.

Again under his No. E. 6. A./3038 dated 14th February 1920, he submitted for sanction a proposal for the improved scale of pay to the Railway employees. This was also sanctioned by Council, vide Mehkma Khas letter No. 1459/Est. 9 dated 28th February 1920.

Now the Manager, J. B. Railway, under his No. E. 6/26265 dated 29th November 1920, submits a further revised scale of consolidated pay including War allowances (as per copy attached) to the Railway Employees to take effect from 1st January 1921, and requests the Darbar's sanction with the following observations:—

1. The question of consolidated pay was the main grievance of some of the workshop men when there was partial strike.
2. The special overtime of 2 hours and the War allowance drawn by certain employees in the Loco. and Store Dept. will be included in the consolidated pay.
3. In other Departments, the War allowance and the fixed pay will be consolidated.
4. In January 1920 the case of permanent way gangmen and the Traffic menials, was not taken notice of, with the result that the maintenance of permanent way has fallen off to a dangerous extent and only old and inefficient labour is available. The Traffic menials such as pointsmen etc. being poorly paid, are inexperienced and the causes of frequent petty accidents and thefts.

NOTE.—In this connection the Manager remarks that certain maximas and minimas have been slightly altered to correct a few hard cases which remained last year.

5. Exclusive of the consolidated pay, the famine allowance will continue to be paid as long as price of grain remains high.

Lastly he proposes the improvement of the pay of the Jodhpur Railway Police and to bring them into line with the State Police and that this will effect Jodhpur Railway only.

## 18. Resolution —

Resolved that the Council approve of the revised scale of consolidated pay to the Railway employees as proposed by the Manager, subject to concurrence of the Bikaner Darbar. They also sanction the proposed increment in pay of the Jodhpur Railway Police.

## 19. READ:—

Note No. 202/ Extra dated 16th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member regarding the surrender of Pirji Jagirdar of Uchmat from Marwar to Sirohi, stating that on 1st August 1919, the Musahib Ala Sirohi sent in a *Prima Facie* case against the following accused:—

1. Pirji Jagirdar of Uchmat P. Jaswantpura.
2. Rebari Jhalia.
3. Okhla Bhil.
4. Motira Bhil.
5. Vania Bhil.

who were charged with dacoity committed within the Jurisdiction of village Nimaj in Sirohi upon Noor Mohomed and other butchers of Deesa. At first the surrender was refused owing to certain discrepancies pointed out by the Chief Judge in the case.

On 14th October 1920 the Resident, W. R. States rightly insisted upon the grant of extradition on the basis of indentification.

On the I. G. P. having been ordered to secure the arrest of Pirji and others, the former intimated that Pirji Jagirdar of Uchmat is reported to be in Gujrat, while the other accused are not traced at Uchmat.

That recently on receipt of a D. O. letter dated 17th September 1920, from the Resident, the Council have agreed in their Resolution No. 13 dated 28th September 1920, to co-operate with the Sirohi State in extradition of offenders and similar matters. That he (the Political and Judicial Member) discussed the whole question of extradition with Colonel Macpherson and the line of policy agreed upon has been one of mutual trust and prompt and cordial co-operation. That the guiding principles in future will be:—

1. No Jagirdar as a rule will be surrendered, and punishment, in the case of his conduct having been proved culpable, will be meted out by the Darbar within whose territory his Jagir lies. With a view to avoid border fueds and complications, this provision has been held expedient and politic.
2. As a general rule, on receiving the *Prima Facie* case, no fresh inquiry will be set on foot by the Darbar to whom application for surrender has been made.
3. Due care will be exercised to avoid all such applications as may, in any way be connected with the acknowledged or disputed civil rights.

The Political and Judicial Member in submitting the paper remarks that *wardats* on the border are getting rife and the Dewal Deoras are relapsing to their old habit of 'harbouring offenders and fattening themselves on the pillage of innocent Rayots. Until stringent measures are not adopted and the Jagirdars are not made fully alive to their responsibility, there will be no peace on the border. Under the circumstances it seems advisable to attach Pirji's village Uchmat and put a strong punitive Thana until the Jagirdar returns and surrenders all the accused.

That the line of policy settled with Colonel Macpherson may be approved.

## 19. Resolution—

Resolved that the village Uchmat be attached and a strong punitive Thana be kept there until the Jagirdar (Pirji) returns and surrenders all the accused.

The line of policy settled with Colonel Macpherson is also approved.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. FATTEH SINGH.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.

Sd. SUKHDEO.

Sd. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN.

**Draft letter to Resident, W. B. States, as approved by the  
Regency Council.**

I have the honour to reply to your letter No. 6132/123/Genl. dated 26th October, on the 1920, on the subject of the Agra Karachi Railway Line project.

It will be convenient to state how far the Darbar may be said to have definitely committed themselves to this proposal. In my letter No. 407/Ry.114 dated 31st December 1919, I informed you that the Council of Regency were of opinion that the suggestions of the late Manager should be adopted. Mr. La Touche's Scheme was:—

(a) To convert the existing Metre Gauge from Hyderabad to Balotra.

(b) Construct a new Broad Gauge line thence to Jodhpur and on via Bilara to Beawar.

This scheme involved the construction by the Government of India of a new Broad Gauge Line from Beawar to Siwai Madhopur, which has secured great advantages over other alignments proposed. But although the Regency Council have expressed a preference for this particular project they would raise no objections to the Broad Gauge being taken on either from Ajmer or Nasirabad to Gangapur or any other point on the existing Broad Gauge line, for since the Jodhpur Railway is the receiver only and not the gatherer of the traffic between Karachi and Northern India (United Provinces). It follows that the best scheme devised by the Government of India outside Jodhpur will also be the best scheme for the Jodhpur Railway. In other words the Darbar are prepared to conform to whatever alignment is considered best beyond its territories to the Eastward. However, it must be clearly understood that the Darbar, before proceeding with the conversion of any part of their own line would ask for an assurance from the Government of India that the eastern section to the Nagda Muttra Railway was to be taken in hand within a definite period from the date of the conversion from Hyderabad to Jodhpur.

Their reasons are:—

(1) If the Broad Gauge from Hyderabad were to be brought up to Jodhpur city only and the terminus to stay there for any length of time, the Jodhpur Darbar would give stand to give little or no advantage from their increased Capital outlay. Even supposing that the B. B. & C. I. were able to deliver to us at Kuchaman 300 wagons equal to 3000 tons per day which some years ago was the maximum they were able to carry, it is doubtful if the purely Jodhpur Railway Section of the Broad Gauge from Jodhpur towards Hyderabad could be made to pay a reasonable dividend.

(2) If the Government of India were unable to give any guarantee to construct their Broad Gauge line, eastwards within a definite period it is conceived that in the lapse of time other contingencies might arise, which would lessen the urgency of the project and cause its abandonment. The Darbar would then be left with an incomplete Broad Gauge line within their own territory. I may, however, say that no reliable estimates have as yet

been evolved and that the calculations made so far are admittedly based upon most uncertain factors, connected with the cost of material and wages of labour etc.

The estimates given in para 2 of your letter, as repeated below, amount to slightly over 3 crores of rupees and are in the opinion of the Regency Council within the Darbar's capacity to finance:

"135 Miles of conversion from western border of			
Marwar State to Balotra	...	...	Rs. 1,24,07,380
"58 4 Miles of new Broad Gauge line from Balotra			
to Jodhpur city	...	...	Rs. 69,32,348
"85 1 miles of new Broad Gauge line from Jodhpur			
city to Eastern boundary of Marwar State	...	Rs.	1,13,79,380
Total Rs.			3,07,19,108

In support of this contention I attach two statements (1) Assets of the State, (2) Annual income and expenditure of the State for last 5 years.

As regards statement (1) it should be understood that there are no liabilities. The Assets consist of investments having a face value of Rs. 2,42,07,700/ originally purchased for Rs. 2,36,66,058/ and with a present market value of Rs. 1,66,97,457 of these investments, a sum of 35,35,000/ is deposited in Short Term Bonds maturing from time to time within the current financial year. The remainder which are permanent investments amounting to Rs. 2,06,72,700 can be hypothecated to the Bank of Bombay as security for an advance equivalent to their market value and bearing interest at the current Bank Rate (which is now 5 per cent). The total interest now accruing on the advance from the bank would amount to Rs. 11,75,000.

The other assets consist of the existing Metre Gauge Railways on which the capital outlay has been Rs. 2,34,50,100 and of which the annual Net Revenue has been in the last 5 years as under:—

1914/15	...	...	Rs. 16,87,028
1915/16	...	...	„ 31,87,581
1916/17	...	...	„ 27,70,505
1917/18	...	...	„ 34,56,615
1918/19	...	...	„ 27,51,400
1919/20	...	...	„ 23,35,384

Finally, there are loans to Jagirdars amounting to Rs. 7,09,239 and current Treasury Balances totalling Rs. 48,82,613.

Statement (11) shows that the average annual surplus of income over expenditure in the last five years in two of which (1915-16 and 1918/19) famine was declared and which on account of heavy War charges can scarcely be regarded as favourable, amounted to Rs. 10,54,000. The last two of these years have been rendered still more expensive by the demise of the late Ruler and the liquidation of large personal liabilities incurred by him.

To sum up, the Darbar can produce cash in hand to the extent approximately of Rs 84,00,000 and an annual surplus of Rs. 10,00,000 which may be wholly devoted to Railway construction. How much would need to be borrowed on the General Assets of the State, including its extensive and lucrative Railway system must depend upon the rate of Railway construction. If, as seems probably, this were to cover a period of 4 years, commencing, say a year hence and the sum of  $3\frac{1}{2}$  crores were to be spread evenly over the period, the *modus operandi* in finance might be something as under:—

1921—22	...	87,50,000	(By cash.)
1922—23	...	87,50,000	(By cash & advance from Banks at per cent net Rs 50,000.
1923—24	...	87,50,000	(Deduct annual surplus. Advance from Bank at per cent net
1924—25	...	87,50,000	By issue of five and ten years Bonds at say 7 per cent.

This last sum could no doubt be raised locally and at Karachi. It would be repayable from the annual surplus of 10,00,000.

As regards the further question of undertaking to finance the conversion of the British Section from Hyderabad to the Western boundary of Jodhpur territory, the Darbar consider that every effort should be made to accept the suggestion. The Government of India are offering what appears to be a very valuable concession and one which will tend to become more so still as time goes on.

The Jodhpur Darbar understand that a division of earnings based on Capital expenditure would mean that their rates of earnings would be in the proportion that the new Capital outlay bears to the original cost of the Metre Gauge. Moreover, the additional length of 123 miles should reduce general working expenses of the Jodhpur Railway and might give us a better command of the route.

From this point of view *i.e.* if the Darbar are to finance and construct and work the line from Hyderabad on such suitable terms, it might be worthwhile to agree to the earlier construction of the line into Jodhpur. For in that case besides the advantage gained in securing this valuable concession a postponement in construction of the last section to the East of Jodhpur would give more time for finding the additional Capital required to complete the entire scheme.

In this case the amount required to finance the first half of the scheme from Hyderabad to Jodhpur according to the figures quoted in your letter, would be Rs. 3,00,24,543 or practically the same sum as was estimated for construction of section within Marwar territory. Only 85 miles would remain to be constructed from Jodhpur to its eastern border. This last portion would await the convenience of the Government of India with always the slight possibility that the Kuchaman Road, Delhi and Agra conversion might be once again reverted to and accepted by all concerned.



*Proposed revised scale of consolidated pay including War allowance but excluding grain compensation allowance.*

### OFFICE CLERICAL STAFF.

(a)  
Rs. 35/- rising to Rs. 65/-\*

Scales above Rs. 64/- will be fixed according to the duties and responsibilities of the post.

(a) Only for Matric Passed

### TRAFFIC DEPARTMENT.

#### SIGNALLERS:—

Starting pay	...	...	...	Rs. 25/-.
After one year's service	...	...	...	„ 30/-.
After another year's service and conditional on passing the higher standard of test...	...	...	...	„ 34/-.

#### ASSISTANT STATION MASTERS.—

Rs. 35/- rising to Rs. 45/-

#### STATION MASTERS AT.—

##### ROAD SIDE STATIONS.—

Rs. 40/- rising to Rs 70/-.

Second class stations- (Barmer, Balotra, Degana, Churu, Ratangarh, Hanumangarh and Mandi Dabwali).—

Rs. 55/- rising to Rs. 80/-.

NOTE:—This also applies to Transhipment Goods clerks at Meta Road and Luni Junction.

First class stations:—(Mirpur Khas, Luni Junction and Merta Road).—

Rs. 80/- rising to Rs. 110/-.

NOTE:—This also applies to Chief Goods Clerks at Jodhpur and Bikaner.

Special class stations- (Jodhpur and Bikaner).—

Rs. 130/-.

The pay for the following specified posts is:—

Deputy Station Masters at special and first class stations.

Rs. 50/- rising to Rs. 75/-.

Assistant Station Masters at first class stations.—

Rs. 45/- rising to Rs. 50/-.

Signallers-in-Charge at-

Jodhpur, Bikaner, Merta Road and Luni Junction.—

Rs. 50/- rising to Rs. 66/-.

Mirpur Khas—

Rs. 45/- rising to Rs 55/-.

Gadra Road, Degana, Ratangarh and Hanumangarh.—

•Rs. 39/- rising to Rs 50/-.

\*NOTE:—This also applies to Assistant Signallers-in-Charge.

## GUARDS . -

III Grade-Rs. 20/- rising to Rs 30/- by annual increments of Re. 1/-

II Grade- „ 30/- „ „ „ 45/- —do— Rs. 2/-.

I Grade- „ 45/- „ „ „ 80/- —do— Rs. 3/-.

The minimum pay of Goods Clerks, Booking Clerks, Trains Clerks and Ticket Collectors is Rs. 26/-

The minimum pay of Customs Clerks, Flagmen, and Time-Keepers is Rs. 21/-.

The minimum pay of pointsmen is Rs 12/- except from Chhor to Tando Jam (Sind Section) where it is Rs 13/-.

Minimum pay of Lampsmen, Hindu Watermen, Bhistees, and Running Room Bearers is Rs 11/12/- except Chhor to Tando Jam (Sind Section) where it is Rs. 12/12/-.

## ALL OFFICE & TELEGRAPH PEONS. —

Rs. 12/- and after five year's continuous service Rs. 13/-.

## ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT.

Minimum pay of Permanent Way inspectors. Rs. 35/-.

## M A T E S . —

Minimum pay Rs. 15/- rising by increments for approved service to Rs. 25/-.

## GANGMEN.

J. & B. Railways subject to exceptions below:— Rs. 12/-.

## EXCEPTIONS.—

Palana to Kanasar and Bikaner to Napasar „ 1

Jhumpa to Hissar.—

First two gangs	...	...	...	12/4/-
Next two gangs	...	...	...	12/8/-
Last two gangs	...	...	...	12/12/-

Chautāla Road to Bhatinda.—

First two gangs...	...	...	...	12/4/-
Next two gangs ...	...	...	...	12/8/-

Next two gangs	...	...	...	12/12/
Last two gangs	...	...	...	13/-

**Jodhpur Hyderabad Railway (British Section).—**

Jaisingder gangs	...	...	...	Rs. 12/8/-.
Chor gang No. 1.	...	...	...	„ 12/8/-.
2.	...	...	...	„ 12/12/-.
3.	...	...	...	„ 13/4/-.
4. 5 and 6	...	...	...	„ 13/12/-.
7. and onward	...	...	...	„ 14/4/-.
Pithoro gangs	...	...	...	„ 14/12/-.
Tando Alahyar gangs	...	...	...	„ 15/4/-.
Khadro & Jhudo gangs	...	...	...	„ 14/4/-.

On the whole system a Keyman will draw eight annas more than his gangmen.

**Trollymen.—**

**Officers.—**

Rs. 14/- and after five years' service Rs. 15/- and after five more years' service Rs. 16/-. The service must be continuous and with an officer.

**Permanent way Inspectors —**

On Jodhpur and Bikaner Railways ... .. Rs. 13/-/-.

**On J. H. Railway (British Section).—**

Jaisingder	...	...	...	„ 13/ 8/-.
Chhor	...	...	...	„ 14/12/-.
Pithoro	...	...	...	„ 15/12/-.
Tando Alahyar	...	...	...	„ 16/ 4/-
Khadro and Jhudo	...	...	...	„ 15/ 4/-.

**Overseers, —** According to Permanent way Inspectors' trollymen at the same station.

Proposed revised scale of consolidated pay including war allowance but excluding grain compensation allowance,

### STORES MENIAL STAFF

Carpenter	...	...	...	{	Rs. 22/- p. m. or
					" 11/9/- per diem
Number checkers	...	...	...		" 14/-.
Do.	...	...	...		" 18/-.
Paper lifter	...	...	...		" 14/-.
Number printer	...	...	...		" 18/-.
Do	...	...	...		" 14/-.
Cover paster	...	...	...		" 14/-
Chowkidar (Stores)	...	...	...		" 12/-
" (Police)	...	...	...		" 12/-
Coolies on hard work	...	...	...	{	hs 16/7/- p. m or
					-/8/9 per day
Do. easy	...	...	...	{	Rs. 12/11/- p.m. or
					-/6/9 per day.
Do. of long service & monthly paid	...	...	...		Rs. 14/-.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency  
Raj Marwar, dated 21st December 1920.*

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.,  
etc. etc., Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI FATTEH SINGHJI SAHIB
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHIB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDEO PRASADJI SAHIB, B. A., C. I. E.
4. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN, I. C. S.

**1. READ—**

Note No. 189 dated 13th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member, stating with reference to C. R. No. 8 dated 9th October 1920, that the Vakil of Thikana Rohat submits that the income of the Thikana in the year when the present Thakur took over charge was Rs. 16,500/- and the income for the last six years gives an average of Rs. 18,529/6/9 per year. That the difference is due to high prices and that the balance left after expenses is the same as before. The vakil prays for remission of certain Raj arrears, as was done in the case of Thikana Asop.

**1. Resolution —**

Resolved that the Council are not prepared to remit any of the legitimate Raj dues and considers that if the Thakur curtails his Thikana expenses to fourteen thousand a year, he will be able to pay approximately Rs. 1,000/- towards his debts.

**2. READ—**

Note No. 182 dated 11th December 1920, by the Home Member that the Proprietor of the "Venkteshwar Steam Press" requests with regard to the agreement for publishing the Chandu Panchang, that the last two lines of the 4th term of the agreement be worded as follows:—

"Such question or disputes etc. shall be determined on consultation by both the parties, and decision thereon shall be final for both the parties." instead of "such question or dispute shall be determined by the Maharaja whose decision thereon shall be final and binding on the Proprietor of the "Sri Venkteshwar Press."

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that the Council are not prepared to accept the amendment proposed by the Proprietor of the Venkateshwar Press to the last term of the agreement. They, however, order that the 4th *i. e.* the last condition be modified and reframed as under:—

"4. That if and whenever any question or dispute shall arise in regard to the construction, meaning or effect of any clause or things herein contained or in regard to the manner or sufficiency of the observance or performance by the Proprietors of any agreement herein contained, such question or dispute shall be decided, if possible by discussion and agreement between the Member-in-charge and the licensee, and in case of disagreement the matter shall be referred to H. H. the Maharaja whose decision shall be final."

The Home Member be asked to inform the Proprietor as above.

## 3 READ.—

Note No. 172/H. O. dated 9th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member, regarding the dispute between Thikana Mithri and Kuchaman over village Banvli, stating with reference to C. R. No. 15 dated 18th September 1920, that the Consultative Committee on being referred to, remark that the Kuchaman Thikana is ready to exchange its *Panti* while Mithri is not and that the village that has been held as *Nankar* since a long time should not be forced to be exchanged when the parties do not agree to it. The Political and Judicial Member agrees with the views of the Consultative Committee.

## 3. Resolution—

Resolved that as village Banvli is included in the *Patta* of Mithri and Kuchaman holds its *bunt* (share) as a *Chhutbhar* of Mithri, the jurisdiction of the village rests with the *Pattayat i. e.* Mithri Thikana.

## 4. READ—

Note No. 185/H. O. dated 11th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member, stating that on the petition dated 5th October 1920, of the widow of late Munshi Shublal, praying for the postponement of the auction of her house in respect of certain decrees, it was ordered vide C. R. No. 3 dated 15th October 1920, that the Chief Judge be asked to submit the facts of the case and that auction be deferred. The Chief Judge submits the files of the case with a report from the Civil Judge No. 1. The Civil Judge submits that the suit was instituted on 28th February 1917, by the plaintiff Chhagan Lal (adopted son of Munshi Shub Lal) through his guardian Munshi Prithi Raj against all his creditors for being declared insolvent. That upon inquiry the total debts of late Munshi Shub Lal as due to the Darbar and other creditors were proved to be Rs. 56,248/10/6 and 7 gold Mohars. The guardian of the plaintiff has stated the assets of the insolvent to be Rs. 25,225/-. That the dwelling house of the insolvent was put to auction through the Kotwali on the application of the creditors, but the highest bid being only for Rs. 3,000/- a notice has been issued for re-auctioning the "*Haveli*" from the 15th to 30th December continually.

#### 4. Resolution—

Resolved that in the opinion of the Council, the sale cannot be postponed, but the Council would ask the Chief Court, before confirming the sale of the house, to make some arrangement with the purchaser so permission for Munshi Shub Lal's widow to continue residing in some portion of it, or that some portion of the sale price may be reserved to the judgment-debtor for the purchase or hire of some other residence.

#### 5. READ —

Note No. 184 dated 11th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that the Vakil of Rahmatulla Khan requests that the allowance of Rs. 15/- granted to the wife of Abdulla Khan who has died recently, be amalgamated with that of his client (Rahmatulla Khan) and arrears of the same be given to him as was done on the death of Razia Begam vide C. R. No. 1 dated 17th October 1919.

That the original order of 1906 regarding the amalgamation of such allowances runs as follows:—

"When any of the allowances for want of lineal heir after the death of the recipient reverts to the elder branch *i. e.* Rahamtulla Khan, or his successor, it should be applied to the liquidation of debts left by his predecessor and when the debts are cleared, he may be entitled to get it."

#### 5. Resolution—

Resolved that the allowance of the deceased lady, namely Rs. 15/- p. m be amalgamated with that of Rahamtulla Khan and that any arrears on that account be also paid to him.

#### 6. READ.—

Note No. 183 dated 11th December 1920, by the Private Secretary to H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib Bahadur submitting the following case of:—

Complainant.		Accused.
Udai Singh son of Nab Singh Deval through Amar Singh Jagirdar of Tejava P. Jaswantpura.	<i>Versus.</i>	Jujar Singh Jagirdar of Malwara, Samrath Singh, Lal Singh etc. and 25 or 30 Bhils of Daulpura.

Offence:—Theft of a camel worth Rs. 200/-.

#### 6. Resolution—

Resolved that the complainant be directed to take legal action in the matter in the Magistrates' Court and to request the Court to bind over the accused to be of good behaviour



## 7. READ,—

Note No. 187 dated 13th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member regarding succession (by adoption) of Balot Jujar Singh to Balot Kishor Singh late Jagirdar of Dodiali P. Jalor, stating that Jujar Singh being a direct descendant of the Murisala and his adoption being not disputed may be confirmed and Patta issued in his name and Hukamn-ama at the usual rate be fixed but not realised in the present case.

## 7. Resolution—

Resolved that succession of Jujar Singh be sanctioned and *Patta* be issued in his name, and that Hukamnama at the usual rate be fixed but not realized.

## 8. READ.—

Note No 186 dated 11th December 1920, by the P. W. Member, requesting formal sanction for treating Mr. Bomanji Electrical and Mechanical Engineer as a first class officer for purpose of drawing his Travelling Allowance. The Finance Member agrees with the P. W. Member.

## 8. Resolution—

Resolved that as a promise was given to Mr. Bomanji at the time of his employment by the Superintending Engineer, he be treated as a first class officer for purpose of drawing Travelling Allowance.

## 9. READ —

Note No. 188 dated 13th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member regarding succession (by adoption) of Budh Singh Udawat to Phool Singh late Jagirdar of Mehsia P. Jetaran Rekh Rs. 2,300/- stating that Budh Singh's adoption may be confirmed and the village restored to him after the recovery of the Raj outstandings viz. Rs. 3,251/-, he being allowed suitable allowance in the meantime.

## 9. Resolution—

Resolved that adoption of Budh Singh to late Thakur Phool Singh of Mehsia be confirmed and that the village be made over to him after the recovery of Raj outstandings and he be allowed suitable allowance in the meantime.

## 10. READ.—

Note No 196 dated 14th December 1920, by the P. W. Member, stating that the Superintending Engineer forwards application of Panna Lal Tiwari praying for grant of permission to start a flour mill and for the supply of Electric current for the same which is available.

## 10. Resolution—

Resolved that permission be given and electric power be supplied but in the agreement concluded with the Firm, a saving clause be recorded disclaiming responsibility on the part of the Darbar for any failure of power or inability for any reason to supply it.

## 11. READ.—

Note No: 203 dated 16th December 1920, by the Finance Member, recommending that B Subodh Chandra, Assistant Treasury Officer's grade be fixed at Rs. 175-5-225. His present pay is Rs. 156/- per mensem.

## 11. Resolution —

Resolved that the recommendation be approved.

## 12 READ—

Note No: 201 dated 15th December 1920, by the Revenue Member, stating with reference to C. R. No: 1 dated 12th June, 1920, regarding submission of accounts of the income received from the stone quarries by the Jagirdar of Khatu Badi prior to the construction of Degana Hissar line that the Jagirdar does not intend to put up any accounts and wants to re-open the case on its merits.

The Revenue Member further remarks that to avoid delay it would be advisable in similar cases, if the Council were to fix some date by which their orders are to be carried out.

## 12. Resolution—

Resolved that as the Jagirdar has failed to put up his accounts the Finance Member be directed to calculate the compensation to be paid on the basis of any material available, such as the Railway export in the first year and Haisyat accounts etc. It would be better to fix dates in future in such cases.

## 13. READ.—

Note No: 194 dated 14th December 1920, by the Home Member, stating that Sardar Bahadur Colonel Thakur Partap Singh, Commanding 1st Regiment, Sardar Rissalla submits an account of Rs. 2,361/13/9 for the cost of 12 "Sands" bullocks which were purchased as per verbal orders of H. H. the Maharaja Regent Sahib and made over to the *Gau Khana* authorities, and requests that the said amount disbursed from the Rissala Treasury be ordered to be refunded.

## 13. Resolution—

Resolved that the amount of Rs. 2,361/13/9 be paid to the Rissala Treasury by charge to *Kharid Khurch*.

## 14. READ—

Note No: 192 dated 12th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member, submitting bill No: D590 for November 1920, submitted by the Manager of Falettes Hotel Cecil, Simla, for carteing charges during the visit of His Excellency the Viceroy at Jodhpur from 20th to 23rd November 1920 for Rs. 20,000/- for sanction and payment.

## 14 Resolution.—

Resolved that the amount of Rs. 20,000/- be paid to the Manager Falettee's Hotel Cecil by charge to the head "Viceroy's visit."

## 15. READ —

Note No: 210 dated 16th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member submitting the Criminal case of:—

Shri Darbar.	}	<i>Versus.</i>	}	<p>(1) Gudar Singh son of Hari Singh Udawat of Kermawas P. Jetaran and</p> <p>(2) Kalia Daroga, Accuseds.</p>
--------------	---	----------------	---	---

Charged with murder under Section 302 M. P. C.

The Judges of the Chief Court taking the following facts in to consideration find that these circumstances are convincing and show that Bhikla the deceased was murdered in the Parwa by means of the sword and the man who did the deed is Gudar Singh the accused:—

- (1) The accused Gudar Singh sending for the key of the *Nora*.
- (2) Gudar Singh giving out that ornaments were in the pot in the shelf.
- (3) The recovery of the ornaments from the pot
- (4) The ornaments being blood-stained and being identified as those which the deceased was given to wear.
- (5) The *Nora* from which they were recovered being of Gudar Singh or at least one in which he used to pass his time during the day,
- (6) The rentilated corpse of the deceased found in the very *Nora* with other things belonging to the deceased.
- (7) There being blood stains on the walls and the floor.
- (8) The sword in the *Parwa* being stained and bearing the name of the father of the accused and his unreliable Version about its being stolen and belonging to his brother.

With regard to Kalia, the Judges of the Chief Court do not hold that Kalia was an accomplice because his very statement shows that he was told about the murder by Gudar Singh the accused. His fear was due to his attachment for his master the accused and to his hiding the murderer and, therefore, they are of opinion that a case of murder or abetment of murder is not made out against him; and so they recommend him to be discharged and set at liberty.

In submitting the case for final orders the Judges remark that in their opinion Gudar Singh, the accused son of Hir Singh Udawat of Karmawas has committed murder and they find him guilty of it and unless the Council be pleased to commute the death sentence on account of his age being 20 years, by exercising their prerogative of mercy, there being no extenuating circumstances in the case, the punishment they recommend is the extreme penalty that is, he be hanged by the neck till he is dead.

Both the accused were brought before the Council.

## 15. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Council after careful consideration of the case agree with the Chief Court that it was Gudar Singh who murdered Bhikla a blind man and order that the sentence of death as recommended by the Chief Court be carried out, and that the second accused named Kulia be released.

## 16. READ.—

Note dated 18th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that the Departments under the control of European officers are closed at present during the whole of the Christmas Week. The same practice was in vogue during the time of the late Regency.

That in order to secure uniformity for all the Departments of the State in the matter of observance of holidays, the Christmas Week, that is the period from the 24th December to the 1st January, may hence forward be officially declared a holiday for all Courts and Offices in Marwar, and a proportionate reduction made by discontinuing the following holidays as being of no great importance:—

Anvla Iggiaras.	...	One day.	
Durga Astami	...	One day.	
Narsing Chaturdasi	...	One day.	
Ganesh Chouth	...	One day.	
Sumer birthday	...	$\frac{1}{2}$ day.	
Her Majesty's birthday	...	One day.	} These are not observed as holiday even in British India
Dowager Empress' birthday	...	One day.	
Victory Day	...	One day.	

## 16. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Christmas Week *i. e.* from the 25th December to 1st January, be hence-forward declared as holidays for all Courts and Offices in Marwar, and that the observance of the following holidays be discontinued:—

Anvla Iggiaras, Narsingh Chaturdasi, Ganesh Chouth,

$\frac{1}{2}$  Sumer birthday, Her Majesty's birthday, Dowager Empress' birthday and Victory Day.

## 17. READ.—

Note dated 20th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member, stating that with a view to broaden the basis of representative element in Consultative institutions, H. H. the Maharaja Regent's suggestion of selecting Members from amongst respectable people, representing different interests, may now be taken up and the Consultative Council be requested to suggest any practical scheme, that may, while suiting the local conditions, attain the object in view.

## 17. Resolution.—

Resolved that the matter be referred to the Consultative Council for opinion as proposed by the Political and Judicial Member.

## 18. READ.—

Letter No: 6676 dated 1st December 1920, from the Resident, W. R. S. to the Political and Judicial Member, forwarding for the information of the Darbar a copy of each of the Government of India Foreign and Political Department Resolutions Nos: 426 R and 427 R dated the 29th October 1920, and stating that a copy of the report on Indian Constitutional Reforms was forwarded with his office No: 5252-5255 of 19th July 1918.

The Political and Judicial Member in submitting the papers to Council observes that there is a School of thought which does not favour the idea of a commission for two reasons:—

- (1) They hold it *infra dig* for a Member of their order to sit in judgment over them.
- (2) When the matter has been *quasa* judicially inquired into and a final decision arrived at, it would seal the delinquents fate for good. But if the deprivation of powers were decided upon on a executive side there was a loop hole left for the exercise of clemency and restoration of powers if the condemned Prince reforms himself.

It might be noted that to do away with this objection the proposed procedure is only to be adopted in case the Prince concerned be not opposed to it.

With regard to Resolution 427, some members of this order hold that this procedure should be universally adopted and not left to the discretion of the Governor General.

## 18 Resolution.—

Read and noted.

Sd/- PRATAP SINGH.

Sd/- MANGAL SINGH.

Sd/- D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN.

Sd/- FATTEH SINGH.

Sd/- SUKHDEO.

The following paper was settled by circulation amongst Members  
and approved by His Highness the Maharaja Regent Sahib  
Bahadur on 24th December 1920.

---

1. Note dated 22nd December 1920, by the Home Member requesting sanction for the following with reference to C. R. No. 9 dated 3rd December 1920.

- (1) That a Hindi-Urdu knowing Munshi be supplied to him from Mehkma Khas for the Industrial Census work.
- (2) That one Cycle Sawar should be deputed out of the State Cycle Sawars.
- (3) That the Hawala staff and Jagirdars be directed to co-operate in the matter and that this fact should be notified in the Marwar Gazette.

## 1. Resolution.—

Resolved that all the proposals of the Home Member be approved and a notification with reference to item (3) be issued in the Marwar Gazette as requested.

Sd. PRATAP SINGH.

Sd. FATTEH SINGH.

Sd. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN.

Sd. SUKHDEO.



*Proceedings of the Council of Regency  
Raj Marwar, dated 24th December 1920.*

---

*The Council of Regency met to-day under the  
Presidentship of H. H. the Maharaja Dhiraj General  
Sir Pratap Singhji Sahib Bahadur, G. C. S. I.,  
G. C. V. O., G. C. B., G. O. L. H., A. D. C., L. L. D.  
etc. etc, Maharaja Regent, Marwar State,  
at his residence.*

---

*The following Members were present:—*

1. MAHARAJ SRI FATTEH SINGHJI SAHIB.
2. RAO BAHADUR THAKUR MANGAL SINGHJI SAHAB.
3. RAO BAHADUR PANDIT SUKHDO PRASADJI SAHIB B. A., C. I. E.
4. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN. ESQ. I. C. S.

**1. READ.—**

Estimate No. 128 of 1920-21 for Rs 17,411/- for constructing liquor ware-house at Merta Road, submitted by the Superintending Engineer and put up by the P. W. Member for sanction under his endorsement No. 197 dated 14th December 1920.

**1. Resolution—**

Resolved that the sum of Rs. 17,411/- be sanctioned with the provision that the lump allotment for the three ware-houses be not exceeded.

**2. READ.—**

Note No. 207/P. W. D. 13/30 dated 16th December 1920, by the committee appointed under C. R. No. 2 dated 26th November 1920 for selecting a site for a new Hospital on modern lines, stating that the site selected by Colonel Grant is the best that can be chosen being large and suitably situated and leaving ample room for expansion, without fear of encroachment on the environs of the Raikabagh Palace.

That Mr. Warren (Manager, J B. Railway) is sure that the Hospital premises will in no way trench on the land required for the Broad Gauge line when built.

That the plan of the Hospital should be drawn up by a competent architect and before specifying the general plan of the Hospital, Colonel Grant would wish to inspect, if necessary in company with Mr. Stubbs, the Government Hospital at Agra. That the construction may be carried out in sections and the expenditure spread over a number of years, the initial section providing accommodation for 150 patients together with the necessary quarters and equipment, and that it should provide for extensions to be built as necessity arises and as funds permit.



As regards the Jaswant Female Hospital, the Committee consider it to be an unsuitable building for a modern Hospital but the Female Hospital should not be removed on general grounds for the present beyond the radius of the city proper. On completion of a new male hospital the present Hewson Hospital should be adapted to the requirements of and converted into a Female Hospital. The building of the present Jaswant Hospital being given over to the Hewson Girls' School.

## 2. Resolution—

Resolved that the site selected be approved, that is, the whole of Mehta Kishan Mall's garden, the space between Mehta Kishan Mall's garden and Naharji-ki-Bavri ground, and the whole of Naharji-ki-Bavri compound.

That the Revenue Member be directed to take steps to acquire the land, and the P. W. Member be asked to prepare plans in consultation with the Medical Officer.

### 3. READ —

Estimate No. 87 of 1920-21 for annual repairs to Bali School with slab roofing amounting to Rs. 1,288/- submitted by the P. W. Member, under his endorsement No. 212 dated 17th December 1920, for sanction.

The Finance Member agrees with the P. W. Member.

## 3. Resolution—

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned as requested.

### 4. READ. —

Note No. 199 dated 16th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member, suggesting that a time limit be put for the submission or presentation of bills, as old bills are received for payment from the different Departments with the result that the time for their proper check passes away.

## 4. Resolution.—

Resolved that orders as issued to all Departments and a general warning to the public that all bills for works done or articles supplied must be presented for payment within three months of the date of completion of the work or of the supply of the articles; that in the case of officials of whatever grade responsible they be held to strict accountability for any delay, and that in the case of articles supplied the bills of the suppliers be liable to deduction.

Copy of this order to be wisely circulated to all the Departments and published in the Marwar Gazette and also pasted on the Notice board of the Mehkma Khas.

### 5. READ—

Note No. 204 dated 16th December 1920, by the Finance Member, submitting bill No. 1384 dated 2nd November 1920, of Messrs John Fleming and Co; Bombay for 3 duck shooting boats supplied in connection with his Excellency the Viceroy's visit amounting to Rs. 4,230/- Requesting that sanction for payment may be accorded against head "Viceregal Visit."

## 5 Resolution.—

Resolved that payment of Rs. 4,230/- be sanctioned as proposed.

### 6. READ —

Estimate No. 139 of 1920-21 for Rs. 19,399/- for completing Police Thana building at Nagour, submitted by the P. W. Member under his endorsement No 195 dated 14th December 1920, for sanction.

## 6. Resolution —

Resolved that the estimate be sanctioned.

### 7. READ —

Note No. 198 dated 15th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member stating that Pandit Ram Karan of the Historical Department applies for two years' leave without pay from 1st May 1920, in continuation of 2½ months' leave enjoyed by him from 16th February 1920, to end of April 1920. The Audit Office reports that under Art. 7 of the Marwar Leave Rules Ram Karan could be considered as being out of employment, but according to Rule 13 he can be granted 2 years' leave without pay as the applicant has been in State service for 36 years. That Pandit Ram Karan undertakes to decipher any inscriptions that may be sent to him during the period of his leave

## 7. Resolution —

Resolved that inquiries be made from the Superintendent Historical Department whether he considers it necessary to appoint another man in place of P. Ram Karan.

### 8. READ. —

Note No 209 dated 15th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member, submitting the petition dated 3rd July 1920, of Nanak Chand vakil addressed to the Resident. W. R. States, praying for reconsideration of his case on the grounds set forth in his application. That the said Vakils name was removed from the roll of vakils under C. R. No. 6 dated 24th February 1920.

Also read Resident's letter No. 3007 dated 5th August 1920, addressed to the Senior Member of Council on the above subject.

## 8. Resolution.—

Resolved that the case of Nanak Chand with all the papers be sent to the Chief Court for taking proceedings according to law and taking such disciplinary action as it considers fit.

### 9. READ.—

Note No. 208 dated 16th December 1920, by the Finance Member recommending such scholarship to Mohan Singh Bhati student of Middle class in Sri Umed (Raona School, as may be considered suitable by the Council.

## 9. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Council is unable to accept all the recommendation which come piece-meal before it, but the Member-in-charge of Education be requested to draw up some suitable rules for the sanction of Council, containing the general principles on which Council will be prepared to grant scholarships in future. Meanwhile the application of Mohan Singh be rejected, and that he be told to submit his case six months' hence by which time rules will be framed.

## 10. READ—

Note No. 211 dated 16th December 1920, by the Finance Member, stating that the P. W. Member sanctioned a horse allowance of Rs. 30/- p. m. to B. Talib Hussen, Subordinate in charge of Motor Garage, with effect from 1st June 1920. That the Audit Office raised an objection and requested the Finance Member that sanction of the Regency Council was necessary in the case. On the matter being referred to the P. W. Member he stated that the case did not require Council's sanction.

The Finance Member in submitting the papers to Council requests distinct ruling on the following points:—

- (1) Who can sanction increases of allowances?
- (2) and within what limitations.

## 10. Resolution —

Resolved that the question be postponed to 3rd week of January 1921, as requested by the P. W. Member and that the case of Talib Hussan be kept pending.

## 11. READ—

Note No. 191 dated 14th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member regarding the succession of Champawat Tej Singh to his father Sardar Singh Jagirdar of Adwad P. Nagour Rekh Rs. 5,000/-. That Tej Singh is the real son of the deceased (Sardar Singh) and *Patta* be granted in Tej Singh's name.

## 11. Resolution.—

Resolved that succession of Tej Singh to his late father Sardar Singh be sanctioned and a *Patta* be granted in his name.

## 12. READ.—

Application dated 4th December 1920, from the Kamdar of Maharaj Sri Bijey Singhji Sahib to the P. W. Member requesting that the water connection to the said Maharaj's Bungalow should be free of charge as has been done in the case of other Maharajs, Rao Rajas etc., and praying that the C. R. No. 1 dated 9th November 1920, should be reconsidered. Submitted by the P. W. Member under his endorsement No. 200 dated 15th December 1920, for orders.

## 12. Resolution.—

Resolved that as with effect from all November 1920, persons desiring water connections have been called upon to pay the expenses thereof, the Council is not prepared to make an exception in the case of the applicant.

### 13. READ —

Note No. 193 dated 14th December 1920, by the P. W. Member, stating that the Superintending Engineer reports that the stipulated increment for the year 1920, of Rs 20/- sanctioned under C. R. No. 8 dated 28th July 1920, to Mr. Jacquet has fallen due on 25th November 1920, and requests sanction to draw the same.

## 13. Resolution—

Resolved that sanction to draw the graded increment be accorded as requested.

### 14. READ—

Note dated 24th December 1920, by the Political and Judicial Member regarding training of 3 candidates in the Sanitary Surveyors Class, Bombay, as ordered in C. R. No. 13 (Item I) dated 4th May 1920, stating that the probable cost of training the 3 students would amount to Rs. 1,125/- as for the pay and prospects of the candidates after undergoing the training the Residency Surgeon suggests that their grade be fixed at Rs. 50/- rising to 80/- by increments,

## 14. Resolution —

Resolved that a lump allotment of Rs. 1,200/- be added to the Medical budget, and that the grade of the Sanitary Surveyor posts be fixed at Rs. 50/- rising by increments to Rs. 80/- p. m.

### 15. READ.—

Letter No. 2031 dated 23rd December 1920, from the Officiating Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member submitting an estimate of Rs 16,028/- for the Darbar Camp at Delhi for sanction.

## 15. Resolution.—

Resolved that the amount of Rs. 16,028/- be sanctioned as a lump allotment and a full estimate of all the expenses to be incurred in connection with His Highness the Jodhpur Darbar's visit to Delhi on the occasion of the inauguration ceremony of the Chamber of Princes, be called for and put up to Council at an early date.

### 16. READ.—

D. O. Letter No. 6 dated 23rd December 1920, from the Officiating Superintending Engineer to the P. W. Member stating that he intends to avail himself of the leave sanctioned under the P. W. Member's No. 829 Est. 32 H dated 18th December 1920, from the 3rd January 1921.

## 16. Resolution.—

Resolved that the Officiating Superintending Engineer be allowed to go on leave already sanctioned, from 3rd January 1921.

## 17. READ —

D. O. Letter No. 8 dated 23rd December 1920, from the Officiating Superintending Engineer enclosing copy of a confidential D. O. dated 24th November 1920, from the Electrical & Mechanical Engineer, stating that the present accountant of the Electrical and Mechanical Department Babu Ambalal is not fit for the post. Recommending that he be given a month's notice or one month's pay in lieu of notice and that B. Lokmanprasad Assistant Accountant of the P. W. D, be appointed temporarily on Rs. 90/- p. m.

## 17. Resolution —

Resolved that B. Ambalal be paid one month's pay in lieu of notice and that B. Lokmanprasad be appointed in place of Ambalal on probation for three months.

Sd. PRÁTAP SINGH,                      Sd. FATTEH SINGH.

Sd. MANGAL SINGH.                      Sd. SUKHDEO.

Sd. D. L. DRAKE BROCKMAN.

